

ROSTER OF CANDIDATES • STATE OF NEW HAMPSHIRE

U.S. Senate

Questions #: 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9

Judd Gregg-R*	- Y Y Y Y Y Y Y Y
(603) 626-1212	
John Rauh-D	N N N - N N N N N
(603) 595-9353	
Larry Brady-I	Y Y Y Y Y Y Y Y
(603) 641-5900	

U.S. House of Representatives

Questions #: 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9

District 1	
Bill Zeff-R	Y Y Y Y Y Y Y Y
Bob Preston-D	- - - - -
District 2	
Dick Swett-D	- - - - -
Bill Hatch-R	Y Y Y Y Y Y Y Y

Key:

Y = Yes

N = No

- = No Response

field copy

* Governor Judd Gregg's actual survey response has still not been received by the Committee. However, these responses are derived from the survey he is distributing to New Hampshire Right to Work Supporters and is claiming to have sent to us.

Candidates for Governor on back

Survey Questions

- Do you believe an employee who does not want the "services" of a labor union should have the right to refuse to accept that union as his exclusive representative, which federal law now forces him to accept?
- Will you support repeal of the provisions in federal laws which authorize compulsory unionism?
- Do you favor preservation of Section 14(b) of the Taft-Hartley Act, which authorizes state Right to Work laws?
- Would you support legislation to end the special immunity union officials presently enjoy from prosecution under the federal anti-extortion statute?
- Will you oppose the forced unionization of federal, state, county and municipal employees?
- Will you support amendments to the Federal Election Campaign Act to prohibit the use of compulsory union dues and fees for political causes and candidates opposed by union members?
- Will you oppose so-called "anti-double breasting" legislation that has, as its primary goal, to forcibly unionize employees of construction companies?
- Will you oppose legislation to weaken or destroy the Hatch Act, which protects federal employees from union political coercion?
- Will you oppose legislation that would punish or require the firing of employees who choose to work during a strike, and give union officials the power to shut down businesses that refuse to force their employees to pay union dues?

Note: The National Right to Work Committee, of course, endorses no candidates. We are a nonpartisan organization. But we believe that you as a Right to Work supporter are entitled to know which candidates will support the right of every American to earn a living — without having to pay union bosses for the privilege.

Survey Questions for Gubernatorial Candidates

1. Will you support enactment of a state Right to Work law by the New Hampshire Legislature?
2. Will you support the repeal of monopoly bargaining privileges union officials currently have over public employees?
3. Will you oppose so-called "agency shop" legislation in the public sector?

Candidates for Governor

Mervin Newton- R 16 Grove Street Milford 03055	#1 Y	#2 Y	#3 Y	Steve Merrill- R 50 Phillippe Cote St. Manchester 03101	#1 -	#2 -	#3 -	Calvin Warburton- L P.O. Box 365 Raymond 03077	#1 -	#2 -	#3 -
Livius Fisteag- R P.O. Box 1233 Amherst 03031	-	-	-	Deborah Arnesen- D RR 1, Box 42 Orford 03777	B	B	B	Miriam Luce- L 110 Londonderry Road Windham 03087	Y	Y	Y
Edward Dupont, Jr.- R 5 Westview Drive Rochester 03867	-	-	-	Norman D'Amours- D 135 Chase Way Manchester 03104	-	-	-	<div style="border: 1px solid black; padding: 5px;"> Key: N Negative Response Y Positive Response B Blank Response - Refused to Respond </div>	-	-	-
Elizabeth Hager- R 5 Auburn Street Concord 03301	-	-	-	Ned Helms- D P.O. Box 1780 Manchester 03105	N	N	N		-	-	-

FAX

COVER SHEET

Direct Mail Communications

Rt. 221 P.O. Box 143 Forest, VA 24551

Phone: (804) 525-0155

Fax: (804) 525-5450

TO: NRW DATE: 10-22-92
ATTENTION: Amos Lock
FROM: Doug Achilles PAGE 1 OF 2
SUBJECT: _____

COMMENTS:

Just wanted you to see the final
figures on the postage.

I'll send insert samples out tonight
for your receipt tomorrow. Things are
going fine!

Doug

20 04 397 3695

Direct Mail Communications, Inc
Forest Square, Forest, VA 24551
1(804)525-0155
Fax 1(804)525-5450

Postage Request Form
for FORM 3600/3602 (pc/n/r)

Proj Mgr D.Achilles
Drop Dt 10/23/92
VA Cnts 0
DMC Job# 4116

To: Client NRTW

RE: Postage request for JOB Survey Target Specials

Please furnish Postage check in Amount of \$46,797.88 , immediately.
as per below listed PRESORT: (make check payable to DMC-Postage Acct)

3600-PC 1st CL Regular Postage - Live Stamp -

Group No. NH	Pieces	Amt	23¢ Stamp	Diff Paid
T#00285 5D-R Basic	.290X 029956	= \$8,687.24	\$6,889.88	\$1,797.36
Sub - Total	29956	= \$8,687.24	\$6,889.88	\$1,797.36

3600-PC 1st CL Regular Postage - Live Stamp -

Group No. CO	Pieces	Amt	23¢ Stamp	Diff Paid
T#06138 5D R Basic	.290X 046513	= \$13,488.77	\$10,697.99	\$2,790.78
Sub - Total	46513	= \$13,488.77	\$10,697.99	\$2,790.78

3600-PC 1st CL Regular Postage - Live Stamp -

Group No. UT	Pieces	Amt	23¢ Stamp	Diff Paid
T#01808 5D-R Basic	.290X 015947	= \$4,624.63	\$3,667.81	\$956.82
Sub - Total	15947	= \$4,624.63	\$3,667.81	\$956.82

3600-PC 1st CL Regular Postage - Live Stamp -

Group No. SC	Pieces	Amt	23¢ Stamp	Diff Paid
T#00500 5D-R Basic	.290X 016666	= \$4,833.14	\$3,833.18	\$999.96
Sub - Total	16666	= \$4,833.14	\$3,833.18	\$999.96

3600-PC 1st CL Regular Postage - Live Stamp -

Group No. NV	Pieces	Amt	23¢ Stamp	Diff Paid
T#01356 5D-R Basic	.290X 006670	= \$1,934.30	\$1,534.10	\$400.20
Sub - Total	6670	= \$1,934.30	\$1,534.10	\$400.20

3600-PC 1st CL Regular Postage - Live Stamp -

Group No. NC	Pieces	Amt	23¢ Stamp	Diff Paid
T#06555 5D-R Basic	.290X 031835	= \$9,232.15	\$7,322.05	\$1,910.10
Sub - Total	31835	= \$9,232.15	\$7,322.05	\$1,910.10

3600-PC 1st CL Regular Postage - Live Stamp -

Group No. ID	Pieces	Amt	23¢ Stamp	Diff Paid
T#06028 5D-R Basic	.290X 013785	= \$3,997.65	\$3,170.55	\$827.10
Sub - Total	13785	= \$3,997.65	\$3,170.55	\$827.10

Right to Work Action Reply

To: Reed Larson, President
National Right to Work Committee
8001 Braddock Road, Suite #500
Springfield, VA 22160

*folder
copy*

From: _____

Dear Reed:

I have:

_____ Called the candidates.

_____ Written a personal letter or otherwise
contacted the candidates.

_____ Enclosed a contribution of:

_____ \$25 _____ \$50 _____ \$100

_____ \$500 _____ Other

Please make checks payable and return to: NRTWC.

The National Right to Work Committee's Washington D.C. Headquarters is located at our nation's capital at 8001 Braddock Road, Suite 500 • Springfield, VA 22160 • Telephone (800) 325-7892. Because the IRS has recognized NRTWC as tax exempt under IRC Sec. 501(c)(4), which permits unlimited lobbying, contributions are not tax deductible as charitable contributions.

Right to Work Action Reply

To: Reed Larson, President
National Right to Work Committee
8001 Braddock Road, Suite #500
Springfield, VA 22160

From: _____

Dear Reed:

I have:

- _____ Called the candidates.
_____ Written a personal letter or otherwise
contacted the candidates.
_____ Enclosed a contribution of:

_____ \$25 _____ \$50 _____ \$100

_____ \$500 _____ Other

*Baseline ok
D. Koff*

Please make checks payable and return to: NRTWC.

4116 FR 2 NNN Survey Reply BLACK 5 7/8 x 9 1/2

4116 PR2 NW Survey

Reply

BLACK 5 1/2 x 8 1/2

Baseline ^{ok}
DAK

The National Right to Work Committee's Washington D.C. Headquarters is located at our nation's capital at 8011 Braddock Road, Suite 500 • Springfield, VA 22160 • Telephone (800) 325-7892. Because the IRS has recognized NRTWC as tax exempt under IRC Sec. 501(c)(4), which permits unlimited lobbying, contributions are not tax deductible as charitable contributions.

BACKGROUND

The information below is helpful in explaining the questions on the reverse side of this form.

A union, under present federal laws, is empowered to represent and bind all employees in a company's bargaining unit — including employees who oppose the union and don't want its "services."

This monopoly bargaining power, generally described as "exclusive bargaining rights," deprives employees of their right to bargain for themselves. Union officials fought for this power and refuse to give it up; yet they complain they are "unfairly burdened by the legal obligation" to represent nonmembers.

Such complaints are intended to pave the way for compelling financial support from so-called "free riders" for representation they do not want.

The firing of workers who refuse to pay union dues and/or fees is explicitly sanctioned by both the National Labor Relations Act and the National Railway Labor Act.

Section 7 of the NLRA, for example, stipulates that employees shall have "the right to refrain" from participating in union activities "except to the extent that such right may be affected by an agreement requiring membership in a labor organization as a condition of employment."

The problem of compulsory unionism was created by Congress. It will not be solved until Congress repeals the existing federal authorizations of compulsory unionism.

In 21 states, wage earners — except those covered by the National Railway Labor Act — are shielded from compulsory unionism by Right to Work laws.

The Florida guarantee is typical of these laws, saying, "The right of persons to work shall not be denied or abridged on account of membership or nonmembership in any labor union or labor organization."

The authority of states to adopt and enforce such laws is reaffirmed by Section 14(b) of the Taft-Hartley amendments to the National Labor Relations Act.

Extortion, as a technique, is extremely useful to union officials in obtaining such demands as compulsory union shops, "agency" shops, compulsory hiring halls and irrevocable dues check-off clauses.

While most criminal law is administered at the state and local level, some criminal activities (including extortion), which obstruct interstate commerce, have been deemed by Congress to be so important that they should be covered by federal statutes.

As the federal law currently stands, union officials have unique special immunities from prosecution for threatening to commit or committing felonies — such as murder, manslaughter, maiming, arson, aggravated property destruction, explosives or firearms offenses, etc. — to obtain collective bargaining demands.

For the past several years, Congress has been confronted by bills designed to authorize the forced unionization of public employees at various levels of government.

Several of these proposals are aimed at state, county and municipal employees and would nullify existing state laws which shield public employees from union coercion.

Other bills would strip postal workers and other federal employees of the freedom of choice guaranteed by the Postal Reorganization Act of 1970 and executive orders dating back to

the administration of President John F. Kennedy.

6. Labor unions are the only private organization in the U.S. which can legally force individuals to pay dues into their treasuries.

The Federal Election Campaign Act (FECA) prohibits union officials from giving any of these dues dollars directly to a candidate for federal office.

At the same time, FECA permits union officials to use workers' compulsory dues dollars for "in-kind" political spending on goods and services to elect candidates for federal offices.

These "in-kind" expenditures are in addition to union PAC contributions; they are seldom — if ever — documented or reported to the Federal Election Commission.

No official statistics for total union "in-kind" expenditures are available. But Labor columnist Victor Riesel estimated that this so-called "soft money" amounted to 10 times more than what union PACs gave in cash contributions. Based on that yardstick, union "soft money" in 1990 exceeded \$350 million.

7. In recent years, legislation has been introduced in Congress to automatically impose union representation upon workers of nonunionized companies which have even the slightest economic links to unionized companies.

Even though the nonunionized and the unionized companies each perform separate and distinct work, the compulsory union contract would be automatically imposed upon the nonunion workers, without even the show of an election conducted by the National Labor Relations Board to determine worker support.

The "anti-double breasting" legislation would also encourage Common Situs picketing by permitting union organizers to use a dispute with a single subcontractor as an excuse to picket and shut down all the other subcontractors at a job site.

8. Legislation has been introduced repeatedly in Congress to loosen the 50-year-old Hatch Act's restrictions against partisan political activity by federal employees.

Federal union officials now wield monopoly bargaining power over federal employees, which makes union officials the sole conduit for civil servants in collective bargaining and grievance situations.

Current proposals to weaken the Hatch Act lack explicit prohibitions against the use of monopoly bargaining power to coerce civil servants into supporting federal union officials' political agenda.

9. Legislation has been introduced in Congress that would prevent employers from hiring permanent replacement workers during a strike.

The bill's provisions would also penalize workers who choose not to strike by giving preferential, post-strike hiring privileges to strikers.

Since an employer is unlikely to find employees who will work during a violent strike under these conditions, employers would be forced to cave in to every demand by union officials — including the demand that workers who refuse to pay union dues be fired.

PRODUCTION APPROVAL/PROOFING CHECK-OFF

(Attach to FINAL copy)

DATE: 10/13/92

PACKAGE: (C0) '92 FED Survey Results Senate Post

FROM: BLS x 264

HAVE ALL RECOMMENDED CHANGES BEEN MADE?

☐ YES

☐ NO

PROOFING:

1ST PB/SMZ 10/13 REVISION NEEDED No

2ND SMZ/PB 10/13 REVISION NEEDED NO

3RD SDK/PB 10/14 REVISION NEEDED No

4TH _____ REVISION NEEDED _____

5TH _____ REVISION NEEDED _____

6TH _____ REVISION NEEDED _____

FINAL COPY APPROVED?

☒ YES

☐ NO

DATE: 10-13

2004 04 30 10 02

ROSTER OF CANDIDATES • STATE OF COLORADO

U.S. Senate

Questions #: 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9

Ben Campbell-D - - - - -
 (303) 837-0565
 Terry Considine-R Y Y Y Y Y Y Y Y
 (303) 757-2567

U.S. House of Representatives

Questions #: 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9

District 1
 Pat Schroeder-D - - - - -
 (303) 866-1230
 Raymond Diaz Aragon-R Y Y Y Y Y Y Y Y
 (303) 320-6607

District 2
 David Skaggs-D - - - - -
 (303) 650-7886
 Bryan Day-R Y Y Y Y Y Y Y Y
 (303) 422-8692

Questions #: 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9

District 3
 Mike Calihan-D - - - - -
 (303) 320-3918
 Scott McInnis-R Y Y Y Y Y Y Y Y
 (303) 242-4623

District 4
 Tom Redder-D - - - - -
 (303) 224-9767
 Wayne Allard-R Y Y Y Y Y Y Y Y
 (303) 226-2226

District 5
 Charles Oriez-D - - - - -
 (303) 798-3236
 Joel Hefley-R Y Y Y Y Y Y Y Y
 (303) 933-0044

Questions #: 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9

District 6
 Tom Kolbe-D N - - N - N Y N -
 (303) 850-9867
 Dan Schaefer-R - - - - -
 (303) 989-2100

Key:

Y = Yes

N = No

- = No Response

Survey Questions

1. Do you believe an employee who does not want the "services" of a labor union should have the right to refuse to accept that union as his exclusive representative, which federal law now forces him to accept?
2. Will you support repeal of the provisions in federal laws which authorize compulsory unionism?
3. Do you favor preservation of Section 14(b) of the Taft-Hartley Act, which authorizes state Right to Work laws?
4. Would you support legislation to end the special immunity union officials presently enjoy from prosecution under the federal anti-extortion statute?
5. Will you oppose the forced unionization of federal, state, county and municipal employees?
6. Will you support amendments to the Federal Election Campaign Act to prohibit the use of compulsory union dues and fees for political causes and candidates opposed by union members?
7. Will you oppose so-called "anti-double breasting" legislation that has, as its primary goal, to forcibly unionize employees of construction companies?
8. Will you oppose legislation to weaken or destroy the Hatch Act, which protects federal employees from union political coercion?
9. Will you oppose legislation that would punish or require the firing of employees who choose to work during a strike, and give union officials the power to shut down businesses that refuse to force their employees to pay union dues?

Note: The National Right to Work Committee, of course, endorses no candidates. We are a nonpartisan organization. But we believe that you as a Right to Work supporter are entitled to know which candidates will support the right of every American to earn a living — without having to pay union bosses for the privilege.

ROSTER OF CANDIDATES • STATE OF COLORADO

U.S. Senate

Questions #: 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9

Ben Campbell-D -----
(303) 837-0565
Terry Considine-R Y Y Y Y Y Y Y Y Y
(303) 757-2567

U.S. House of Representatives

Questions #: 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9

District 1
Pat Schroeder-D -----
(303) 866-1230
Raymond Diaz Aragon-R Y Y Y Y Y Y Y Y Y
(303) 320-6607

District 2
David Skaggs-D -----
(303) 650-7886
Bryan Day-R Y Y Y Y Y Y Y Y Y
(303) 422-8692

Questions #: 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9

District 3
Mike Calihan-D -----
(303) 320-3918
Scott McInnis-R Y Y Y Y Y Y Y N Y
(303) 242-4623

District 4
Tom Redder-D -----
(303) 224-9767
Wayne Allard-R Y Y Y Y Y Y Y Y Y
(303) 226-2226

District 5
Charles Oriez-D -----
(303) 798-3236
Joel Hefley-R Y Y Y Y Y Y Y Y Y
(303) 933-0044

Questions #: 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9

District 6
Tom Kolbe-D N - - N - N Y N -
(303) 850-9867
Dan Schaefer-R -----
(303) 989-2100

Key:

Y = Yes

N = No

- = No Response

Survey Questions

1. Do you believe an employee who does not want the "services" of a labor union should have the right to refuse to accept that union as his exclusive representative, which federal law now forces him to accept?
2. Will you support repeal of the provisions in federal laws which authorize compulsory unionism?
3. Do you favor preservation of Section 14(b) of the Taft-Hartley Act, which authorizes state Right to Work laws?
4. Would you support legislation to end the special immunity union officials presently enjoy from prosecution under the federal anti-extortion statute?
5. Will you oppose the forced unionization of federal, state, county and municipal employees?
6. Will you support amendments to the Federal Election Campaign Act to prohibit the use of compulsory union dues and fees for political causes and candidates opposed by union members?
7. Will you oppose so-called "anti-double breasting" legislation that has, as its primary goal, to forcibly unionize employees of construction companies?
8. Will you oppose legislation to weaken or destroy the Hatch Act, which protects federal employees from union political coercion?
9. Will you oppose legislation that would punish or require the firing of employees who choose to work during a strike, and give union officials the power to shut down businesses that refuse to force their employees to pay union dues?

Note: The National Right to Work Committee, of course, endorses no candidates. We are a nonpartisan organization. But we believe that you as a Right to Work supporter are entitled to know which candidates will support the right of every American to earn a living — without having to pay union bosses for the privilege.

BACKGROUND

The information below is helpful in explaining the questions on the reverse side of this form.

1. A union, under present federal laws, is empowered to represent and bind all employees in a company's bargaining unit — including employees who oppose the union and don't want its "services."
This monopoly bargaining power, generally described as "exclusive bargaining rights," deprives employees of their right to bargain for themselves. Union officials fought for this power and refuse to give it up; yet they complain they are "unfairly burdened by the legal obligation" to represent nonmembers.
Such complaints are intended to pave the way for compelling financial support from so-called "free riders" for representation they do not want.
2. The firing of workers who refuse to pay union dues and/or fees is explicitly sanctioned by both the National Labor Relations Act and the National Railway Labor Act.
Section 7 of the NLRA, for example, stipulates that employees shall have "the right to refrain" from participating in union activities "except to the extent that such right may be affected by an agreement requiring membership in a labor organization as a condition of employment."
The problem of compulsory unionism was created by Congress. It will not be solved until Congress repeals the existing federal authorizations of compulsory unionism.
3. In 21 states, wage earners — except those covered by the National Railway Labor Act — are shielded from compulsory unionism by Right to Work laws.
The Florida guarantee is typical of these laws, saying, "The right of persons to work shall not be denied or abridged on account of membership or nonmembership in any labor union or labor organization."
The authority of states to adopt and enforce such laws is reaffirmed by Section 14(b) of the Taft-Hartley amendments to the National Labor Relations Act.
4. Extortion, as a technique, is extremely useful to union officials in obtaining such demands as compulsory union shops, "agency" shops, compulsory hiring halls and irrevocable dues check-off clauses.
While most criminal law is administered at the state and local level, some criminal activities (including extortion), which obstruct interstate commerce, have been deemed by Congress to be so important that they should be covered by federal statutes.
As the federal law currently stands, union officials have unique special immunities from prosecution for threatening to commit or committing felonies — such as murder, manslaughter, maiming, arson, aggravated property destruction, explosives or firearms offenses, etc. — to obtain collective bargaining demands.
5. For the past several years, Congress has been confronted by bills designed to authorize the forced unionization of public employees at various levels of government.
Several of these proposals are aimed at state, county and municipal employees and would nullify existing state laws which shield public employees from union coercion.
Other bills would strip postal workers and other federal employees of the freedom of choice guaranteed by the Postal Reorganization Act of 1970 and executive orders dating back to the administration of President John F. Kennedy.
6. Labor unions are the only private organization in the U.S. which can legally force individuals to pay dues into their treasuries.
The Federal Election Campaign Act (FECA) prohibits union officials from giving any of these dues dollars directly to a candidate for federal office.
At the same time, FECA permits union officials to use workers' compulsory dues dollars for "in-kind" political spending on goods and services to elect candidates for federal offices.
These "in-kind" expenditures are in addition to union PAC contributions; they are seldom — if ever — documented or reported to the Federal Election Commission.
No official statistics for total union "in-kind" expenditures are available. But Labor columnist Victor Riesel estimated that this so-called "soft money" amounted to 10 times more than what union PACs gave in cash contributions. Based on that yardstick, union "soft money" in 1990 exceeded \$350 million.
7. In recent years, legislation has been introduced in Congress to automatically impose union representation upon workers of nonunionized companies which have even the slightest economic links to unionized companies.
Even though the nonunionized and the unionized companies each perform separate and distinct work, the compulsory union contract would be automatically imposed upon the nonunion workers, without even the show of an election conducted by the National Labor Relations Board to determine worker support.
The "anti-double breasting" legislation would also encourage Common Situs picketing by permitting union organizers to use a dispute with a single subcontractor as an excuse to picket and shut down all the other subcontractors at a job site.
8. Legislation has been introduced repeatedly in Congress to loosen the 50-year-old Hatch Act's restrictions against partisan political activity by federal employees.
Federal union officials now wield monopoly bargaining power over federal employees, which makes union officials the sole conduit for civil servants in collective bargaining and grievance situations.
Current proposals to weaken the Hatch Act lack explicit prohibitions against the use of monopoly bargaining power to coerce civil servants into supporting federal union officials' political agenda.
9. Legislation has been introduced in Congress that would prevent employers from hiring permanent replacement workers during a strike.
The bill's provisions would also penalize workers who choose not to strike by giving preferential, post-strike hiring privileges to strikers.
Since an employer is unlikely to find employees who will work during a violent strike under these conditions, employers would be forced to cave in to every demand by union officials — including the demand that workers who refuse to pay union dues be fired.

PRODUCTION APPROVAL/PROOFING CHECK-OFF

(Attach to FINAL copy)

DATE: 10/13/92

PACKAGE: (1D) '92 FED Surv. Res Senate Rpt.

FROM: Bls x 264

HAVE ALL RECOMMENDED CHANGES BEEN MADE?

☒ YES

☐ NO

PROOFING:

1ST PB/SME 10/13 REVISION NEEDED NO

2ND SME/PB 10/13 REVISION NEEDED no

3RD SDK/PB 10/14 REVISION NEEDED NO

4TH _____ REVISION NEEDED _____

5TH _____ REVISION NEEDED _____

6TH _____ REVISION NEEDED _____

FINAL COPY APPROVED?

☒ YES

☐ NO

DATE: 10/13

ROSTER OF CANDIDATES • STATE OF IDAHO

U.S. Senate

Questions #: 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9

Richard Stallings-D -----
 (208) 336-1992
 Dirk Kempthorne-R Y Y Y Y Y Y Y Y Y
 (208) 336-0092

U.S. House of Representatives

Questions #: 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9

District 1

Larry LaRocco-D -----
 Rachel Gilbert-R Y Y Y Y Y Y Y Y Y

District 2

J.D. Williams-D -----
 Michael Crapo-R Y Y Y Y Y Y Y Y Y

Key:

Y = Yes

N = No

- = No Response

Survey Questions

1. Do you believe an employee who does not want the "services" of a labor union should have the right to refuse to accept that union as his exclusive representative, which federal law now forces him to accept?
2. Will you support repeal of the provisions in federal laws which authorize compulsory unionism?
3. Do you favor preservation of Section 14(b) of the Taft-Hartley Act, which authorizes state Right to Work laws?
4. Would you support legislation to end the special immunity union officials presently enjoy from prosecution under the federal anti-extortion statute?
5. Will you oppose the forced unionization of federal, state, county and municipal employees?
6. Will you support amendments to the Federal Election Campaign Act to prohibit the use of compulsory union dues and fees for political causes and candidates opposed by union members?
7. Will you oppose so-called "anti-double breasting" legislation that has, as its primary goal, to forcibly unionize employees of construction companies?
8. Will you oppose legislation to weaken or destroy the Hatch Act, which protects federal employees from union political coercion?
9. Will you oppose legislation that would punish or require the firing of employees who choose to work during a strike, and give union officials the power to shut down businesses that refuse to force their employees to pay union dues?

Note: The National Right to Work Committee, of course, endorses no candidates. We are a nonpartisan organization. But we believe that you as a Right to Work supporter are entitled to know which candidates will support the right of every American to earn a living — without having to pay union bosses for the privilege.

2025-04-23 10:02

ROSTER OF CANDIDATES • STATE OF IDAHO

U.S. Senate

Questions #: 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9

Richard Stallings-D -----
Dirk Kempthorne-R Y Y Y Y Y Y Y Y Y

U.S. House of Representatives

Questions #: 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9

District 1

Larry LaRocco-D -----
Rachel Gilbert-R Y Y Y Y Y Y Y Y Y

District 2

J.D. Williams-D -----
Michael Crapo-R Y Y Y Y Y Y Y Y Y

Key:

Y = Yes

N = No

- = No Response

Survey Questions

- Do you believe an employee who does not want the "services" of a labor union should have the right to refuse to accept that union as his exclusive representative, which federal law now forces him to accept?
- Will you support repeal of the provisions in federal laws which authorize compulsory unionism?
- Do you favor preservation of Section 14(b) of the Taft-Hartley Act, which authorizes state Right to Work laws?
- Would you support legislation to end the special immunity union officials presently enjoy from prosecution under the federal anti-extortion statute?
- Will you oppose the forced unionization of federal, state, county and municipal employees?
- Will you support amendments to the Federal Election Campaign Act to prohibit the use of compulsory union dues and fees for political causes and candidates opposed by union members?
- Will you oppose so-called "anti-double breasting" legislation that has, as its primary goal, to forcibly unionize employees of construction companies?
- Will you oppose legislation to weaken or destroy the Hatch Act, which protects federal employees from union political coercion?
- Will you oppose legislation that would punish or require the firing of employees who choose to work during a strike, and give union officials the power to shut down businesses that refuse to force their employees to pay union dues?

Note: The National Right to Work Committee, of course, endorses no candidates. We are a nonpartisan organization. But we believe that you as a Right to Work supporter are entitled to know which candidates will support the right of every American to earn a living — without having to pay union bosses for the privilege.

201011297 3700

BACKGROUNDER

The information below is helpful in explaining the questions on the reverse side of this form.

1. A union, under present federal laws, is empowered to represent and bind all employees in a company's bargaining unit — including employees who oppose the union and don't want its "services."
This monopoly bargaining power, generally described as "exclusive bargaining rights," deprives employees of their right to bargain for themselves. Union officials fought for this power and refuse to give it up; yet they complain they are "unfairly burdened by the legal obligation" to represent nonmembers.
Such complaints are intended to pave the way for compelling financial support from so-called "free riders" for representation they do not want.
2. The firing of workers who refuse to pay union dues and/or fees is explicitly sanctioned by both the National Labor Relations Act and the National Railway Labor Act.
Section 7 of the NLRA, for example, stipulates that employees shall have "the right to refrain" from participating in union activities "except to the extent that such right may be affected by an agreement requiring membership in a labor organization as a condition of employment."
The problem of compulsory unionism was created by Congress. It will not be solved until Congress repeals the existing federal authorizations of compulsory unionism.
3. In 21 states, wage earners — except those covered by the National Railway Labor Act — are shielded from compulsory unionism by Right to Work laws.
The Florida guarantee is typical of these laws, saying, "The right of persons to work shall not be denied or abridged on account of membership or nonmembership in any labor union or labor organization."
The authority of states to adopt and enforce such laws is reaffirmed by Section 14(b) of the Taft-Hartley amendments to the National Labor Relations Act.
4. Extortion, as a technique, is extremely useful to union officials in obtaining such demands as compulsory union shops, "agency" shops, compulsory hiring halls and irrevocable dues check-off clauses.
While most criminal law is administered at the state and local level, some criminal activities (including extortion), which obstruct interstate commerce, have been deemed by Congress to be so important that they should be covered by federal statutes.
As the federal law currently stands, union officials have unique special immunities from prosecution for threatening to commit or committing felonies — such as murder, manslaughter, maiming, arson, aggravated property destruction, explosives or firearms offenses, etc. — to obtain collective bargaining demands.
5. For the past several years, Congress has been confronted by bills designed to authorize the forced unionization of public employees at various levels of government.
Several of these proposals are aimed at state, county and municipal employees and would nullify existing state laws which shield public employees from union coercion.
Other bills would strip postal workers and other federal employees of the freedom of choice guaranteed by the Postal Reorganization Act of 1970 and executive orders dating back to the administration of President John F. Kennedy.
6. Labor unions are the only private organization in the U.S. which can legally force individuals to pay dues into their treasuries.
The Federal Election Campaign Act (FECA) prohibits union officials from giving any of these dues dollars directly to a candidate for federal office.
At the same time, FECA permits union officials to use workers' compulsory dues dollars for "in-kind" political spending on goods and services to elect candidates for federal offices.
These "in-kind" expenditures are in addition to union PAC contributions; they are seldom — if ever — documented or reported to the Federal Election Commission.
No official statistics for total union "in-kind" expenditures are available. But Labor columnist Victor Riesel estimated that this so-called "soft money" amounted to 10 times more than what union PACs gave in cash contributions. Based on that yardstick, union "soft money" in 1990 exceeded \$350 million.
7. In recent years, legislation has been introduced in Congress to automatically impose union representation upon workers of nonunionized companies which have even the slightest economic links to unionized companies.
Even though the nonunionized and the unionized companies each perform separate and distinct work, the compulsory union contract would be automatically imposed upon the nonunion workers, without even the show of an election conducted by the National Labor Relations Board to determine worker support.
The "anti-double breasting" legislation would also encourage Common Situs picketing by permitting union organizers to use a dispute with a single subcontractor as an excuse to picket and shut down all the other subcontractors at a job site.
8. Legislation has been introduced repeatedly in Congress to loosen the 50-year-old Hatch Act's restrictions against partisan political activity by federal employees.
Federal union officials now wield monopoly bargaining power over federal employees, which makes union officials the sole conduit for civil servants in collective bargaining and grievance situations.
Current proposals to weaken the Hatch Act lack explicit prohibitions against the use of monopoly bargaining power to coerce civil servants into supporting federal union officials' political agenda.
9. Legislation has been introduced in Congress that would prevent employers from hiring permanent replacement workers during a strike.
The bill's provisions would also penalize workers who choose not to strike by giving preferential, post-strike hiring privileges to strikers.
Since an employer is unlikely to find employees who will work during a violent strike under these conditions, employers would be forced to cave in to every demand by union officials — including the demand that workers who refuse to pay union dues be fired.

PRODUCTION APPROVAL/PROOFING CHECK-OFF

(Attach to FINAL copy)

DATE: 10/13/92

PACKAGE: (NC) '92 FED Surv. Res. Senate Post

FROM: BLS x 264

HAVE ALL RECOMMENDED CHANGES BEEN MADE?

☒ YES

☐ NO

PROOFING:

1ST PB/SMZ 10/13 REVISION NEEDED no

2ND SMZ/PB 10/13 REVISION NEEDED no

^{1st}
~~3RD~~ SDK/PB 10/14 REVISION NEEDED No

4TH _____ REVISION NEEDED _____

5TH _____ REVISION NEEDED _____

6TH _____ REVISION NEEDED _____

FINAL COPY APPROVED?

☒ YES

☐ NO

DATE: 10/13/92

5025 255 10 0
Re

ROSTER OF CANDIDATES • STATE OF NORTH CAROLINA

U.S. Senate

Questions #: 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9

Terry Sanford-D -----
 (800) 722-1992
 Lauch Faircloth-R Y Y Y Y Y Y Y Y Y
 (919) 790-1111

U.S. House of Representatives

Questions #: 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9

District 1
 Eva Clayton-D -----
 Ted Tyler-R Y Y Y Y Y Y Y Y Y

District 2
 Tim Valentine, Jr.-D -----
 Don Davis-R Y Y Y Y Y Y Y Y Y

District 3
 R. Martin Lancaster-D Y Y Y Y Y - Y N Y
 Tommy Pollard-R Y Y Y Y Y Y N Y

Questions #: 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9

District 4
 David Price-D -----
 Vicky Goudie-R Y Y Y Y Y Y Y Y Y

District 5
 Stephen Neal-D Y Y Y Y Y Y N Y
 Richard Burr-R Y Y Y Y Y Y Y Y Y

District 6
 Robin Hood-D -----
 J. Howard Coble-R Y Y Y Y Y Y Y Y Y

District 7
 Charlie Rose-D -----
 Robert Anderson-R Y Y Y Y Y Y Y Y Y

District 8
 W. G. Hefner-D Y Y Y Y Y Y Y - Y
 Coy Privette-R Y Y Y Y Y Y Y Y Y

District 9
 Rory Blake-D -----
 J. Alex McMillan-R Y Y Y Y Y Y Y Y Y

Questions #: 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9

District 10
 Ben Neill-D -----
 T. Cass Ballenger-R Y Y Y Y Y Y Y Y Y

District 11
 John Stevens-D - - Y - - - - -
 Charles Taylor-R Y Y Y Y Y Y Y Y Y

District 12
 Melvin Watt-D -----
 Barbara Gore
 Washington-R -----

Key:

Y = Yes

N = No

- = No Response

Survey Questions

- Do you believe an employee who does not want the "services" of a labor union should have the right to refuse to accept that union as his exclusive representative, which federal law now forces him to accept?
- Will you support repeal of the provisions in federal laws which authorize compulsory unionism?
- Do you favor preservation of Section 14(b) of the Taft-Hartley Act, which authorizes state Right to Work laws?
- Would you support legislation to end the special immunity union officials presently enjoy from prosecution under the federal anti-extortion statute?
- Will you oppose the forced unionization of federal, state, county and municipal employees?
- Will you support amendments to the Federal Election Campaign Act to prohibit the use of compulsory union dues and fees for political causes and candidates opposed by union members?
- Will you oppose so-called "anti-double breasting" legislation that has, as its primary goal, to forcibly unionize employees of construction companies?
- Will you oppose legislation to weaken or destroy the Hatch Act, which protects federal employees from union political coercion?
- Will you oppose legislation that would punish or require the firing of employees who choose to work during a strike, and give union officials the power to shut down businesses that refuse to force their employees to pay union dues?

Note: The National Right to Work Committee, of course, endorses no candidates. We are a nonpartisan organization. But we believe that you as a Right to Work supporter are entitled to know which candidates will support the right of every American to earn a living — without having to pay union bosses for the privilege.

ROSTER OF CANDIDATES • STATE OF NORTH CAROLINA

U.S. Senate

Questions #: 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9

Terry Sanford-D - - - - -
Lauch Faircloth-R Y Y Y Y Y Y Y Y Y

U.S. House of Representatives

Questions #: 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9

District 1
Eva Clayton-D - - - - -
Ted Tyler-R Y Y Y Y Y Y Y Y Y

District 2
Tim Valentine, Jr.-D - - - - -
Don Davis-R Y Y Y Y Y Y Y Y Y

District 3
H. Martin Lancaster-D Y Y Y Y Y - Y N Y
Tommy Pollard-R Y Y Y Y Y Y N Y

District 4
David Price-D - - - - -
Vicky Goudie-R Y Y Y Y Y Y Y Y Y

Questions #: 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9

District 5
Stephen Neal-D Y Y Y Y Y Y N Y
Richard Burr-R Y Y Y Y Y Y Y Y Y

District 6
Robin Hood-D - - - - -
J. Howard Coble-R Y Y Y Y Y Y Y Y Y

District 7
Charlie Rose-D - - - - -
Robert Anderson-R Y Y Y Y Y Y Y Y Y

District 8
W. G. Hefner-D Y Y Y Y Y Y - Y
Coy Privette-R Y Y Y Y Y Y Y Y Y

District 9
Rory Blake-D - - - - -
J. Alex McMillan-R Y Y Y Y Y Y Y Y Y

District 10
Ben Neill-D - - - - -
T. Cass Ballenger-R Y Y Y Y Y Y Y Y Y

Questions #: 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9

District 11
John Stevens-D - - Y - - - - -
Charles Taylor-R Y Y Y Y Y Y Y Y Y

District 12
Melvin Watt-D - - - - -
Barbara Gore - - - - -
Washington-R - - - - -

Key:
Y = Yes
N = No
- = No Response

Survey Questions

- Do you believe an employee who does not want the "services" of a labor union should have the right to refuse to accept that union as his exclusive representative, which federal law now forces him to accept?
- Will you support repeal of the provisions in federal laws which authorize compulsory unionism?
- Do you favor preservation of Section 14(b) of the Taft-Hartley Act, which authorizes state Right to Work laws?
- Would you support legislation to end the special immunity union officials presently enjoy from prosecution under the federal anti-extortion statute?
- Will you oppose the forced unionization of federal, state, county and municipal employees?
- Will you support amendments to the Federal Election Campaign Act to prohibit the use of compulsory union dues and fees for political causes and candidates opposed by union members?
- Will you oppose so-called "anti-double breasting" legislation that has, as its primary goal, to forcibly unionize employees of construction companies?
- Will you oppose legislation to weaken or destroy the Hatch Act, which protects federal employees from union political coercion?
- Will you oppose legislation that would punish or require the firing of employees who choose to work during a strike, and give union officials the power to shut down businesses that refuse to force their employees to pay union dues?

Note: The National Right to Work Committee, of course, endorses no candidates. We are a nonpartisan organization. But we believe that you as a Right to Work supporter are entitled to know which candidates will support the right of every American to earn a living — without having to pay union bosses for the privilege.

BACKGROUND

The information below is helpful in explaining the questions on the reverse side of this form.

1. A union, under present federal laws, is empowered to represent and bind all employees in a company's bargaining unit — including employees who oppose the union and don't want its "services."

This monopoly bargaining power, generally described as "exclusive bargaining rights," deprives employees of their right to bargain for themselves. Union officials fought for this power and refuse to give it up; yet they complain they are "unfairly burdened by the legal obligation" to represent nonmembers.

Such complaints are intended to pave the way for compelling financial support from so-called "free riders" for representation they do not want.

The firing of workers who refuse to pay union dues and/or fees is explicitly sanctioned by both the National Labor Relations Act and the National Railway Labor Act.

Section 7 of the NLRA, for example, stipulates that employees shall have "the right to refrain" from participating in union activities "except to the extent that such right may be affected by an agreement requiring membership in a labor organization as a condition of employment."

The problem of compulsory unionism was created by Congress. It will not be solved until Congress repeals the existing federal authorizations of compulsory unionism.

In 21 states, wage earners — except those covered by the National Railway Labor Act — are shielded from compulsory unionism by Right to Work laws.

The Florida guarantee is typical of these laws, saying, "The right of persons to work shall not be denied or abridged on account of membership or nonmembership in any labor union or labor organization."

The authority of states to adopt and enforce such laws is reaffirmed by Section 14(b) of the Taft-Hartley amendments to the National Labor Relations Act.

4. Extortion, as a technique, is extremely useful to union officials in obtaining such demands as compulsory union shops, "agency" shops, compulsory hiring halls and irrevocable dues check-off clauses.

While most criminal law is administered at the state and local level, some criminal activities (including extortion), which obstruct interstate commerce, have been deemed by Congress to be so important that they should be covered by federal statutes.

As the federal law currently stands, union officials have unique special immunities from prosecution for threatening to commit or committing felonies — such as murder, manslaughter, maiming, arson, aggravated property destruction, explosives or firearms offenses, etc. — to obtain collective bargaining demands.

5. For the past several years, Congress has been confronted by bills designed to authorize the forced unionization of public employees at various levels of government.

Several of these proposals are aimed at state, county and municipal employees and would nullify existing state laws which shield public employees from union coercion.

Other bills would strip postal workers and other federal employees of the freedom of choice guaranteed by the Postal Reorganization Act of 1970 and executive orders dating back to

the administration of President John F. Kennedy.

6. Labor unions are the only private organization in the U.S. which can legally force individuals to pay dues into their treasuries.

The Federal Election Campaign Act (FECA) prohibits union officials from giving any of these dues dollars directly to a candidate for federal office.

At the same time, FECA permits union officials to use workers' compulsory dues dollars for "in-kind" political spending on goods and services to elect candidates for federal offices.

These "in-kind" expenditures are in addition to union PAC contributions; they are seldom — if ever — documented or reported to the Federal Election Commission.

No official statistics for total union "in-kind" expenditures are available. But Labor columnist Victor Riesel estimated that this so-called "soft money" amounted to 10 times more than what union PACs gave in cash contributions. Based on that yardstick, union "soft money" in 1990 exceeded \$350 million.

7. In recent years, legislation has been introduced in Congress to automatically impose union representation upon workers of nonunionized companies which have even the slightest economic links to unionized companies.

Even though the nonunionized and the unionized companies each perform separate and distinct work, the compulsory union contract would be automatically imposed upon the nonunion workers, without even the show of an election conducted by the National Labor Relations Board to determine worker support.

The "anti-double breasting" legislation would also encourage Common Situs picketing by permitting union organizers to use a dispute with a single subcontractor as an excuse to picket and shut down all the other subcontractors at a job site.

8. Legislation has been introduced repeatedly in Congress to loosen the 50-year-old Hatch Act's restrictions against partisan political activity by federal employees.

Federal union officials now wield monopoly bargaining power over federal employees, which makes union officials the sole conduit for civil servants in collective bargaining and grievance situations.

Current proposals to weaken the Hatch Act lack explicit prohibitions against the use of monopoly bargaining power to coerce civil servants into supporting federal union officials' political agenda.

9. Legislation has been introduced in Congress that would prevent employers from hiring permanent replacement workers during a strike.

The bill's provisions would also penalize workers who choose not to strike by giving preferential, post-strike hiring privileges to strikers.

Since an employer is unlikely to find employees who will work during a violent strike under these conditions, employers would be forced to cave in to every demand by union officials — including the demand that workers who refuse to pay union dues be fired.

PRODUCTION APPROVAL/PROOFING CHECK-OFF

(Attach to FINAL copy)

DATE: 10/13/92

PACKAGE: 10-13-92 FBI Supp. to Senate Targets

FROM: RK, 214

HAVE ALL RECOMMENDED CHANGES BEEN MADE?



YES



NO

PROOFING:

1ST DAJ/PB 10-13-92 REVISION NEEDED No

2ND SMZ/HH REVISION NEEDED NO

1st
3RD PB/SDK REVISION NEEDED No

4TH _____ REVISION NEEDED _____

5TH _____ REVISION NEEDED _____

6TH _____ REVISION NEEDED _____

FINAL COPY APPROVED?



YES



NO

DATE: 10-13-92

ROSTER OF CANDIDATES • STATE OF NEW HAMPSHIRE

U.S. Senate

Questions #: 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9

Judd Gregg-R*	- Y Y Y Y Y Y Y Y
(603) 626-1212	
John Rauh-D	N N N - N N N N N
(603) 595-9353	
Larry Brady-I	Y Y Y Y Y Y Y Y
(603) 641-5900	

U.S. House of Representatives

Questions #: 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9

District 1

Bill Zeff-R	Y Y Y Y Y Y Y Y
Bob Preston-D	- - - - -

District 2

Dick Swett-D	- - - - -
Bill Hatch-R	Y Y Y Y Y Y Y Y

Key:

Y = Yes

N = No

- = No Response

* Governor Judd Gregg's actual survey response has still not been received by the Committee. However, these responses are derived from the survey he is distributing to New Hampshire Right to Work Supporters and is claiming to have sent to us.

Candidates for Governor on back

Survey Questions

- Do you believe an employee who does not want the "services" of a labor union should have the right to refuse to accept that union as his exclusive representative, which federal law now forces him to accept?
- Will you support repeal of the provisions in federal laws which authorize compulsory unionism?
- Do you favor preservation of Section 14(b) of the Taft-Hartley Act, which authorizes state Right to Work laws?
- Would you support legislation to end the special immunity union officials presently enjoy from prosecution under the federal anti-extortion statute?
- Will you oppose the forced unionization of federal, state, county and municipal employees?
- Will you support amendments to the Federal Election Campaign Act to prohibit the use of compulsory union dues and fees for political causes and candidates opposed by union members?
- Will you oppose so-called "anti-double breasting" legislation that has, as its primary goal, to forcibly unionize employees of construction companies?
- Will you oppose legislation to weaken or destroy the Hatch Act, which protects federal employees from union political coercion?
- Will you oppose legislation that would punish or require the firing of employees who choose to work during a strike, and give union officials the power to shut down businesses that refuse to force their employees to pay union dues?

Note: The National Right to Work Committee, of course, endorses no candidates. We are a nonpartisan organization. But we believe that you as a Right to Work supporter are entitled to know which candidates will support the right of every American to earn a living — without having to pay union bosses for the privilege.

Survey Questions for Gubernatorial Candidates

1. Will you support enactment of a state Right to Work law by the New Hampshire Legislature?
2. Will you support the repeal of monopoly bargaining privileges union officials currently have over public employees?
3. Will you oppose so-called "agency shop" legislation in the public sector?

Candidates for Governor

Mervin Newton- R 6 Grove Street Milford 03055	#1 Y	#2 Y	#3 Y	Steve Merrill- R 50 Phillippe Cote St. Manchester 03101	#1 -	#2 -	#3 -	Calvin Warburton- L P.O. Box 365 Raymond 03077	#1 -	#2 -	#3 -
Livius Fisticag- R P.O. Box 1233 Amherst 03031	-	-	-	Deborah Arnesen- D RR 1, Box 42 Orford 03777	B	B	B	Miriam Luce- L 110 Londonderry Road Windham 03087	Y	Y	Y
Edward Dupont, Jr.- R Westview Drive Rochester 03867	-	-	-	Norman D'Amours- D 135 Chase Way Manchester 03104	-	-	-	<div> <p>Key: N Negative Response Y Positive Response B Blank Response - Refused to Respond</p> </div>			
Elizabeth Hager- R Auburn Street Concord 03301	-	-	-	Ned Helms- D P.O. Box 1780 Manchester 03105	N	N	N				

ROSTER OF CANDIDATES • STATE OF NEW HAMPSHIRE

U.S. Senate

Questions #: 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9

Judd Gregg-R*	- Y Y Y Y Y Y Y Y
John Rauh-D	N N N - N N N N N
Larry Brady-I	Y Y Y Y Y Y Y Y

U.S. House of Representatives

Questions #: 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9

District 1

Bill Zeff-R	Y Y Y Y Y Y Y Y
Bob Preston-D	- - - - -

District 2

Dick Swett-D	- - - - -
Bill Hatch-R	Y Y Y Y Y Y Y Y

Key:

Y = Yes

N = No

- = No Response

* Governor Judd Gregg's actual survey response has still not been received by the Committee. However, these responses are derived from the survey he is distributing to New Hampshire Right to Work Supporters and is claiming to have sent to us.

Candidates for Governor on back

Survey Questions

- Do you believe an employee who does not want the "services" of a labor union should have the right to refuse to accept that union as his exclusive representative, which federal law now forces him to accept?
- Will you support repeal of the provisions in federal laws which authorize compulsory unionism?
- Do you favor preservation of Section 14(b) of the Taft-Hartley Act, which authorizes state Right to Work laws?
- Would you support legislation to end the special immunity union officials presently enjoy from prosecution under the federal anti-extortion statute?
- Will you oppose the forced unionization of federal, state, county and municipal employees?
- Will you support amendments to the Federal Election Campaign Act to prohibit the use of compulsory union dues and fees for political causes and candidates opposed by union members?
- Will you oppose so-called "anti-double breasting" legislation that has, as its primary goal, to forcibly unionize employees of construction companies?
- Will you oppose legislation to weaken or destroy the Hatch Act, which protects federal employees from union political coercion?
- Will you oppose legislation that would punish or require the firing of employees who choose to work during a strike, and give union officials the power to shut down businesses that refuse to force their employees to pay union dues?

Note: The National Right to Work Committee, of course, endorses no candidates. We are a nonpartisan organization. But we believe that you as a Right to Work supporter are entitled to know which candidates will support the right of every American to earn a living — without having to pay union bosses for the privilege.

Survey Questions for Gubernatorial Candidates

1. Will you support enactment of a state Right to Work law by the New Hampshire Legislature?
2. Will you support the repeal of monopoly bargaining privileges union officials currently have over public employees?
3. Will you oppose so-called "agency shop" legislation in the public sector?

Candidates for Governor

Mervin Newton- R 16 Grove Street Milford 03055	#1 Y	#2 Y	#3 Y	Steve Merrill- R 50 Phillippe Cote St. Manchester 03101	#1 -	#2 -	#3 -	Calvin Warburton- L P.O. Box 365 Raymond 03077	#1 -	#2 -	#3 -
Livius Fisteag- R P.O. Box 1233 Amherst 03031	-	-	-	Deborah Arnesen- D RR 1, Box 42 Orford 03777	B	B	B	Miriam Luce- L 110 Londonderry Road Windham 03087	Y	Y	Y
Edward Dupont, Jr.- R 5 Westview Drive Rochester 03867	-	-	-	Norman D'Amours- D 135 Chase Way Manchester 03104	-	-	-	<div style="border: 1px solid black; padding: 5px;"> Key: N Negative Response Y Positive Response B Blank Response - Refused to Respond </div>			
Elizabeth Hager- R 5 Auburn Street Concord 03301	-	-	-	Ned Helms- D P.O. Box 1780 Manchester 03105	N	N	N				

ROSTER OF FEDERAL CANDIDATES • STATE OF NEW HAMPSHIRE

NH

10/13/92
ec

U.S. Senate

Questions #: 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9

Judd Gregg-R
John Rauh-D
Larry Brady-I

YYYYYYYYYY

YYYYYYYYYY
NNN - NNNNN

U.S. House of Representatives

Questions #: 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9

District 1

Bill Zelliff-R
Bob Preston-D

YYYYYYYYYY

District 2

Dick Swett-D
Bill Hatch-R

YYYYYYYYYY

Key:

Y = Yes

N = No

- = No Response

* Gov. Judd Gregg's actual survey response has ^{still} not been rec'd by the Committee. However, these responses are derived from the survey he is distributing to NH RTRW supporters.
+ is claiming to have answered sent to us.

Survey Questions

- Do you believe an employee who does not want the "services" of a labor union should have the right to refuse to accept that union as his exclusive representative, which federal law now forces him to accept?
- Will you support repeal of the provisions in federal laws which authorize compulsory unionism?
- Do you favor preservation of Section 14(b) of the Taft-Hartley Act, which authorizes state Right to Work laws?
- Would you support legislation to end the special immunity union officials presently enjoy from prosecution under the federal anti-extortion statute?
- Will you oppose the forced unionization of federal, state, county and municipal employees?
- Will you support amendments to the Federal Election Campaign Act to prohibit the use of compulsory union dues and fees for political causes and candidates opposed by union members?
- Will you oppose so-called "anti-double breasting" legislation that has, as its primary goal, to forcibly unionize employees of construction companies?
- Will you oppose legislation to weaken or destroy the Hatch Act, which protects federal employees from union political coercion?
- Will you oppose legislation that would punish or require the firing of employees who choose to work during a strike, and give union officials the power to shut down businesses that refuse to force their employees to pay union dues?

Note: The National Right to Work Committee, of course, endorses no candidates. We are a nonpartisan organization. But we believe that you as a Right to Work supporter are entitled to know which candidates will support the right of every American to earn a living — without having to pay union bosses for the privilege.

PRODUCTION APPROVAL/PROOFING CHECK-OFF

(Attach to FINAL copy)

DATE: 10/13/92

PACKAGE: (N) WED Survey Results Senate Post

FROM: BLS x 264

HAVE ALL RECOMMENDED CHANGES BEEN MADE?

☒ YES

☐ NO

PROOFING:

1ST PB/SMZ 10/13 REVISION NEEDED no

2ND SMZ/PB 10/13 REVISION NEEDED no

3RD PB/SDK 10/14 REVISION NEEDED NO

4TH _____ REVISION NEEDED _____

5TH _____ REVISION NEEDED _____

6TH _____ REVISION NEEDED _____

FINAL COPY APPROVED?

☒ YES

☐ NO

DATE: 10/13

ROSTER OF CANDIDATES • STATE OF NEVADA

U.S. Senate

Questions #: 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9

Harry Reid-D	-----
(702) 598-1992	
Demar Dahl-R	Y Y Y Y Y Y Y Y Y
(702) 737-8800	

U.S. House of Representatives

Questions #: 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9

District 1	
J. Coy Pettyjohn-R	Y Y Y Y Y Y Y Y Y
(702) 259-8683	
James Bilbray-D	-----
(702) 369-8155	
District 2	
Pete Sferrazza-D	-----
(702) 324-7383	
Barbara Vucanovich-R	Y Y Y Y Y Y Y Y Y

Key:

Y = Yes

N = No

- = No Response

Survey Questions

1. Do you believe an employee who does not want the "services" of a labor union should have the right to refuse to accept that union as his exclusive representative, which federal law now forces him to accept?
2. Will you support repeal of the provisions in federal laws which authorize compulsory unionism?
3. Do you favor preservation of Section 14(b) of the Taft-Hartley Act, which authorizes state Right to Work laws?
4. Would you support legislation to end the special immunity union officials presently enjoy from prosecution under the federal anti-extortion statute?
5. Will you oppose the forced unionization of federal, state, county and municipal employees?
6. Will you support amendments to the Federal Election Campaign Act to prohibit the use of compulsory union dues and fees for political causes and candidates opposed by union members?
7. Will you oppose so-called "anti-double breasting" legislation that has, as its primary goal, to forcibly unionize employees of construction companies?
8. Will you oppose legislation to weaken or destroy the Hatch Act, which protects federal employees from union political coercion?
9. Will you oppose legislation that would punish or require the firing of employees who choose to work during a strike, and give union officials the power to shut down businesses that refuse to force their employees to pay union dues?

Note: The National Right to Work Committee, of course, endorses no candidates. We are a nonpartisan organization. But we believe that you as a Right to Work supporter are entitled to know which candidates will support the right of every American to earn a living — without having to pay union bosses for the privilege.

201011307 3710

ROSTER OF CANDIDATES • STATE OF NEVADA

U.S. Senate

Questions #: 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9

Harry Reid-D	-----
Demar Dahl-R	Y Y Y Y Y Y Y Y
(702) 752-3806	

U.S. House of Representatives

Questions #: 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9

District 1	
J. Coy Pettyjohn-R	Y Y Y Y Y Y Y Y
(702) 259-8683	
James Bilbray-D	-----
(702) 369-8155	

District 2	
Pete Sferrazza-D	-----
(702) 324-7383	
Barbara Vucanovich-R	Y Y Y Y Y Y Y Y

Key:

Y = Yes

N = No

- = No Response

Survey Questions

1. Do you believe an employee who does not want the "services" of a labor union should have the right to refuse to accept that union as his exclusive representative, which federal law now forces him to accept?
2. Will you support repeal of the provisions in federal laws which authorize compulsory unionism?
3. Do you favor preservation of Section 14(b) of the Taft-Hartley Act, which authorizes state Right to Work laws?
4. Would you support legislation to end the special immunity union officials presently enjoy from prosecution under the federal anti-extortion statute?
5. Will you oppose the forced unionization of federal, state, county and municipal employees?
6. Will you support amendments to the Federal Election Campaign Act to prohibit the use of compulsory union dues and fees for political causes and candidates opposed by union members?
7. Will you oppose so-called "anti-double breasting" legislation that has, as its primary goal, to forcibly unionize employees of construction companies?
8. Will you oppose legislation to weaken or destroy the Hatch Act, which protects federal employees from union political coercion?
9. Will you oppose legislation that would punish or require the firing of employees who choose to work during a strike, and give union officials the power to shut down businesses that refuse to force their employees to pay union dues?

Note: The National Right to Work Committee, of course, endorses no candidates. We are a nonpartisan organization. But we believe that you as a Right to Work supporter are entitled to know which candidates will support the right of every American to earn a living — without having to pay union bosses for the privilege.

BACKGROUNDER

The information below is helpful in explaining the questions on the reverse side of this form.

1. A union, under present federal laws, is empowered to represent and bind all employees in a company's bargaining unit — including employees who oppose the union and don't want its "services."
This monopoly bargaining power, generally described as "exclusive bargaining rights," deprives employees of their right to bargain for themselves. Union officials fought for this power and refuse to give it up; yet they complain they are "unfairly burdened by the legal obligation" to represent nonmembers.
Such complaints are intended to pave the way for compelling financial support from so-called "free riders" for representation they do not want.
2. The firing of workers who refuse to pay union dues and/or fees is explicitly sanctioned by both the National Labor Relations Act and the National Railway Labor Act.
Section 7 of the NLRA, for example, stipulates that employees shall have "the right to refrain" from participating in union activities "except to the extent that such right may be affected by an agreement requiring membership in a labor organization as a condition of employment."
The problem of compulsory unionism was created by Congress. It will not be solved until Congress repeals the existing federal authorizations of compulsory unionism.
3. In 21 states, wage earners — except those covered by the National Railway Labor Act — are shielded from compulsory unionism by Right to Work laws.
The Florida guarantee is typical of these laws, saying, "The right of persons to work shall not be denied or abridged on account of membership or nonmembership in any labor union or labor organization."
The authority of states to adopt and enforce such laws is reaffirmed by Section 14(b) of the Taft-Hartley amendments to the National Labor Relations Act.
4. Extortion, as a technique, is extremely useful to union officials in obtaining such demands as compulsory union shops, "agency" shops, compulsory hiring halls and irrevocable dues check-off clauses.
While most criminal law is administered at the state and local level, some criminal activities (including extortion), which obstruct interstate commerce, have been deemed by Congress to be so important that they should be covered by federal statutes.
As the federal law currently stands, union officials have unique special immunities from prosecution for threatening to commit or committing felonies — such as murder, manslaughter, maiming, arson, aggravated property destruction, explosives or firearms offenses, etc. — to obtain collective bargaining demands.
5. For the past several years, Congress has been confronted by bills designed to authorize the forced unionization of public employees at various levels of government.
Several of these proposals are aimed at state, county and municipal employees and would nullify existing state laws which shield public employees from union coercion.
Other bills would strip postal workers and other federal employees of the freedom of choice guaranteed by the Postal Reorganization Act of 1970 and executive orders dating back to the administration of President John F. Kennedy.
6. Labor unions are the only private organization in the U.S. which can legally force individuals to pay dues into their treasuries.
The Federal Election Campaign Act (FECA) prohibits union officials from giving any of these dues dollars directly to a candidate for federal office.
At the same time, FECA permits union officials to use workers' compulsory dues dollars for "in-kind" political spending on goods and services to elect candidates for federal offices.
These "in-kind" expenditures are in addition to union PAC contributions; they are seldom — if ever — documented or reported to the Federal Election Commission.
No official statistics for total union "in-kind" expenditures are available. But Labor columnist Victor Riesel estimated that this so-called "soft money" amounted to 10 times more than what union PACs gave in cash contributions. Based on that yardstick, union "soft money" in 1990 exceeded \$350 million.
7. In recent years, legislation has been introduced in Congress to automatically impose union representation upon workers of nonunionized companies which have even the slightest economic links to unionized companies.
Even though the nonunionized and the unionized companies each perform separate and distinct work, the compulsory union contract would be automatically imposed upon the nonunion workers, without even the show of an election conducted by the National Labor Relations Board to determine worker support.
The "anti-double breasting" legislation would also encourage Common Situs picketing by permitting union organizers to use a dispute with a single subcontractor as an excuse to picket and shut down all the other subcontractors at a job site.
8. Legislation has been introduced repeatedly in Congress to loosen the 50-year-old Hatch Act's restrictions against partisan political activity by federal employees.
Federal union officials now wield monopoly bargaining power over federal employees, which makes union officials the sole conduit for civil servants in collective bargaining and grievance situations.
Current proposals to weaken the Hatch Act lack explicit prohibitions against the use of monopoly bargaining power to coerce civil servants into supporting federal union officials' political agenda.
9. Legislation has been introduced in Congress that would prevent employers from hiring permanent replacement workers during a strike.
The bill's provisions would also penalize workers who choose not to strike by giving preferential, post-strike hiring privileges to strikers.
Since an employer is unlikely to find employees who will work during a violent strike under these conditions, employers would be forced to cave in to every demand by union officials — including the demand that workers who refuse to pay union dues be fired.

ROSTER OF FEDERAL CANDIDATES • STATE OF NEVADA

10/13/92
cc

NEVADA

U.S. Senate

Questions #: 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9

Harry Reid-D -----
Demar Dahl-R Y Y Y Y Y Y Y Y Y
(702) 752-3886 737-8800

U.S. House of Representatives

Questions #: 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9

District 1
J. Coy Pettyjohn-R Y Y Y Y Y Y Y Y Y
(702) 259-8683
James Bilbray-D -----
(702) 369-8155

District 2
Pete Sferrazza-D -----
(702) 324-7383
Barbara
Vucanovich-R Y Y Y Y Y Y Y Y Y

Key:

Y = Yes

N = No

- = No Response

Survey Questions

1. Do you believe an employee who does not want the "services" of a labor union should have the right to refuse to accept that union as his exclusive representative, which federal law now forces him to accept?
2. Will you support repeal of the provisions in federal laws which authorize compulsory unionism?
3. Do you favor preservation of Section 14(b) of the Taft-Hartley Act, which authorizes state Right to Work laws?
4. Would you support legislation to end the special immunity union officials presently enjoy from prosecution under the federal anti-extortion statute?
5. Will you oppose the forced unionization of federal, state, county and municipal employees?
6. Will you support amendments to the Federal Election Campaign Act to prohibit the use of compulsory union dues and fees for political causes and candidates opposed by union members?
7. Will you oppose so-called "anti-double breasting" legislation that has, as its primary goal, to forcibly unionize employees of construction companies?
8. Will you oppose legislation to weaken or destroy the Hatch Act, which protects federal employees from union political coercion?
9. Will you oppose legislation that would punish or require the firing of employees who choose to work during a strike, and give union officials the power to shut down businesses that refuse to force their employees to pay union dues?

Note: The National Right to Work Committee, of course, endorses no candidates. We are a nonpartisan organization. But we believe that you as a Right to Work supporter are entitled to know which candidates will support the right of every American to earn a living — without having to pay union bosses for the privilege.

BEFORE THE FEDERAL ELECTION COMMISSION

In the Matter of)
Witness Subpoena to)
The National Right to)
Work Committee)

MUR 3774

DOCUMENTS FROM NRTWC

Supplemental Submission in Response to
Letter from FEC dated 9/4/97

Item 1.b.

COST ANALYSIS SHEET

-WI-

MAILING Federal Survey House Target Special - General Election -

CODES/COUNTS WI Q20898 = 3,788 / K20838 = 12,058 / U20528 = 27,828

DROP DATE _____ CLASS 1ST TOTAL DROPPED 43,674

PACKAGE:

	Dept.	Cost Per	Cost
	Envelope	M	
Printing Letter	#17	46.88	2,047.2
Roster	#17	38.77	1,693.2
Reply	#17	19.65	858.10
Envelopes #10 - Actiongram	#17	16.00	698.75
6 3/4 BRE - RL	#27	6.95	303.53
Mailing SISK	#17	35.00	1,528.50
Postage	#17	290.00	12,665.40
Wiland	#17	3.04	132.95
List Company			
Typesetting			
Misc.			

Total For #17 = \$19,624.47

Total For #27 = 303.53

Total \$19,928.00

SUB TOTAL

COST PER PKG.

PLUS OVERAGE

TOTAL COST



NATIONAL RIGHT TO WORK COMMITTEE

8001 BRADDOCK ROAD
SPRINGFIELD, VIRGINIA 22160

P.O. No. C 22705

THIS ORDER NO. MUST
APPEAR ON ALL INVOICES

PURCHASE ORDER

☐ STATE ACTIVITIES ☐ PUBLIC RELATIONS ☐ MEMBERSHIP ☐ GENERAL PROGRAMS ☐ SPECIAL PROJECTS

TO

SHIP TO

LETTERCOM

SISK MAILING SERVICE

ATTN: MIKE NOCERA

ATTN: CLYDE SISK

Dept. 17

DATE	DATE REQUIRED	RE
10/21/92	ASAP	FEDERAL SURVEY HOUSE TARGET SPECIAL - ROSTER


QUANTITY	DESCRIPTION	PRICE
44m	<p>Mike, please print the following:</p> <p>WI ROSTER - 8 1/2 x 11 on 50# Ivory offset. Prints 1/1 Black. Letterfold headout with common edge to a #10.</p> <p>Please call with any questions or problems.</p> <p>Thank you.</p> <p>Cost: Printing and Shipping</p> <p>Return artwork and 5 samples to NRTW attn: Morgan Birge.</p>	<p>EST. \$1,320.00</p>

PLEASE INVOICE IN DUPLICATE

PRINTERS: PLEASE SEND ONE SAMPLE
WITH EACH INVOICE.

Amo R. Jones
AUTHORIZED SIGNATURE

DESK



310 Swann Avenue • Alexandria, VA 22301 (703) 683-3105

SOLD TO:

National Right to Work
8001 Braddock Road
Springfield, VA 22160

INVOICE

INVOICE DATE

10/23/92

INVOICE NO.

034864

PO NUMBER: 22705

JOB #008412

TERMS

NET 30 DAYS

DESCRIPTION

AMOUNT

FEDERAL SURVEY HOUSE TARGET SPECIAL - ROSTER

44,000 Copies, print 2 pages on Ivory paper and
fold

1584.00

Fas Fax to Sisk

109.25

C 549 F 1

ROUTE TO: ARL

APPROVED: 9027

DEPT# 17 ACCT# 720

THANK YOU

for your order. We have given it our very careful
attention. We appreciate your confidence in us,
and look forward to serving you again soon.

SUBTOTAL

1693.25

TAX

TOTAL

1693.25



NATIONAL RIGHT TO WORK COMMITTEE

8001 BRADDOCK ROAD
SPRINGFIELD, VIRGINIA 22160

P.O. No. C 22732

THIS ORDER NO. MUST
APPEAR ON ALL INVOICES

PURCHASE ORDER

☐ STATE ACTIVITIES ☐ PUBLIC RELATIONS ☐ MEMBERSHIP ☐ GENERAL PROGRAMS ☐ SPECIAL PROJECTS

TO

SHIP TO

LETTERCOM

SISK MAILING SERVICE

ATTN: MIKE NOCERA

ATTN: CLYDE SISK

Dept. 17

DATE	DATE REQUIRED	RE:
10/22/92	ASAP	FED SURVEY HOUSE TARGET SPECIAL -WI LETTER-

QUANTITY	DESCRIPTION	PER M	PRICE
45m	<p>Mike, please print the following:</p> <p>WI LETTER -- 8 1/2 x 14" on 60# White offset. Prints 1/1 Black. Letterfold headout with common edge to a #10.</p> <p>Please call with any questions or problems.</p> <p>Thank you.</p> <p>Cost: Printing and Shipping</p> <p>Return artwork and 5 samples to NRTW attn: Amos Lock.</p>		EST. \$1,700.00

PLEASE INVOICE IN DUPLICATE

PRINTERS: PLEASE SEND ONE SAMPLE
WITH EACH INVOICE.

Amos R. Lock
AUTHORIZED SIGNATURE

DESK

LETTERCOMM INC

Printing • Mailing • List Maintenance

310 Swann Avenue • Alexandria, VA 22301 (703) 683-3105

SOLD TO:

National Right to Work
8001 Braddock Road
Springfield, VA 22160

INVOICE

INVOICE DATE

10/23/92

INVOICE NO.

034865

PO NUMBER: 22732

JOB #008447

TERMS

NET 30 DAYS

DESCRIPTION

AMOUNT

WISCONSIN LETTER

45,000 Copies, print 2 pages on White paper, fold
and deliver

1938.00

Fas Fax to sisk

109.25

C 550 F 1
ROUTE TO: ARL
APPROVED: ART
DEPT# 17 ACCT# 720

THANK YOU

for your order. We have given it our very careful
attention. We appreciate your confidence in us,
and look forward to serving you again soon.

SUBTOTAL

2047.25

TAX

TOTAL

2047.25

**NATIONAL RIGHT TO WORK COMMITTEE**8001 BRADDOCK ROAD
SPRINGFIELD, VIRGINIA 22160

P.O. No. C 22704

THIS ORDER NO. MUST
APPEAR ON ALL INVOICES**PURCHASE ORDER**☐ STATE ACTIVITIES ☐ PUBLIC RELATIONS ☐ MEMBERSHIP ☐ GENERAL PROGRAMS ☐ SPECIAL PROJECTS

TO

SHIP TO

LETTERCOM

SPLIT DELIVERY

ATTN: MIKE NOCERA

SEE BELOW

Dept. 17

DATE	DATE REQUIRED	RE:
10/21/92	ASAP	FEDERAL SURVEY -REPLY'S-

QUANTITY	DESCRIPTION	REPLY	PRICE
75m	<p>Mike, please print the following:</p> <p>REPLY - 8 1/2 x 5 1/2 on 50# Green offset. Prints 1/1 Black. Tri-fold in thirds headout with common edge.</p> <p><u>SPLIT DELIVERY:</u> DELIVER 31M TO: HARRIS SERVICES ATTN: DAVE HARRIS</p> <p>DELIVER 44M TO: SISK MAILING SERVICE 7 CHESAPEAKE BAY BUSINESS PARK STEVENSVILLE, MD 21666 ATTN: CLYDE SISK</p> <p>Please call with any questions or problems.</p> <p>Thank you.</p> <p>Cost: Printing and Shipping.</p> <p>Return artwork and 5 samples to NRTW attn: Morgan Birge.</p>		

EST. \$1,500.00

PLEASE INVOICE IN DUPLICATE

PRINTERS: PLEASE SEND ONE SAMPLE
WITH EACH INVOICE.

AUTHORIZED SIGNATURE

DESK

LETTERCOMM INC

Printing • Mailing • List Maintenance

310 Swann Avenue • Alexandria, VA 22301 (703) 683-3105

SOLD TO:

National Right to Work
8001 Braddock Road

Springfield, VA 22160

INVOICE

INVOICE DATE

10/23/92

INVOICE NO.

034863

PO NUMBER: 22704

JOB #008411

TERMS

NET 30 DAYS

DESCRIPTION

AMOUNT

FEDERAL SURVEY REPLY

75,000 Copies, print 2 pages on Green paper, cut and fold

1335.00

Fas Fax to Sisk 44,000

109.25

C 548 F 1

ROUTE TO: ARL

APPROVED: QAT

DEPT# 17 ACCT# 720

19,65 m



SUBTOTAL

1444.25

TAX

TOTAL

1444.25

HANK YOU

Thank you for your order. We have given it our very careful attention. We appreciate your confidence in us, and look forward to serving you again soon.



NATIONAL RIGHT TO WORK COMMITTEE

8001 BRADDOCK ROAD
SPRINGFIELD, VIRGINIA 22160

P.O. No. C 22740

THIS ORDER NO. MUST
APPEAR ON ALL INVOICES

PURCHASE ORDER

☐ STATE ACTIVITIES ☐ PUBLIC RELATIONS ☐ MEMBERSHIP ☐ GENERAL PROGRAMS ☐ SPECIAL PROJECTS

TO
Sisk Mailing
ATTN: Clyde Sisk

SHIP TO
Amos Lock
Drop 10/26/92

Dept. 17

DATE	DATE REQUIRED	RE:	
10/23/92	Drop 10/26/92	WI Federal Survey Target -Mailshop-	
QUANTITY	DESCRIPTION	PER M	PRICE
43,674	<p>This job will be mailed First Class live stamp. It will insert in the following order:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none">* #10 Carrier - Yellow Actiongram.* Letter - 8 1/2" x 14" White Reed Larson* Roster - 8 1/2" x 11" Ivory "WI"* Reply - 8 1/2" x 5 1/2" Green Federal* BRE - 6 3/4 RL till gone then #9 RL <p>Please label back of BRE to show thru Window.</p> <p>Please over insert 50 samples of the package and send to NRTW attn: Amos Lock.</p> <p>Postage = Processing</p>		<p>#12,665.46 EST. X #2,400.00</p>

PLEASE INVOICE IN DUPLICATE

PRINTERS: PLEASE SEND ONE SAMPLE
WITH EACH INVOICE.

Amos R. Lock
AUTHORIZED SIGNATURE

DESK

SISK MAILING SERVICE, INC.

7 ESAPPEAKE BAY BUSINESS PARK
 STEVENSVILLE, MARYLAND 21666-0789
 DC 459-SISK
 MD (301) 643-SISK
 FAX DC-459-0973

PAGE - 1

TERMS: ALL CHARGES ARE PAYABLE 10 DAYS NET. A SERVICE CHARGE OF 1.5% PER MONTH (ANNUAL PERCENTAGE RATE 18%) WILL BE ADDED TO PAST DUE ITEMS.

----- SPECIAL INSTRUCTIONS -----

SOLD TO:

Ms Denise Koff
 National Right To Work
 Committee
 8001 Braddock Road
 Springfield, VA 22151-2110

IN ORDER TO GIVE PROPER CREDIT TO YOUR ACCOUNT, PLEASE ENCLOSE A COPY OF THE INVOICE WITH YOUR PAYMENT

20-01-92

TERMS	JOB NUMBER	CUSTOMER NUMBER	CUSTOMER P.O. NUMBER AND JOB TITLE		DATE OF INVOICE	INVOICE NUMBER
T 10 DAYS E: 11-21-92	3687-00	4510497	22740 WISC.		11-11-92	S 368700
QUANTITY/HOURS	DESCRIPTION		PRICE PER UNIT	CODE	TOTAL PRICE	
43,674	AFFIX STAMP, AFFIX LABELS INSERT 4, SEAL, TRAY & MAIL- FIRST CLASS		35.00	@ 1000	1,528.59	
<div>C 618 F 1 ROUTE TO: ARL APPROVED: CLAY DEPT# 17 ACCT# 737</div>						
SERVICE					PLEASE PAY THIS AMOUNT ➡	
					\$1,528.59	

PLEASE INVOICE IN DUPLICATE

PRINTERS: PLEASE SEND ONE SAMPLE WITH EACH INVOICE.

Amos R. [Signature]
 AUTHORIZED SIGNATURE

BOOKKEEPING

20.04.397.3733

26085

AL RIGHT TO WORK COMMITTEE

730 17

12,665.46

Invoice # 102292

Counts NEED to
BE Adjusted ON
System

Feb Survey not on

✓u 20518

✓u 20528-

✓u 20538

✓Q 20878

✓Q 20898-

20040130 10:00



NATIONAL RIGHT TO WORK COMMITTEE

8001 BRADDOCK ROAD
SPRINGFIELD, VIRGINIA 22160

PRINTED BY THE STANDARD REGISTER COMPANY U.S.A. ZIPSET 10

P.O. No. C 12217

THIS ORDER NO. MUST
APPEAR ON ALL INVOICES

PURCHASE ORDER

☐ STATE ACTIVITIES ☐ PUBLIC RELATIONS ☐ MEMBERSHIP ☐ GENERAL PROGRAMS ☒ SPECIAL PROJECTS

TO Neodata
Attn: May Haddad

SHIP TO
Sisk

ARL

Q20898
K20838
U20528

DATE 10/20/92 DATE REQUIRED 10/22/92 RE: Wisconsin Fed. Surv. Special

QUANTITY	DESCRIPTION	PER M	PRICE
	May, using the WI Active, Inactive & Supporter files that you pulled off previously (W.O. 74363), and (W.O. 73639) please provide a set of 4-up cheshire labels for each mail key. Include name and address, mail key and keyline. Keep each mail key separate.		
	<u>Mail Key Select</u> Q20898 Active WI D/A. Omit flags A,M,N,O,J,2. K20838 Inactive WI D/A. Omit flags A,M,N,O,J,2. U20528 WI Supporters.		
	<u>These labels must be at Sisk by Thurs. 10/22/92.</u>		

PLEASE INVOICE IN DUPLICATE

PRINTERS: PLEASE SEND ONE SAMPLE
WITH EACH INVOICE.

Lisa Schalek
AUTHORIZED SIGNATURE



1101 INTERNATIONAL PARKWAY
FREDERICKSBURG, VIRGINIA 22403-5445
(703) 752-2541

REMIT CHECKS TO:
NEODATA DATABASE MARKETING SERVICES, INC.
P.O. BOX 25504
RICHMOND, VIRGINIA 23260-5504

FEIN: 54-0888925

INVOICE NO.

111651

WORK ORDER NUMBER	ACCOUNT NO.	CUSTOMER P.O.	DATE
74765E	00101	12-217	OCTOBER 31, 1992

SOLD TO:

NRTWC
8001 BRADDOCK ROAD
SPRINGFIELD VA 22160
ATTN: GINA GUNN

745 - \$48.21
734 - \$84.74

SHIPPED TO:

C 590 F I
ROUTE TO: SPS
APPROVED: SPS
DEPT# 17 ACCT#

INVOICE

FUNCTION PERFORMED - DESCRIPTION	QUANTITY	UNIT PRICE	EXTENSION	ACC'T CODE
WI FEDERAL SURVEY SPECIAL				
PRINT 4-UP CHESHIRE LABELS				
ACTIVES WI	3,788	MIN CHG	21.50	
INACTIVES WI	12,052	MIN CHG	21.50	
SUPPORTERS WI	27,828	1.50/M	41.74	
SHIPPING AND HANDLING CHARGES				
FED EX WAYBILL #'S				
2281981441				
DATE				
10-22				
			745 - \$48.21	

October 26, 1992

Dear Wisconsin Member:

I'm writing you today because I'm very concerned about how State Senator Russell Feingold will vote on compulsory-unionism legislation if he becomes Wisconsin's next U.S. Senator.

If, as a U.S. Senator, Russell Feingold continues to hand forced-unionism powers to Big Labor, Wisconsin will suffer.

And so will the entire nation.

As a Wisconsin State Senator for 10 years, Mr. Feingold has voted with the union hierarchy and against individual freedom for workers and small businesses every time.

One of Big Labor's most reliable water carriers, Mr. Feingold sponsored and rammed through the Wisconsin Senate a Pushbutton Strike bill "clone" (S.B. 70) which, like U.S. Senator Ted Kennedy's federal bill, would force employers to punish or even fire workers who resist union-boss strike orders.

If Governor Tommy Thompson had not heeded Right to Work advocates' pleas to veto this bill, it would have incited violent strikes, increased Big Labor's power to force workers to pay union dues, and driven more businesses and good jobs out of the Badger State.

State Senator Feingold has also actively pushed for a bill (S.B. 262) to strip University of Wisconsin employees of the right to bargain for themselves over their wages and benefits by forcing them to accept union "representation."

Handing faculty union czars this monopoly bargaining privilege would bring the inflated costs, declining quality and bloated bureaucracy -- common in Wisconsin's union-boss ruled primary and secondary schools -- to higher education.

Mr. Feingold's record clearly indicates that he could be counted on to back his pals in Big Labor.

Organized Labor is within a whisker of total control over our federal government. If the union political machine sweeps Wisconsin and just two other U.S. Senate seats into Big Labor's camp (and possibly the White House as well), Mr. Feingold could cast the key vote to enact the entire union-boss political agenda into law.

He could vote to destroy all Right to Work laws by abolishing Section 14(b) of the Taft-Hartley Act, enact the Pushbutton Strike bill, repeal the Hatch Act, and force all government employees to pay union dues to swell Big Labor's political and organizing coffers.

That's why I've already asked you to inundate Mr. Feingold with phone calls, letters and postcards. Thousands of angry Wisconsin citizens are now urging him to support Right to Work.

But State Senator Feingold continues to stonewall. Your protests seem to be falling on deaf ears.

Why is Russell Feingold ignoring you and the rest of the huge majority of Wisconsin citizens who oppose compulsory unionism?

Maybe it's because Russell Feingold is up to his ears in union forced-dues "in-kind" campaign favors. Paul Gigot of the respected Wall Street Journal points out that Mr. Feingold is

strongly backed by the big-time, big-money Wisconsin Education Association Council (WEAC) teacher union.

WEAC, a subsidiary of the National Education Association (NEA) union, has dumped hundreds of thousands of dollars worth of hidden "soft" money into Mr. Feingold's campaign in the form of partisan get-out-the-vote drives, phone banks, and full-time, paid "volunteers."

WEAC has even lent one of its former officers, Morris Andrews, to Mr. Feingold as his campaign chairman. Until recently, Andrews was the top boss of WEAC and is considered the heaviest of the heavyweight special interest lobbyists in Madison. Now he's there making certain Big Labor gains control of another Senator.

So while Mr. Feingold is refusing to tell Right to Work supporters his position on forced unionism, union lobbyists know he'll vote any way they say.

It's a simple (if sleazy) arrangement.

He votes their way, they bankroll his State Legislative and U.S. Senate campaigns with forced-union dues stolen from American workers.

Please call State Senator Russell Feingold now at (608) 251-7800 and urge him to repudiate his support for forced unionism. Only your protests can make Mr. Feingold renounce his cozy relationship with Big Labor.

Tell State Senator Feingold you won't stand for his political dirty pool of taking Big Labor backroom payoffs -- while he stonewalls Wisconsin citizens. Tell him he's not fooling anybody, and urge him to stop voting to force workers to pay union dues.

On the other hand, Mr. Feingold's opponent, Robert Kasten, did respond to his survey generally in favor of Right to Work, and almost always voted for Right to Work in the U.S. Senate.

Mr. Kasten has voted to stop Ted Kennedy's Pushbutton Strike bill, fought for tougher enforcement of the Supreme Court's ban on the use of forced dues for politics, opposed efforts to require public servants to pay union dues, and supported a crackdown on strike violence.

Unfortunately, Senator Kasten did vote to gut the Hatch Act. This bill would have allowed union officials to browbeat the 2.9 million federal employees and thousands of U.S. taxpayers into supporting the union political machine. In 1991, Big Labor came just two votes shy of corraling enough senators to override President Bush's veto and gut the 53-year-old law.

Now union czars are pressuring Mr. Kasten intensely to renounce all support for the Right to Work.

It's vital that you urge Mr. Kasten to reconsider his support for Hatch Act repeal. Please call him at (414) 354-1000 and tell him the people of Wisconsin support his pledge on all other Right to Work issues.

Sincerely,

Reed Larson

P.S. State Senator Russell Feingold must feel the heat from concerned citizens like you to stop voting to force workers to pay union dues. Now is the time, in the face of a tough U.S. Senate battle, when he is most likely to mend his ways. Please call him today.

COPY APPROVAL/PROOFING CHECK-OFF

(ATTACH TO FINAL DRAFT COPY WITH DISK)

DATE: 10/22

PACKAGE: Line 500 to 9.00 L.H.

ORIGINATOR: ILAR

CHECK OFF IF . . . ROUTED TO: APPROVED BY:

MEF	<u>✓</u>	<u>✓</u>
SG	<u> </u>	<u> </u>
MAM	<u> </u>	<u> </u>
KG	<u>✓</u>	<u>✓</u>
RL	<u> </u>	<u> </u>
RJC	<u> </u>	<u> </u>
HLW	<u> </u>	<u> </u>

ALL RECOMMENDED CHANGES MADE: (YES) NO

FACT CHECK COMPLETED: 10/22/92 APPROVED: [Signature]
(DATE) (SIGNATURE)

TO PROOFING:

CHANGES NEEDED:

mid
STG

CHANGES MADE:

1ST SDK/PB 10/22/92

Yes (h-)

10/22/92 PB

2ND PB/Smz 10/22/92

Yes

10/22/92 PB

3RD SPK/Smz 10/22/92

No

RETURN THIS FORM (WITH COPY ATTACHED) TO ORIGINATOR.

26 per ArL
October 23, 1992

Dear Wisconsin Member:

I'm writing you today because I'm very concerned about how State Senator Russell Feingold will vote on compulsory-unionism legislation if he becomes Wisconsin's next U.S. Senator.

If, as a U.S. Senator, Russell Feingold continues to hand forced-unionism powers to Big Labor, Wisconsin will suffer.

And so will the entire nation.

As a Wisconsin State Senator for 10 years, Mr. Feingold has voted with the union hierarchy and against individual freedom for workers and small businesses every time.

One of Big Labor's most reliable water carriers, Mr. Feingold sponsored and rammed through the Wisconsin Senate a Pushbutton Strike bill "clone" (S.B. 70) which, like U.S. Senator Ted Kennedy's federal bill, would force employers to punish or even fire workers who resist union-boss strike orders.

If Governor Tommy Thompson had not heeded Right to Work advocates' pleas to veto this bill, it would have incited violent strikes, increased Big Labor's power to force workers to pay union dues, and driven more businesses and good jobs out of the Badger State.

State Senator Feingold has also actively pushed for a bill (S.B. 262) to strip University of Wisconsin employees of the right to bargain for themselves over their wages and benefits by forcing them to accept union "representation."

Handing faculty union czars this monopoly bargaining privilege would bring the inflated costs, declining quality and bloated bureaucracy -- common in Wisconsin's union-boss ruled primary and secondary schools -- to higher education.

Mr. Feingold's record clearly indicates that he could be counted on to back his pals in Big Labor.

Organized Labor is within a whisker of total control over our federal government. If the union political machine sweeps Wisconsin and just two other U.S. Senate seats into Big Labor's camp (and possibly the White House as well), Mr. Feingold could cast the key vote to enact the entire union-boss political agenda into law.

He could vote to destroy all Right to Work laws by abolishing Section 14(b) of the Taft-Hartley Act, enact the Pushbutton Strike bill, repeal the Hatch Act, and force all government employees to pay union dues to swell Big Labor's political and organizing coffers.

That's why I've already asked you to inundate Mr. Feingold with phone calls, letters and postcards. Thousands of angry Wisconsin citizens are now urging him to support Right to Work.

But State Senator Feingold continues to stonewall. Your protests seem to be falling on deaf ears.

Why is Russell Feingold ignoring you and the rest of the huge majority of Wisconsin citizens who oppose compulsory unionism?

Maybe it's because Russell Feingold is up to his ears in union forced-dues "in-kind" campaign favors. Paul Gigot of the respected Wall Street Journal points out that Mr. Feingold is

strongly backed by the big-time, big-money Wisconsin Education Association Council (WEAC) teacher union.

WEAC, a subsidiary of the National Education Association (NEA) union, has dumped hundreds of thousands of dollars worth of hidden "soft" money into Mr. Feingold's campaign in the form of partisan get-out-the-vote drives, phone banks, and full-time, paid "volunteers."

WEAC has even lent one of its former officers, Morris Andrews, to Mr. Feingold as his campaign chairman. Until recently, Andrews was the top boss of WEAC and is considered the heaviest of the heavyweight special interest lobbyists in Madison. Now he's there making certain Big Labor gains control of another Senator.

So while Mr. Feingold is refusing to tell Right to Work supporters his position on forced unionism, union lobbyists know he'll vote any way they say.

It's a simple (if sleazy) arrangement.

He votes their way; they bankroll his State Legislative and U.S. Senate campaigns with forced-union dues stolen from American workers.

Please call State Senator Russell Feingold now at (608) 251-7800 and urge him to repudiate his support for forced unionism. Only your protests can make Mr. Feingold renounce his cozy relationship with Big Labor.

Tell State Senator Feingold you won't stand for his political dirty pool of taking Big Labor backroom payoffs -- while he stonewalls Wisconsin citizens. Tell him he's not fooling anybody, and urge him to stop voting to force workers to pay union dues.

On the other hand, Mr. Feingold's opponent, Robert Kasten, did respond to his survey generally in favor of Right to Work, and almost always voted for Right to Work in the U.S. Senate.

Mr. Kasten has voted to stop Ted Kennedy's Pushbutton Strike bill, fought for tougher enforcement of the Supreme Court's ban on the use of forced dues for politics, opposed efforts to require public servants to pay union dues, and supported a crackdown on strike violence.

Unfortunately, Senator Kasten did vote to gut the Hatch Act. This bill would have allowed union officials to browbeat the 2.9 million federal employees and thousands of U.S. taxpayers into supporting the union political machine. In 1991, Big Labor came just two votes shy of corraling enough senators to override President Bush's veto and gut the 53-year-old law.

Now union czars are pressuring Mr. Kasten intensely to renounce all support for the Right to Work.

It's vital that you urge Mr. Kasten to reconsider his support for Hatch Act repeal. Please call him at (414) 354-1000 and tell him the people of Wisconsin support his pledge on all other Right to Work issues.

Sincerely,

Reed Larson

P.S. State Senator Russell Feingold must feel the heat from concerned citizens like you to stop voting to force workers to pay union dues. Now is the time, in the face of a tough U.S. Senate battle, when he is most likely to mend his ways. Please call him today.

October 23, 1992

Dear Wisconsin Member:

I'm writing you today because I'm very concerned about how State Senator Russell Feingold will vote on compulsory-unionism legislation if he becomes Wisconsin's next U.S. Senator.

If, as a U.S. Senator, Russell Feingold continues to hand forced-unionism powers to Big Labor, Wisconsin will suffer.

And so will the entire nation.

As a Wisconsin State Senator for 10 years, Mr. Feingold has voted with the union hierarchy and against individual freedom for workers and small businesses every time.

One of Big Labor's most reliable water carriers, Mr. Feingold sponsored and rammed through the Wisconsin Senate a Pushbutton Strike bill "clone" (S.B. 70) which, like U.S. Senator Ted Kennedy's federal bill, would force employers to punish or even fire workers who resist union-boss strike orders. OK

If Governor Tommy Thompson had not heeded Right to Work advocates' pleas to veto this bill, it would have incited violent strikes, increased Big Labor's power to force workers to pay union dues, and driven more businesses and good jobs out of the Badger State.

State Senator Feingold has also actively pushed for a bill (S.B. 262) to strip University of Wisconsin employees of the right to bargain for themselves over their wages and benefits by forcing them to accept union "representation."

Handing faculty union czars this monopoly bargaining privilege would bring the inflated costs, declining quality and bloated bureaucracy common in Wisconsin's union-boss ruled primary and secondary schools to higher education. OK

Mr. Feingold's record clearly indicates that he could be counted on to back his pals in Big Labor.

Organized Labor is within a whisker of total control over our federal government. If the union political machine sweeps Wisconsin and just two other U.S. Senate seats into Big Labor's camp (and possibly the White House as well), Mr. Feingold could cast the key vote to enact the entire union-boss political agenda into law.

He could vote to destroy all Right to Work laws by abolishing Section 14(b) of the Taft-Hartley Act, enact the Pushbutton Strike bill, repeal the Hatch Act, and force all government employees to pay union dues to swell Big Labor's political and organizing coffers.

That's why I've already asked you to inundate Mr. Feingold with phone calls, letters and postcards. Thousands of angry Wisconsin citizens are now urging him to support Right to Work.

But State Senator Feingold continues to stonewall. Your protests seem to be falling on deaf ears.

Why is Russell Feingold ignoring you and the rest of the huge majority of Wisconsin citizens who oppose compulsory unionism?

Maybe it's because Russell Feingold is up to his ears in union forced-dues "in-kind" campaign favors. Paul Gigot of the respected Wall Street Journal points out that Mr. Feingold is OK

strongly backed by the big-time, big-money Wisconsin Education Association Council (WEAC) teacher union.

WEAC, a subsidiary of the National Education Association (NEA) union, has dumped hundreds of thousands of dollars worth of hidden "soft" money into Mr. Feingold's campaign in the form of partisan get-out-the-vote drives, phone banks, and full-time, paid "volunteers."

WEAC has even lent one of its former officers, Morris Andrews, to Mr. Feingold as his campaign chairman. Until recently, Andrews was the top boss of WEAC and is considered the heaviest of the heavyweight special interest lobbyists in Madison. Now he's there making certain Big Labor gains control of another Senator. *OK*

So while Mr. Feingold is refusing to tell Right to Work supporters his position on forced unionism, union lobbyists know he'll vote any way they say. *OK*

It's a simple (if sleazy) arrangement.

He votes their way; they bankroll his State Legislative and U.S. Senate campaigns with forced-union dues stolen from American workers.

Please call State Senator Russell Feingold now at (608) 251-7800 and urge him to repudiate his support for forced unionism. Only your protests can make Mr. Feingold renounce his cozy relationship with Big Labor.

Tell State Senator Feingold you won't stand for his political dirty pool of taking Big Labor backroom payoffs -- while he stonewalls Wisconsin citizens. Tell him he's not fooling anybody, and urge him to stop voting to force workers to pay union dues.

On the other hand, Mr. Feingold's opponent, Robert Kasten, did respond to his survey generally in favor of Right to Work, and almost always voted for Right to Work in the U.S. Senate.

Mr. Kasten has voted to stop Ted Kennedy's Pushbutton Strike bill, fought for tougher enforcement of the Supreme Court's ban on the use of forced dues for politics, opposed efforts to require public servants to pay union dues, and supported a crack down on strike violence. *OK one word*

Unfortunately, Senator Kasten did vote to gut the Hatch Act. This bill would have allowed union officials to browbeat the 2.9 million federal employees and thousands of U.S. taxpayers into supporting the union political machine. In 1991, Big Labor came just two votes shy of corraling enough senators to override President Bush's veto and gut the 53-year-old law. *OK*

Now union czars are pressuring Mr. Kasten intensely to renounce all support for the Right to Work.

It's vital that you urge Mr. Kasten to reconsider his support for Hatch Act repeal. Please call him at (414) 354-1000 and tell him the people of Wisconsin support his pledge on all other Right to Work issues.

Sincerely,

Reed Larson

P.S. State Senator Russell Feingold must feel the heat from concerned citizens like you to stop voting to force workers to pay union dues. Now is the time, in the face of a tough U.S. Senate battle, when he is most likely mend his ways. Please call him today. *to*

October 23, 1992

Dear Wisconsin Member:

I'm writing you today because I'm very concerned about how State Senator Russell Feingold will vote on compulsory unionism legislation if he becomes Wisconsin's next U.S. Senator. OK

If, as a U.S. Senator, Russell Feingold continues to hand forced-unionism powers to Big Labor, Wisconsin will suffer. OK

And so will the entire nation.

As a Wisconsin State Senator for 10 years, Mr. Feingold has voted with the union hierarchy and against individual freedom for workers and small businesses every time.

~~One~~ One of Big Labor's most reliable water carriers, Mr. Feingold sponsored and rammed through the Wisconsin Senate a Pushbutton Strike bill "clone" (S.B. 70) which, like U.S. Senator Ted Kennedy's federal bill, would force employers to punish or even fire workers who resist union-boss strike orders. OK

If Governor ^{Thompson} Tommy Thompson had not heeded Right to Work advocates' pleas to veto this bill, it would have incited violent strikes, increased Big Labor's power to force workers to pay union dues, and driven more businesses and good jobs out of the Badger State.

State Senator Feingold has also actively pushed for a bill (S.B. 262) to strip University of Wisconsin employees of the right to bargain for themselves over their wages and benefits by forcing them to accept union "representation". OK

Handing faculty union czars this monopoly bargaining privilege would bring the inflated costs, declining quality and bloated bureaucracy common in Wisconsin's union-boss-ruled primary and secondary schools to higher education. OK

Mr. Feingold's record clearly indicates that he could be counted on to back his pals in Big Labor. OK

Organized Labor is within a whisker of total control over our federal government. If the union political machine sweeps Wisconsin and just two other U.S. Senate seats into Big Labor's camp (and possibly the White House as well), Mr. Feingold could cast the key vote to enact the entire union-boss political agenda into law.

He could vote to destroy all Right to Work laws by abolishing Section 14(b) of the Taft-Hartley Act, enact the Pushbutton Strike bill, repeal the Hatch Act, and force all government employees to pay union dues to swell Big Labor's political and organizing coffers.

That's why I've already asked you to inundate Mr. Feingold with phone calls, letters and postcards. Thousands of angry Wisconsin citizens are now urging him to support Right to Work.

But State Senator Feingold continues to stonewall. Your protests seem to be falling on deaf ears.

Why is Russell Feingold ignoring you and the rest of the huge majority of Wisconsin citizens who oppose compulsory unionism?

Maybe it's because Russell Feingold is up to his ears in union forced dues, "in-kind" campaign favors. Paul Gigot of the respected Wall Street Journal points out that Mr. Feingold is OK

Stet
Thompson

strongly backed by the big-time, big-money Wisconsin Education Association Council (WEAC) teacher union.

WEAC, a subsidiary of the National Education Association (NEA) union, has dumped hundreds of thousands of dollars worth of hidden "soft" money into Mr. Feingold's campaign in the form of partisan get-out-the-vote drives, phone banks, and full-time, paid "volunteers".

WEAC has even lent one of its former officers, Morris Andrews, to Mr. Feingold as his campaign chairman. Andrews was until recently the top boss of WEAC and is considered the heaviest of the heavyweight special interest lobbyists in Madison. Now he's there making certain Big Labor gains control of another Senator.

So while Mr. Feingold is refusing to tell Right to Work supporters his position on forced unionism, union lobbyists know he'll vote any way they say.

It's a simple (if ^{Not} sleazy) arrangement.

He votes their way; they bankroll his State Legislative and U.S. Senate campaigns with forced union dues stolen from American workers.

Please call State Senator Russell Feingold now at (608) 251-7800 and urge him to repudiate his support for forced unionism. Only your protests can make Mr. Feingold renounce his cozy relationship with Big Labor.

Tell State Senator Feingold you won't stand for his political dirty pool of taking Big Labor backroom payoffs -- while he stonewalls Wisconsin citizens. Tell him he's not fooling anybody, and urge him to stop voting to force workers to pay union dues.

On the other hand, Mr. Feingold's opponent, Robert Kasten, did respond to his survey generally in favor of Right to Work, and almost always voted for Right to Work in the Senate.

Mr. Kasten has voted to stop Ted Kennedy's Pushbutton Strike bill, fought for tougher enforcement of the Supreme Court's ban on the use of forced dues for politics, opposed efforts to require public servants to pay union dues, and crack down on strike violence.

Unfortunately, Senator Kasten did vote to gut the Hatch Act. This bill would have allowed union officials to browbeat the 2.9 million federal employees and thousands of U.S. taxpayers into supporting the union political machine. In 1990, Big Labor came just two votes shy of corraling enough senators to override President Bush's veto and gut the 53-year-old law.

Now union czars are pressuring Mr. Kasten intensely to renounce all support for the Right to Work.

It's vital that you urge Mr. Kasten to reconsider his support for Hatch Act repeal. Please call him at (414) 354-1000 and tell him the people of Wisconsin support his pledge on all other Right to Work issues.

Sincerely,

Reed Larson

P.S. State Senator Russell Feingold must feel the heat from concerned citizens like you to stop voting to force workers to pay union dues. Now is the time, in the face of a tough U.S. Senate battle, when he is most likely to mend his ways. Please call him today.

COPY APPROVAL ROUTING SHEET

(ATTACH TO ALL COPIES)

DATE 10/21

TO: MEF SG KG RJC MAM RL HLW

FROM: ~~SG~~ PACKAGE: Wisc Senate Survey Letter

RETURN TO: ~~SG~~ NEEDED BY: 10/21 ASAP

APPROVED -- I DO NOT NEED TO SEE AGAIN: 7/10/21

NOT APPROVED -- CHANGE AS MARKED & RETURN: _____

COMMENTS:

(IMPORTANT: WHEN NO LONGER IN ROUTING, COMPLETED SHEET, WITH COPY ATTACHED, MUST BE FILED APPROPRIATELY.)

20-04-397-3740

October 23, 1992

FACT
check

Dear Wisconsin Member:

I'm writing you today because I'm very concerned about how State Senator Russell Feingold will vote on compulsory unionism legislation if he becomes Wisconsin's next U.S. Senator.

If, as a U.S. Senator, Russell Feingold continues to hand forced-unionism powers to Big Labor, Wisconsin will suffer.

And so will the entire nation.

As a Wisconsin State Senator for 10 years, Mr. Feingold has voted with the union hierarchy and against individual freedom for workers and small businesses every time.

One of Big Labor's most reliable water carriers, Mr. Feingold sponsored and rammed through the Wisconsin Senate a Pushbutton Strike bill "clone" (S.B. 70) which, like U.S. Senator Ted Kennedy's federal bill, would force employers to punish or even fire workers who resist union-boss strike orders.

If Gov. Tommy Thompson had not heeded Right to Work advocates' pleas to veto this bill, it would have incited violent strikes, increased Big Labor's power to force workers to pay union dues, and driven more businesses and good jobs out of the Badger State.

State Senator Feingold has also actively pushed for a bill (S.B. 262) to strip University of Wisconsin employees of the right to bargain for themselves over their wages and benefits by forcing them to accept union "representation".

Handing faculty union czars this monopoly bargaining privilege would bring the inflated costs, declining quality and bloated bureaucracy, common in Wisconsin's union-boss ruled primary and secondary schools, to higher education.

Mr. Feingold's record clearly indicates that he could be counted on to back his pals in Big Labor.

Organized Labor is within a whisker of total control over our federal government. If the union political machine sweeps Wisconsin and just two other U.S. Senate seats into Big Labor's camp (and possibly the White House as well), Mr. Feingold could cast the key vote to enact the entire union-boss political agenda into law.

He could vote to destroy all Right to Work laws by abolishing Section 14(b) of the Taft-Hartley Act, enact the Pushbutton Strike bill, repeal the Hatch Act, and force all government employees to pay union dues to swell Big Labor's political and organizing coffers.

That's why I've already asked you to inundate Mr. Feingold with phone calls, letters and postcards. Thousands of angry Wisconsin citizens are now urging him to support Right to Work.

But State Senator Feingold continues to stonewall. Your protests seem to be falling on deaf ears.

Why is Russell Feingold ignoring you and the rest of the huge majority of Wisconsin citizens who oppose compulsory unionism?

Maybe it's because Russell Feingold is up to his ears in union forced dues, "in-kind" campaign favors. Paul Gigot of the respected Wall Street Journal points out that Mr. Feingold is

strongly backed by the big-time, big-money Wisconsin Education Association Council (WEAC) teacher union.

WEAC, a subsidiary of the National Education Association (NEA) union, ~~has dumped hundreds of thousands of dollars worth of hidden "soft money" into Mr. Feingold's campaign in the form of partisan get-out-the-vote drives, phone banks, and full-time, paid "volunteers" (1).~~ /

WEAC has even lent one of its former officers, Morris Andrews, to Mr. Feingold as his campaign chairman. ~~Andrews was until recently the top boss of WEAC and is considered the heaviest of the heavyweight special interest lobbyists in Madison. Now he's there making certain Big Labor gains control of another Senator.~~ OK

So while Mr. Feingold is refusing to tell Right to Work supporters his position on forced unionism, union lobbyists know he'll vote any way they say.

It's a simple (if/sleazy) arrangement. /NOT

He votes their way; they bankroll his State Legislative and U.S. Senate campaigns with forced-union dues stolen from American workers. /

Please call State Senator Russell Feingold now at (608) 251-7800 and urge him to repudiate his support for forced unionism. Only your protests can make Mr. Feingold renounce his cozy relationship with Big Labor. OK

Tell State Senator Feingold you won't stand for his political dirty pool of taking Big Labor backroom payoffs -- while he stonewalls Wisconsin citizens. Tell him he's not fooling anybody, and urge him to stop voting to force workers to pay union dues.

On the other hand, Mr. Feingold's opponent, Robert Kasten, did respond to his survey generally in favor of Right to Work, and almost always voted for Right to Work in the Senate. U.S.?

Mr. Kasten has voted to stop Ted Kennedy's Pushbutton Strike bill, fought for tougher enforcement of the Supreme Court's ban on the use of forced dues for politics, opposed efforts to require public servants to pay union dues, and cracked down on strike violence. OK

Unfortunately, Senator Kasten did vote to gut the Hatch Act. This bill would have allowed union officials to browbeat the 2.9 million federal employees and thousands of U.S. taxpayers into supporting the union political machine. In 1990, Big Labor came just two votes shy of corraling enough senators to override President Bush's veto and gut the 53-year-old law.

Now union czars are pressuring Mr. Kasten intensely to renounce all support for the Right to Work.

It's vital that you urge Mr. Kasten to reconsider his support for Hatch Act repeal. Please call him at (608) 217-1444 and tell him the people of Wisconsin support his pledge on all other Right to Work issues. /

Sincerely,

Reed Larson

P.S. State Senator Russell Feingold must feel the heat from concerned citizens like you to stop voting to force workers to pay union dues. Now is the time, in the face of a tough U.S. Senate battle, when he is most likely mend his ways. Please call him today. OK

PRODUCTION APPROVAL/PROOFING CHECK-OFF

(Attach to FINAL copy)

DATE: 10/20/22

PACKAGE: 1926 (W) Fed. Service Special

FROM: _____

HAVE ALL RECOMMENDED CHANGES BEEN MADE?

☐ YES

☐ NO

PROOFING:

1ST SDK/SD 10/20 REVISION NEEDED Yes

2ND SD/SDK 10/20 REVISION NEEDED No

3RD SMZ/SD 10/21 REVISION NEEDED No

4TH _____ REVISION NEEDED _____

5TH _____ REVISION NEEDED _____

6TH _____ REVISION NEEDED _____

FINAL COPY APPROVED?

☒ YES

☐ NO

DATE: 10/21 (SD)

2022-04-29 10:03

ROSTER OF CANDIDATES • STATE OF WISCONSIN

U.S. Senate

Questions #: 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9

Russell Feingold-D -----
Robert Kasten, Jr.-R Y Y Y Y Y Y Y - Y

Questions #: 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9

District 3

Paul Sacia-D -----
Steven Gunderson-R -----

District 4

Gerald Kleczka-D -----
Joseph Cook-R Y Y Y Y Y Y Y Y Y

District 5

Thomas Barrett-D -----
Donalda Ann
Hammersmith-R Y Y Y Y Y Y Y Y Y

District 6

Peggy Lautenschlager-D -----
Thomas Petri-R -----

Questions #: 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9

District 7

David Obey-D -----
Dale Vannes-R Y Y Y Y Y Y Y Y Y

District 8

Catherine Helms-D -----
Toby Roth-R Y Y Y Y Y Y Y Y Y

District 9

Ingrid Buxton-D -----
James
Sensenbrenner, Jr.-R - Y Y Y Y Y Y Y Y Y

U.S. House of Representatives

Questions #: 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9

District 1

Les Aspin-D -----
Mark Neumann-R Y - Y Y Y Y Y Y Y

District 2

Ada Deer-D -----
Scott Klug-R -----

Key:

Y = Yes

N = No

- = No Response

Survey Questions

- Do you believe an employee who does not want the "services" of a labor union should have the right to refuse to accept that union as his exclusive representative, which federal law now forces him to accept?
- Will you support repeal of the provisions in federal laws which authorize compulsory unionism?
- Do you favor preservation of Section 14(b) of the Taft-Hartley Act, which authorizes state Right to Work laws?
- Would you support legislation to end the special immunity union officials presently enjoy from prosecution under the federal anti-extortion statute?
- Will you oppose the forced unionization of federal, state, county and municipal employees?
- Will you support amendments to the Federal Election Campaign Act to prohibit the use of compulsory union dues and fees for political causes and candidates opposed by union members?
- Will you oppose so-called "anti-double breasting" legislation that has, as its primary goal, to forcibly unionize employees of construction companies?
- Will you oppose legislation to weaken or destroy the Hatch Act, which protects federal employees from union political coercion?
- Will you oppose legislation that would punish or require the firing of employees who choose to work during a strike, and give union officials the power to shut down businesses that refuse to force their employees to pay union dues?

Note: The National Right to Work Committee, of course, endorses no candidates. We are a nonpartisan organization. But we believe that you as a Right to Work supporter are entitled to know which candidates will support the right of every American to earn a living — without having to pay union bosses for the privilege.

BACKGROUNDER

The information below is helpful in explaining the questions on the reverse side of this form.

1. A union, under present federal laws, is empowered to represent and bind all employees in a company's bargaining unit — including employees who oppose the union and don't want its "services."
This monopoly bargaining power, generally described as "exclusive bargaining rights," deprives employees of their right to bargain for themselves. Union officials fought for this power and refuse to give it up; yet they complain they are "unfairly burdened by the legal obligation" to represent nonmembers.
Such complaints are intended to pave the way for compelling financial support from so-called "free riders" for representation they do not want.
The firing of workers who refuse to pay union dues and/or fees is explicitly sanctioned by both the National Labor Relations Act and the National Railway Labor Act.
Section 7 of the NLRA, for example, stipulates that employees shall have "the right to refrain" from participating in union activities "except to the extent that such right may be affected by an agreement requiring membership in a labor organization as a condition of employment."
The problem of compulsory unionism was created by Congress. It will not be solved until Congress repeals the existing federal authorizations of compulsory unionism.
In 21 states, wage earners — except those covered by the National Railway Labor Act — are shielded from compulsory unionism by Right to Work laws.
The Florida guarantee is typical of these laws, saying, "The right of persons to work shall not be denied or abridged on account of membership or nonmembership in any labor union or labor organization."
The authority of states to adopt and enforce such laws is reaffirmed by Section 14(b) of the Taft-Hartley amendments to the National Labor Relations Act.
4. Extortion, as a technique, is extremely useful to union officials in obtaining such demands as compulsory union shops, "agency" shops, compulsory hiring halls and irrevocable dues check-off clauses.
While most criminal law is administered at the state and local level, some criminal activities (including extortion), which obstruct interstate commerce, have been deemed by Congress to be so important that they should be covered by federal statutes.
As the federal law currently stands, union officials have unique special immunities from prosecution for threatening to commit or committing felonies — such as murder, manslaughter, maiming, arson, aggravated property destruction, explosives or firearms offenses, etc. — to obtain collective bargaining demands.
5. For the past several years, Congress has been confronted by bills designed to authorize the forced unionization of public employees at various levels of government.
Several of these proposals are aimed at state, county and municipal employees and would nullify existing state laws which shield public employees from union coercion.
Other bills would strip postal workers and other federal employees of the freedom of choice guaranteed by the Postal
- Reorganization Act of 1970 and executive orders dating back to the administration of President John F. Kennedy.
6. Labor unions are the only private organization in the U.S. which can legally force individuals to pay dues into their treasuries.
The Federal Election Campaign Act (FECA) prohibits union officials from giving any of these dues dollars directly to a candidate for federal office.
At the same time, FECA permits union officials to use workers' compulsory dues dollars for "in-kind" political spending on goods and services to elect candidates for federal offices.
These "in-kind" expenditures are in addition to union PAC contributions; they are seldom — if ever — documented or reported to the Federal Election Commission.
No official statistics for total union "in-kind" expenditures are available. But Labor columnist Victor Riesel estimated that this so-called "soft money" amounted to 10 times more than what union PACs gave in cash contributions. Based on that yardstick, union "soft money" in 1990 exceeded \$350 million.
7. In recent years, legislation has been introduced in Congress to automatically impose union representation upon workers of nonunionized companies which have even the slightest economic links to unionized companies.
Even though the nonunionized and the unionized companies each perform separate and distinct work, the compulsory union contract would be automatically imposed upon the nonunion workers, without even the show of an election conducted by the National Labor Relations Board to determine worker support.
The "anti-double breasting" legislation would also encourage Common Situs picketing by permitting union organizers to use a dispute with a single subcontractor as an excuse to picket and shut down all the other subcontractors at a job site.
8. Legislation has been introduced repeatedly in Congress to loosen the 50-year-old Hatch Act's restrictions against partisan political activity by federal employees.
Federal union officials now wield monopoly bargaining power over federal employees, which makes union officials the sole conduit for civil servants in collective bargaining and grievance situations.
Current proposals to weaken the Hatch Act lack explicit prohibitions against the use of monopoly bargaining power to coerce civil servants into supporting federal union officials' political agenda.
9. Legislation has been introduced in Congress that would prevent employers from hiring permanent replacement workers during a strike.
The bill's provisions would also penalize workers who choose not to strike by giving preferential, post-strike hiring privileges to strikers.
Since an employer is unlikely to find employees who will work during a violent strike under these conditions, employers would be forced to cave in to every demand by union officials — including the demand that workers who refuse to pay union dues be fired.

ROSTER OF CANDIDATES • STATE OF WISCONSIN

Center

U.S. Senate

Questions #: 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9

Russell Feingold-D - - - - -
Robert Kasten, Jr.-R Y Y Y Y Y Y Y - Y

Questions #: 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9

District 1
Les Aspin-D - - - - -
Mark Neumann-R Y - Y Y Y Y Y Y Y

District 2
Ada Deer-D - - - - -
Scott Klug-R - - - - -

District 3
Paul Sacia-D - - - - -
Steven Gunderson-R - - - - -

Questions #: 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9

District 4
Gerald Kleczka-D - - - - -
Joseph Cook-R Y Y Y Y Y Y Y Y

District 5
Thomas Barrett-D - - - - -
Donalda Ann
Hammersmith-R Y Y Y Y Y Y Y Y

District 6
Peggy Lautenschlager-D - - - - -
Thomas Petri-R - - - - -

District 7
David Obey-D - - - - -
Dale Vannes-R Y Y Y Y Y Y Y Y

Questions #: 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9

District 8
Catherine Helms-D - - - - -
Toby Roth-R Y Y Y Y Y Y Y Y

District 9
Ingrid Buxton-D - - - - -
James
Sensenbrenner, Jr.-R - Y Y Y Y Y Y Y Y

Key:

Y = Yes

N = No

- = No Response

Survey Questions

- Do you believe an employee who does not want the "services" of a labor union should have the right to refuse to accept that union as his exclusive representative, which federal law now forces him to accept?
- Will you support repeal of the provisions in federal laws which authorize compulsory unionism?
- Do you favor preservation of Section 14(b) of the Taft-Hartley Act, which authorizes state Right to Work laws?
- Would you support legislation to end the special immunity union officials presently enjoy from prosecution under the federal anti-extortion statute?
- Will you oppose the forced unionization of federal, state, county and municipal employees?
- Will you support amendments to the Federal Election Campaign Act to prohibit the use of compulsory union dues and fees for political causes and candidates opposed by union members?
- Will you oppose so-called "anti-double breasting" legislation that has, as its primary goal, to forcibly unionize employees of construction companies?
- Will you oppose legislation to weaken or destroy the Hatch Act, which protects federal employees from union political coercion?
- Will you oppose legislation that would punish or require the firing of employees who choose to work during a strike, and give union officials the power to shut down businesses that refuse to force their employees to pay union dues?

Note: The National Right to Work Committee, of course, endorses no candidates. We are a nonpartisan organization. But we believe that you as a Right to Work supporter are entitled to know which candidates will support the right of every American to earn a living — without having to pay union bosses for the privilege.

BACKGROUNDER

The information below is helpful in explaining the questions on the reverse side of this form.

1. A union, under present federal laws, is empowered to represent and bind all employees in a company's bargaining unit — including employees who oppose the union and don't want its "services."
This monopoly bargaining power, generally described as "exclusive bargaining rights," deprives employees of their right to bargain for themselves. Union officials fought for this power and refuse to give it up; yet they complain they are "unfairly burdened by the legal obligation" to represent nonmembers.
Such complaints are intended to pave the way for compelling financial support from so-called "free riders" for representation they do not want.
The firing of workers who refuse to pay union dues and/or fees is explicitly sanctioned by both the National Labor Relations Act and the National Railway Labor Act.
Section 7 of the NLRA, for example, stipulates that employees shall have "the right to refrain" from participating in union activities "except to the extent that such right may be affected by an agreement requiring membership in a labor organization as a condition of employment."
The problem of compulsory unionism was created by Congress. It will not be solved until Congress repeals the existing federal authorizations of compulsory unionism.
In 21 states, wage earners — except those covered by the National Railway Labor Act — are shielded from compulsory unionism by Right to Work laws.
The Florida guarantee is typical of these laws, saying, "The right of persons to work shall not be denied or abridged on account of membership or nonmembership in any labor union or labor organization."
The authority of states to adopt and enforce such laws is reaffirmed by Section 14(b) of the Taft-Hartley amendments to the National Labor Relations Act.
4. Extortion, as a technique, is extremely useful to union officials in obtaining such demands as compulsory union shops, "agency" shops, compulsory hiring halls and irrevocable dues check-off clauses.
While most criminal law is administered at the state and local level, some criminal activities (including extortion), which obstruct interstate commerce, have been deemed by Congress to be so important that they should be covered by federal statutes.
As the federal law currently stands, union officials have unique special immunities from prosecution for threatening to commit or committing felonies — such as murder, manslaughter, maiming, arson, aggravated property destruction, explosives or firearms offenses, etc. — to obtain collective bargaining demands.
5. For the past several years, Congress has been confronted by bills designed to authorize the forced unionization of public employees at various levels of government.
Several of these proposals are aimed at state, county and municipal employees and would nullify existing state laws which shield public employees from union coercion.
Other bills would strip postal workers and other federal employees of the freedom of choice guaranteed by the Postal Reorganization Act of 1970 and executive orders dating back to the administration of President John F. Kennedy.
6. Labor unions are the only private organization in the U.S. which can legally force individuals to pay dues into their treasuries.
The Federal Election Campaign Act (FECA) prohibits union officials from giving any of these dues dollars directly to a candidate for federal office.
At the same time, FECA permits union officials to use workers' compulsory dues dollars for "in-kind" political spending on goods and services to elect candidates for federal offices.
These "in-kind" expenditures are in addition to union PAC contributions; they are seldom — if ever — documented or reported to the Federal Election Commission.
No official statistics for total union "in-kind" expenditures are available. But Labor columnist Victor Riesel estimated that this so-called "soft money" amounted to 10 times more than what union PACs gave in cash contributions. Based on that yardstick, union "soft money" in 1990 exceeded \$350 million.
7. In recent years, legislation has been introduced in Congress to automatically impose union representation upon workers of nonunionized companies which have even the slightest economic links to unionized companies.
Even though the nonunionized and the unionized companies each perform separate and distinct work, the compulsory union contract would be automatically imposed upon the nonunion workers, without even the show of an election conducted by the National Labor Relations Board to determine worker support.
The "anti-double breasting" legislation would also encourage Common Situs picketing by permitting union organizers to use a dispute with a single subcontractor as an excuse to picket and shut down all the other subcontractors at a job site.
8. Legislation has been introduced repeatedly in Congress to loosen the 50-year-old Hatch Act's restrictions against partisan political activity by federal employees.
Federal union officials now wield monopoly bargaining power over federal employees, which makes union officials the sole conduit for civil servants in collective bargaining and grievance situations.
Current proposals to weaken the Hatch Act lack explicit prohibitions against the use of monopoly bargaining power to coerce civil servants into supporting federal union officials' political agenda.
9. Legislation has been introduced in Congress that would prevent employers from hiring permanent replacement workers during a strike.
The bill's provisions would also penalize workers who choose not to strike by giving preferential, post-strike hiring privileges to strikers.
Since an employer is unlikely to find employees who will work during a violent strike under these conditions, employers would be forced to cave in to every demand by union officials — including the demand that workers who refuse to pay union dues be fired.

Nebraska cont.

Questions #: 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9

District 3

Lowell Fisher-D -----
Bill Barrett-R Y Y Y Y Y Y Y Y Y

NORTH DAKOTA

U.S. Senate

Questions #: 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9

Byron Dorgan-D -----
Steve Sydness-R Y Y Y Y Y Y Y Y Y

U.S. House of Representatives

Questions #: 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9

Earl Pomeroy-D -----
John Korsmo-R Y Y Y Y Y Y Y Y Y

SOUTH DAKOTA

U.S. Senate

Questions #: 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9

Tom Daschle-D -----
Charlene Haar-R Y Y Y Y Y Y Y Y Y

U.S. House of Representatives

Questions #: 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9

Tim Johnson-D -----
John Timmer-R Y Y Y Y Y Y Y Y Y

WISCONSIN

U.S. Senate

Questions #: 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9

Russell Feingold-D -----
Robert Kasten, Jr.-R Y Y Y Y Y Y Y - Y

Questions #: 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9

District 1
Les Aspin-D -----
Mark Neumann-R Y - Y Y Y Y Y Y Y

District 2

Ada Deer-D -----
Scott Klug-R -----

District 3

Paul Sacia-D -----
Steven Gunderson-R -----

District 4

Gerald Kleczka-D -----
Joseph Cook-R Y Y Y Y Y Y Y Y Y

District 5

Thomas Barrett-D -----
Donalda Ann
Hammersmith-R Y Y Y Y Y Y Y Y Y

District 6

Peggy Lautenschlager-D -----
Thomas Petri-R -----

District 7

David Obey-D -----
Dale Vannes-R Y Y Y Y Y Y Y Y Y

Wisconsin cont.

Questions #: 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9

District 8

Catherine Helms-D -----
Toby Roth-R Y Y Y Y Y Y Y Y Y

District 9

Ingrid Buxton-D -----
James
Sensenbrenner, Jr.-R - Y Y Y Y Y Y Y Y Y

Key:

Y = Yes
N = No
- = No Response

BACKGROUNDER

The information below is helpful in explaining the questions on the reverse side of this form.

1. A union, under present federal laws, is empowered to represent and bind all employees in a company's bargaining unit — including employees who oppose the union and don't want its "services."

This monopoly bargaining power, generally described as "exclusive bargaining rights," deprives employees of their right to bargain for themselves. Union officials fought for this power and refuse to give it up; yet they complain they are "unfairly burdened by the legal obligation" to represent nonmembers.

Such complaints are intended to pave the way for compelling financial support from so-called "free riders" for representation they do not want.

2. The firing of workers who refuse to pay union dues and/or fees is explicitly sanctioned by both the National Labor Relations Act and the National Railway Labor Act.

Section 7 of the NLRA, for example, stipulates that employees shall have "the right to refrain" from participating in union activities "except to the extent that such right may be affected by an agreement requiring membership in a labor organization as a condition of employment."

The problem of compulsory unionism was created by Congress. It will not be solved until Congress repeals the existing federal authorizations of compulsory unionism.

3. In 21 states, wage earners — except those covered by the National Railway Labor Act — are shielded from compulsory unionism by Right to Work laws.

The Florida guarantee is typical of these laws, saying, "The right of persons to work shall not be denied or abridged on account of membership or nonmembership in any labor union or labor organization."

The authority of states to adopt and enforce such laws is reaffirmed by Section 14(b) of the Taft-Hartley amendments to the National Labor Relations Act.

4. Extortion, as a technique, is extremely useful to union officials in obtaining such demands as compulsory union shops, "agency" shops, compulsory hiring halls and invariable dues check-off clauses.

While most criminal law is administered at the state and local level, some criminal activities (including extortion), which obstruct interstate commerce, have been deemed by Congress to be so important that they should be covered by federal statutes.

As the federal law currently stands, union officials have unique special immunities from prosecution for threatening to commit or committing felonies — such as murder, manslaughter, maiming, arson, aggravated property destruction, explosives or firearms offenses, etc. — to obtain collective bargaining demands.

5. For the past several years, Congress has been confronted by bills designed to authorize the forced unionization of public employees at various levels of government.

Several of these proposals are aimed at state, county and municipal employees and would nullify existing state laws which shield public employees from union coercion.

Other bills would strip postal workers and other federal employees of the freedom of

choice guaranteed by the Postal Reorganization Act of 1970 and executive orders dating back to the administration of President John F. Kennedy.

6. Labor unions are the only private organization in the U.S. which can legally force individuals to pay dues into their treasuries.

The Federal Election Campaign Act (FECA) prohibits union officials from giving any of these dues dollars directly to a candidate for federal office.

At the same time, FECA permits union officials to use workers' compulsory dues dollars for "in-kind" political spending on goods and services to elect candidates for federal offices.

These "in-kind" expenditures are in addition to union PAC contributions; they are seldom — if ever — documented or reported to the Federal Election Commission.

No official statistics for total union "in-kind" expenditures are available. But Labor columnist Victor Riesel estimated that this so-called "soft money" amounted to 10 times more than what union PACs gave in cash contributions. Based on that yardstick, union "soft money" in 1990 exceeded \$350 million.

7. In recent years, legislation has been introduced in Congress to automatically impose union representation upon workers of nonunionized companies which have even the slightest economic links to unionized companies.

Even though the nonunionized and the unionized companies each perform separate and distinct work, the compulsory union contract would be automatically imposed upon the nonunion workers, without even the show of an election conducted by the National Labor Relations Board to determine worker support.

The "anti-double breasting" legislation would also encourage Common Situs picketing by permitting union organizers to use a dispute with a single subcontractor as an excuse to picket and shut down all the other subcontractors at a job site.

8. Legislation has been introduced repeatedly in Congress to loosen the 50-year-old Hatch Act's restrictions against partisan political activity by federal employees.

Federal union officials now wield monopoly bargaining power over federal employees, which makes union officials the sole conduit for civil servants in collective bargaining and grievance situations.

Current proposals to weaken the Hatch Act lack explicit prohibitions against the use of monopoly bargaining power to coerce civil servants into supporting federal union officials' political agenda.

9. Legislation has been introduced in Congress that would prevent employers from hiring permanent replacement workers during a strike.

The bill's provisions would also penalize workers who choose not to strike by giving preferential, post-strike hiring privileges to strikers.

Since an employer is unlikely to find employees who will work during a violent strike under these conditions, employers would be forced to cave in to every demand by union officials — including the demand that workers who refuse to pay union dues be fired.

ROSTER OF FEDERAL CANDIDATES

GR 5

IOWA

U.S. Senate

Questions #: 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9

Jean Lloyd-Jones-D - - - - -
Charles Grassley-R Y Y Y Y Y Y Y Y Y

U.S. House of Representatives

Questions #: 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9

District 1
Jan Zonneveld-D N N Y - Y Y Y Y Y
Jim Leach-R Y Y Y Y Y Y Y Y Y

District 2
David Nagle-D N N N N N N N N N
Jim Nussle-R Y Y Y Y Y Y Y Y Y

District 3
Elaine Baxter-D - - - - -
Jim Lightfoot-R Y Y Y Y Y Y Y Y Y

District 4
Neal Smith-D - - - - -
Paul Lunde-R Y Y Y Y Y Y Y Y Y

District 5
Fred Grandy-R - - - - -

KANSAS

U.S. Senate

Questions #: 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9

Gloria O'Dell-D - - - - -
Bob Dole-R Y Y Y Y Y Y Y Y Y

U.S. House of Representatives

Questions #: 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9

District 1
Duane West-D - - - - -
Pat Roberts-R Y Y Y Y Y Y Y Y Y

District 2
Jim Slattery-D - - - - -
Jim Van Slyke-R Y Y Y Y Y Y Y Y Y

District 3
Tom Love-D - - - - -
Jan Meyers-R Y Y Y Y Y Y Y N Y

District 4
Dan Glickman-D - - Y - - - - -
Eric Yost-R Y Y Y Y Y Y Y Y Y

MISSOURI

U.S. Senate

Questions #: 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9

Geri Rothman-Serot-D - - - - -
Christopher Bond-R - - - - -

U.S. House of Representatives

Questions #: 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9

District 1
William Clay-D - - - - -
Arthur Montgomery-R N N Y Y N Y Y N Y

District 2
Joan Horn-D - - - - -
James Talent-R - - - - -

District 3
Richard Gephardt-D - - - - -
Mack Holeykamp-R - - - - -

District 4
Ike Skelton-D - - - - -
John Carley-R Y Y Y - Y Y - Y Y

District 5
Alan Wheat-D - - - - -
Edward Moody-R Y Y Y Y Y Y Y Y Y

District 6
Pat Danner-D - - - - -
Tom Coleman-R - - - - -

District 7
Patrick Deaton-D - - - - -
Mel Hancock-R Y Y Y Y Y Y Y Y Y

District 8
Thad Bullock-D Y Y Y Y Y Y Y Y Y
Bill Emerson-R - - Y Y Y Y Y - Y

District 9
Harold Volkmer-D - - - - -
Rick Hardy-R - - - - -

MINNESOTA

U.S. House of Representatives

Questions #: 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9

District 1
Tim Penny-D - - - - -
(507) 835-1184

Minnesota cont.

Questions #: 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9

Timothy Droogsmar-R Y Y Y Y Y Y Y Y Y
(507) 387-7801

District 2
David Minge-D - - - - -
(612) 269-8863
Cal Ludeman-R Y Y Y Y Y Y Y Y Y
(507) 354-1994

District 3
Paul Mandell-D - - - - -
Jim Ramstad-R - - - - -
(612) 884-0266

District 4
Bruce Vento-D - - - - -
(612) 225-4577
Ian Maitland-R Y Y Y Y Y Y Y Y Y
(612) 645-8412

District 5
Martin Sabo-D - - - - -
Stephen Moriarty-R Y Y Y Y Y Y Y Y Y

District 6
Gerry Sikorski-D - - - - -
Rod Grams-R - - - - -
(612) 422-8570

District 7
Collin Peterson-D - - - - -
Bernie Omann-R Y Y Y Y Y Y Y Y Y
(612) 654-6911

District 8
James Oberstar-D - - - - -
Phil Herwig-R - - - - -
(612) 369-4143

NEBRASKA

U.S. House of Representatives

Questions #: 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9

District 1
Gerry Finnegan-D - - - - -
(402) 477-3730
Doug Bereuter-R Y Y Y Y Y Y Y - Y

District 2
Peter Hoagland-D - - - - -
Ron Staskiewicz-R Y Y Y Y Y Y Y Y Y

Survey Questions

- Do you believe an employee who does not want the "services" of a labor union should have the right to refuse to accept that union as his exclusive representative, which federal law now forces him to accept?
- Will you support repeal of the provisions in federal laws which authorize compulsory unionism?
- Do you favor preservation of Section 14(b) of the Taft-Hartley Act, which authorizes state Right to Work laws?
- Would you support legislation to end the special immunity union officials presently enjoy from prosecution under the federal anti-extortion statute?
- Will you oppose the forced unionization of federal, state, county and municipal employees?
- Will you support amendments to the Federal Election Campaign Act to prohibit the use of compulsory union dues and fees for political causes and candidates opposed by union members?
- Will you oppose so-called "anti-double breasting" legislation that has, as its primary goal, to forcibly unionize employees of construction companies?
- Will you oppose legislation to weaken or destroy the Hatch Act, which protects federal employees from union political coercion?
- Will you oppose legislation that would punish or require the firing of employees who choose to work during a strike, and give union officials the power to shut down businesses that refuse to force their employees to pay union dues?

Note: The National Right to Work Committee, of course, endorses no candidates. We are a nonpartisan organization. But we believe that you as a Right to Work supporter are entitled to know which candidates will support the right of every American to earn a living — without having to pay union bosses for the privilege.

Nebraska cont.

Questions #: 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9

District 3

Lowell Fisher-D - - - - -
Bill Barrett-R Y Y Y Y Y Y Y Y Y

NORTH DAKOTA

U.S. Senate

Questions #: 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9

Byron Dorgan-D - - - - -
Steve Sydness-R Y Y Y Y Y Y Y Y Y

U.S. House of Representatives

Questions #: 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9

Earl Pomeroy-D - - - - -
John Korsmo-R Y Y Y Y Y Y Y Y Y

SOUTH DAKOTA

U.S. Senate

Questions #: 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9

Tom Daschle-D - - - - -
Charlene Haar-R Y Y Y Y Y Y Y Y Y

U.S. House of Representatives

Questions #: 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9

Tim Johnson-D - - - - -
John Timmer-R Y Y Y Y Y Y Y Y Y

WISCONSIN

U.S. Senate

10-21-91

Questions #: 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9

Russell Feingold-D - - - - -
Robert Kasten, Jr.-R Y Y Y Y Y Y Y - Y

House of Representatives

Questions #: 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9

District 1
Les Aspin-D - - - - -
Mark Neumann-R Y - Y Y Y Y Y Y Y

District 2
Ada Deer-D - - - - -
Scott Klug-R - - - - -

District 3
Paul Sacia-D - - - - -
Steven Gunderson-R - - - - -

District 4
Gerald Kleczka-D - - - - -
Joseph Cook-R Y Y Y Y Y Y Y Y Y

District 5
Thomas Barrett-D - - - - -
Donalda Ann
Hammersmith-R Y Y Y Y Y Y Y Y Y

District 6
Peggy Lautenschlager-D - - - - -
Thomas Petri-R - - - - -

District 7
David Obey-D - - - - -
Dale Vannest-R Y Y Y Y Y Y Y Y Y

Wisconsin cont.

Questions #: 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9

District 8
Catherine Helms-D - - - - -
Toby Roth-R Y Y Y Y Y Y Y Y Y

District 9
Ingrid Buxton-D - - - - -
James
Sensenbrenner, Jr.-R - Y Y Y Y Y Y Y Y

Key:
Y = Yes
N = No
- = No Response

BACKGROUNDER

The information below is helpful in explaining the questions on the reverse side of this form.

1. A union, under present federal laws, is empowered to represent and bind all employees in a company's bargaining unit — including employees who oppose the union and don't want its "services."

This monopoly bargaining power, generally described as "exclusive bargaining rights," deprives employees of their right to bargain for themselves. Union officials fought for this power and refuse to give it up; yet they complain they are "unfairly burdened by the legal obligation" to represent nonmembers.

Such complaints are intended to pave the way for compelling financial support from so-called "free riders" for representation they do not want.

2. The firing of workers who refuse to pay union dues and/or fees is explicitly sanctioned by both the National Labor Relations Act and the National Railway Labor Act.

Section 7 of the NLRA, for example, stipulates that employees shall have "the right to refrain" from participating in union activities "except to the extent that such right may be affected by an agreement requiring membership in a labor organization as a condition of employment."

The problem of compulsory unionism was created by Congress. It will not be solved until Congress repeals the existing federal authorizations of compulsory unionism.

3. In 21 states, wage earners — except those covered by the National Railway Labor Act — are shielded from compulsory unionism by Right to Work laws.

The Florida guarantee is typical of these laws, saying, "The right of persons to work shall not be denied or abridged on account of membership or nonmembership in any labor union or labor organization."

The authority of states to adopt and enforce such laws is reaffirmed by Section 14(b) of the Taft-Hartley amendments to the National Labor Relations Act.

4. Extortion, as a technique, is extremely useful to union officials in obtaining such demands as compulsory union shops, "agency" shops, compulsory hiring halls and irrevocable dues check-off clauses.

While most criminal law is administered at the state and local level, some criminal activities (including extortion), which obstruct interstate commerce, have been deemed by Congress to be so important that they should be covered by federal statutes.

As the federal law currently stands, union officials have unique special immunities from prosecution for threatening to commit or committing felonies — such as murder, manslaughter, maiming, arson, aggravated property destruction, explosives or firearms offenses, etc. — to obtain collective bargaining demands.

5. For the past several years, Congress has been confronted by bills designed to authorize the forced unionization of public employees at various levels of government.

Several of these proposals are aimed at state, county and municipal employees and would nullify existing state laws which shield public employees from union coercion.

Other bills would strip postal workers and other federal employees of the freedom of

choice guaranteed by the Postal Reorganization Act of 1970 and executive orders dating back to the administration of President John F. Kennedy.

6. Labor unions are the only private organization in the U.S. which can legally force individuals to pay dues into their treasuries.

The Federal Election Campaign Act (FECA) prohibits union officials from giving any of these dues dollars directly to a candidate for federal office.

At the same time, FECA permits union officials to use workers' compulsory dues dollars for "in-kind" political spending on goods and services to elect candidates for federal offices.

These "in-kind" expenditures are in addition to union PAC contributions; they are seldom — if ever — documented or reported to the Federal Election Commission.

No official statistics for total union "in-kind" expenditures are available. But Labor columnist Victor Riesel estimated that this so-called "soft money" amounted to 10 times more than what union PACs gave in cash contributions. Based on that yardstick, union "soft money" in 1990 exceeded \$350 million.

7. In recent years, legislation has been introduced in Congress to automatically impose union representation upon workers of nonunionized companies which have even the slightest economic links to unionized companies.

Even though the nonunionized and the unionized companies each perform separate and distinct work, the compulsory union contract would be automatically imposed upon the nonunion workers, without even the show of an election conducted by the National Labor Relations Board to determine worker support.

The "anti-double breasting" legislation would also encourage Common Situs picketing by permitting union organizers to use a dispute with a single subcontractor as an excuse to picket and shut down all the other subcontractors at a job site.

8. Legislation has been introduced repeatedly in Congress to loosen the 50-year-old Hatch Act's restrictions against partisan political activity by federal employees.

Federal union officials now wield monopoly bargaining power over federal employees, which makes union officials the sole conduit for civil servants in collective bargaining and grievance situations.

Current proposals to weaken the Hatch Act lack explicit prohibitions against the use of monopoly bargaining power to coerce civil servants into supporting federal union officials' political agenda.

9. Legislation has been introduced in Congress that would prevent employers from hiring permanent replacement workers during a strike.

The bill's provisions would also penalize workers who choose not to strike by giving preferential, post-strike hiring privileges to strikers.

Since an employer is unlikely to find employees who will work during a violent strike under these conditions, employers would be forced to cave in to every demand by union officials — including the demand that workers who refuse to pay union dues be fired.

BEFORE THE FEDERAL ELECTION COMMISSION

In the Matter of)
Witness Subpoena to)
The National Right to)
Work Committee)

MUR 3774

DOCUMENTS FROM NRTWC

Supplemental Submission in Response to
Letter from FEC dated 9/4/97

Item 1.c.

LETTERCOMM INC

Printing • Mailing • List Maintenance

310 Swann Avenue • Alexandria, VA 22301 (703) 683-3105

National Right to Work
8001 Braddock Road
Springfield, VA 22160

INVOICE

INVOICE DATE	INVOICE NO.
10/24/92	034866
TERMS	NET 30 DAYS

PO NUMBER: DENISE KOFF JOB #00A513

DESCRIPTION

AMOUNT

NEW AD MAILING

13,000	Copies, print 2 pages on White paper	852.00
20,000	Copies, print 2 pages on Blue paper, cut and fold	730.00
13,500	Copies, print 1 page on White paper and fold	629.00
1,725	Pre-printed letters folded	19.00
	Fas Fax to Sisk	112.00

C 553 F 1
ROUTE TO: ARL
APPROVED: ART
DEPT# 17 ACCT# 720



SUBTOTAL	2342.00
TAX	
TOTAL	2342.00

THANK YOU

for your order. We have given it our very careful attention. We appreciate your confidence in us, and look forward to serving you again soon.

To: Clyde Sick, Sick Mailing
From: Denise Koff, NRTL
RE: 20m Newsclip Article Mailing

Clyde, this is what I know about this job as of now. Please call me Monday a.m. to review & confirm specs.

For Mon. drop qty = 14,207. 15¢ (face live stamp). Affix label to back of BRF. Insert in order below with letter facing back flap. All pieces to arrive folded.

1. Target Packages: 5 States. Target letter for state & target newsclip for state. Match to state code on label. Total qty for 5 states = 1,345
Ltr: 2pg/1 sheet with state code in upper right.
~~For~~ Newsclip: "Jombston" look ad about each state.

Reply: 8 1/2 x 5 1/2 generic for entire mailing.
BRF: 6 3/4 Committee
Carrier: #10 Window with NRTLWC corner card
(standing white window carrier)

Please fan out & fax a sample of each for approval.
Produce 25 sample packages of each state

2. Generic Package: 29 states. ^{1st} Generic letter for these
~~and~~ states (see code in upper right). Use the
Idaho Newsclip. Almost ~~is~~ same as target
25 samples needed. Total qty = 12,862

Label Overview & Grid - you will receive 1 string of
4-up check in zip sequence. Affix label to all DPK's
but separate into groups. There will be several
states you will not visit until Tuesday.

<u>Target States - Qty</u>	<u>Generic #/ID Code</u>
GA 451	AK, CA, CO, CT,
ID 30	DE, HI, IL, IN,
NC 560	KY, MA, MD, ME,
NV 129	MI, MN, MO, MT
UT 125	NH, NJ, NM, NY
Target Total 1345	OH, OK, OR, PA, RI
	VT, WA, WI, WV

Hold the following states for future visit (Mon)

AL, AR, AZ, ~~DE~~, FL, IA, KS, LA,
MS, ND, NE, ON, PR, SC, SD, TN,
TX, VA, ⁷VI, WY
Total qty = 5,558

DAK

- ① These will get a target letter & a target Ad
which will feature Senate candidate

GA 451
ID 80
NC 560
NV 129
UT 125

^{& letter}
This Ad, art will be ready
9AM Sat 12/24

- ② These will get a target letter & a
target ad with only Bill Clinton picture:

AL KS SC WY
AR LA SD VA
AZ MS TN
FL NE TX
IA ND ~~SC~~

This letter
art will be
ready at 9AM
12/24; Ad-art
12/26 AM

- ③ These will get a generic letter & ID ad

AK KY MN NH VT
CA IL MO OH WA
CO IN MT OR WI
CT MA NM OK WV
DE ME NJ PA
HI MI NY RI
MD

This letter & ad
will be
ready 12/24 9AM

-MEZ
8/19-13/8 (home)

ROSTER OF FEDERAL CANDIDATES

GR 5

IOWA

U.S. Senate

Questions #: 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9

Jean Lloyd-Jones-D -----
Charles Grassley-R Y Y Y Y Y Y Y Y Y

U.S. House of Representatives

Questions #: 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9

District 1
Jan Zonneveld-D N N Y - Y Y Y Y Y
Jim Leach-R Y Y Y Y Y Y Y Y Y

District 2
David Nagle-D N N N N N N N N N
Jim Nussle-R Y Y Y Y Y Y Y Y Y

District 3
Elaine Baxter-D -----
Jim Lightfoot-R Y Y Y Y Y Y Y Y Y

District 4
Neal Smith-D -----
Paul Lunde-R Y Y Y Y Y Y Y Y Y

District 5
Fred Grandy-R -----

KANSAS

U.S. Senate

Questions #: 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9

Gloria O'Dell-D -----
Bob Dole-R Y Y Y Y Y Y Y Y Y

U.S. House of Representatives

Questions #: 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9

District 1
Duane West-D -----
Pat Roberts-R Y Y Y Y Y Y Y Y Y

District 2
Jim Slattery-D -----
Jim Van Slyke-R Y Y Y Y Y Y Y Y Y

District 3
Tom Love-D -----
Jan Meyers-R Y Y Y Y Y Y Y N Y

District 4
Dan Glickman-D - - - Y - - - - -
Eric Yost-R Y Y Y Y Y Y Y Y Y

MISSOURI

U.S. Senate

Questions #: 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9

Gerl Rothman-Serot-D -----
Christopher Bond-R -----

U.S. House of Representatives

Questions #: 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9

District 1
William Clay-D -----
Arthur Montgomery-R N N Y Y N Y Y N Y

District 2
Joan Horn-D -----
James Talent-R -----

District 3
Richard Gephardt-D -----
Mack Holekamp-R -----

District 4
Ike Skelton-D -----
John Carley-R Y Y Y - Y Y - Y Y

District 5
Alan Wheat-D -----
Edward Moody-R Y Y Y Y Y Y Y Y Y

District 6
Pat Danner-D -----
Tom Coleman-R -----

District 7
Patrick Deaton-D -----
Mel Hancock-R Y Y Y Y Y Y Y Y Y

District 8
Thad Bullock-D Y Y Y Y Y Y Y Y Y
Bill Emerson-R - - Y Y Y Y Y - Y

District 9
Harold Volkmer-D -----
Rick Hardy-R -----

MINNESOTA

U.S. House of Representatives

Questions #: 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9

District 1
Tim Penny-D -----
(507) 835-1184

Minnesota cont.

Questions #: 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9

Timothy Droogsmar-R Y Y Y Y Y Y Y Y Y
(507) 387-7801

District 2
David Minge-D -----
(612) 269-8863
Cal Ludeman-R Y Y Y Y Y Y Y Y Y
(507) 354-1994

District 3
Paul Mandell-D -----
Jim Ramstad-R -----
(612) 884-0266

District 4
Bruce Vento-D -----
(612) 225-4577
Ian Maitland-R Y Y Y Y Y Y Y Y Y
(612) 645-8412

District 5
Martin Sabo-D -----
Stephen Moriarty-R Y Y Y Y Y Y Y Y Y

District 6
Gerry Sikorski-D -----
Rod Grams-R -----
(612) 422-8570

District 7
Collin Peterson-D -----
Bernie Omann-R Y Y Y Y Y Y Y Y Y
(612) 654-6911

District 8
James Oberstar-D -----
Phil Herwig-R -----
(612) 369-4143

NEBRASKA

U.S. House of Representatives

Questions #: 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9

District 1
Gerry Finnegan-D -----
(402) 477-3730
Doug Bereuter-R Y Y Y Y Y Y Y - Y

District 2
Peter Hoagland-D -----
Ron Staskiewicz-R Y Y Y Y Y Y Y Y Y

Survey Questions

- Do you believe an employee who does not want the "services" of a labor union should have the right to refuse to accept that union as his exclusive representative, which federal law now forces him to accept?
- Will you support repeal of the provisions in federal laws which authorize compulsory unionism?
- Do you favor preservation of Section 14(b) of the Taft-Hartley Act, which authorizes state Right to Work laws?
- Would you support legislation to end the special immunity union officials presently enjoy from prosecution under the federal anti-extortion statute?
- Will you oppose the forced unionization of federal, state, county and municipal employees?
- Will you support amendments to the Federal Election Campaign Act to prohibit the use of compulsory union dues and fees for political causes and candidates opposed by union members?
- Will you oppose so-called "anti-double breasting" legislation that has, as its primary goal, to forcibly unionize employees of construction companies?
- Will you oppose legislation to weaken or destroy the Hatch Act, which protects federal employees from union political coercion?
- Will you oppose legislation that would punish or require the firing of employees who choose to work during a strike, and give union officials the power to shut down businesses that refuse to force their employees to pay union dues?

Note: The National Right to Work Committee, of course, endorses no candidates. We are a nonpartisan organization. But we believe that you as a Right to Work supporter are entitled to know which candidates will support the right of every American to earn a living — without having to pay union bosses for the privilege.

NO 74765
COUNTS ON STATE

Newspaper *11/19*

AK	82
AL	313
AR	305
AZ	274
CA	1,745
CD	252
CT	291
DE	27
FL	62
GA	812
HI	451
IA	82
ID	307
IL	80
IN	971
KS	591
KY	285
LA	332
MA	322
MD	383
ME	360
MI	108
MN	802
MO	404
MS	456
MT	174
NC	88
ND	560
NE	89
NH	185
NJ	114
NM	615
NV	118
NY	129
OH	1,062
OK	962
OR	263
PA	2
PR	269
RI	1,096
SC	2
SD	68
TN	213
TX	98
UT	445
VA	1,011
VI	125
VJ	626
VK	1
VL	56
VM	362
VN	561
VO	187
VP	87

2542 2542 10 02

D:\PR\DENISE.DBF

16 States (R10)

303
264
385
264
213
1802

Pricks
in 16 state

- DENISEA A) Select title = Editorial Page Editor or News Editor 303
B) Select title = Editor 385
C) Select title = blank or other title with name 264
D) Select title = " " without name 293

DENISEZ 2) Take file C + D & blank out name & title & slug
in News Editor as title.

DENISE 1802 3) Merge A, B, C, D, & 2 together by zip major; company^(B) minor.

4) Produce Counts by state

Run some kind of label to go on #10 closed face.
Whatever works

3 up; 2 up I don't care

Need ASAP Tues.

P, F, L, SAL, TITLE,
COMP, ADDR, CITY, STATE,
ZIP

AL	100	MS	97
AR	98	ND	28
AZ	85	NE	39
FL	248	SC	92
IA	137	SD	46
KS	118	TN	96
LA	72	TX	417

VA 113
WY 16

1802

10 N
6 A

felicit copy

Emergency Citizen Action Reply

From:

To:

Reed Larson, President
National Right to Work Committee
8001 Braddock Road, Suite 500
Springfield, Virginia 22160

Reed:

With Bill Clinton vowing to destroy
Right to Work, and with Big Labor on the
verge of buying a lock grip on the U.S.
Senate, I want to help turn the heat all
the way up on Governor Clinton and the
candidates for the U.S. Senate.

I have:

___ Called Governor Bill Clinton and
the candidates for the U.S. Senate.

___ Enclosed a contribution to pay for
the ads you are already running in
newspapers across the nation:

___ \$1,000 ___ \$500 ___ \$200 ___ \$100

___ \$25 ___ Other (\$____)

Please make checks payable and return to: NRTWC.

2001 397 2766

The National Right to Work Committee's Washington D.C. Headquarters is located at our nation's capital at 8001 Braddock Road, Suite 500 • Springfield, VA 22160 • Telephone (800) 325-7892. Because the IRS has recognized NRTWC as tax exempt under IRC Sec. 501(c)(4), which permits unlimited lobbying, contributions are not tax deductible as charitable contributions.

COPY APPROVAL/PROOFING CHECK-OFF

(ATTACH TO FINAL DRAFT COPY WITH DISK)

ROUTE TO:

DATE 10-23-92

PACKAGE: RTWADG

FROM: _____

CHECK OFF IF . . . ROUTED TO: APPROVED BY:

HLW	_____	_____
SOG	_____	_____
RL	_____	_____
RJC	_____	_____

ALL RECOMMENDED CHANGES MADE: YES NO

TO PROOFING: CHANGES MADE BY:

1ST <u>SDK/PB</u> <u>10/23/92</u>	<u>SOG</u>
2ND <u>PB/LM</u> <u>10/23/92</u>	<u>PB</u>
3RD <u>SPK/LM</u> <u>10/23/92</u>	<u>NO</u>

WLP/FACT CHECK
(PROOFING SEND SEPARATE COPY)

REC'D: _____ APPROVED: _____

RETURN THIS FORM, WITH COPY ATTACHED, TO: ~~ADDITIONAL INFORMATION~~

October 26, 1992

Dear Member:

Right to Work is in deep trouble.

Governor Bill Clinton has sold out completely to the union bosses. And Organized Labor seems poised to buy a lock grip over the U.S. Senate.

If Organized Labor takes control over both the White House and Congress, every single Right to Work law in the country is in jeopardy.

Bill Clinton has promised the AFL-CIO he'll work to repeal Section 14(b) of the Taft-Hartley Act. As you know, Section 14(b) authorizes state legislatures to enact state Right to Work laws.

I have taken emergency action to alert citizens that Governor Clinton intends to nullify all 21 state Right to Work laws. I am doing all I can to mobilize public pressure on Bill Clinton to back away from his support for compulsory unionism.

Attached, please find a copy of the advertisement we are running in Right to Work states across the country.

In some states, I have borrowed money to pay for the ad. In others, our tight finances have forced me to simply ask editors to run the ad as a public service. (Even if they won't run the ad for free, I hope our requests will prompt some favorable editorials.)

I am doing everything I can to alert the public that Governor Clinton has vowed to force every U.S. worker to pay union dues to get or keep a job in America.

But I need your help.

Could you send a special contribution of \$1,000, \$100 or \$25 to help us cover the costs of running these ads in Right to Work states?

I am literally stretched beyond your Right to Work Committee's financial limits. Counting on your future support, I have already borrowed over \$300,000 to alert the American people to Big Labor's impending power grab.

But I need your additional support right away to cover the costs of these ads. Prudence will not allow me to go any further into debt.

Please help me make the politicians who support compulsory unionism feel the ire of the 75% of the American people who believe that no one should ever be forced to join a union to feed his family.

We must turn Governor Clinton (and a bunch of Senate candidates) around on Right to Work, NOW, while they're still listening.

Please, please, call Governor Clinton IMMEDIATELY at 1 (800) 325-9992 and tell him his support for compulsory unionism is morally wrong, and economically disastrous for America.

And if you can, please send a special contribution today to help us cover the additional cost of this last-minute advertising blitz.

The future of Right to Work may depend on it.

Sincerely,

Reed Larson

P.S. If Governor Clinton gets his way and kills all 21 state Right to Work laws by repealing Section 14(b) of the Taft-Hartley Act, thousands of American jobs will be lost or driven overseas.

Please send a special contribution today to help pay for running the attached newspaper ads.

October 26, 1992

Dear Member:

Right to Work is in deep trouble.

Governor Bill Clinton has sold out completely to the union bosses. And Organized Labor seems poised to buy a lock grip over the U.S. Senate.

If Organized Labor takes control over both the White House and Congress, every single Right to Work law in the country is in jeopardy.

Bill Clinton has promised the AFL-CIO he'll work to repeal Section 14(b) of the Taft-Hartley Act. As you know, Section 14(b) authorizes state legislatures to enact state Right to Work laws.

I have taken emergency action to alert citizens that Governor Clinton intends to nullify all 21 state Right to Work laws. I am doing all I can to mobilize public pressure on Bill Clinton to back away from his support for compulsory unionism.

Attached, please find a copy of the advertisement we are running in Right to Work states across the country.

In some states, I have borrowed money to pay for the ad. In others, our tight finances have forced me to simply ask editors to run the ad as a public service. (Even if they won't run the ad for free, I hope our request will prompt some favorable editorials.)

I am doing everything I can to alert the public that Governor Clinton has vowed to force every U.S. worker to pay union dues to get or keep a job in America.

But I need your help.

Could you send a special contribution of \$1,000, \$100 or \$25 to help us cover the costs of running these ads in Right to Work states?

I am literally stretched beyond your Right to Work Committee's financial limits. Counting on your future support, I have already borrowed over \$300,000 to alert the American people to Big Labor's impending power grab.

But I need your additional support right away to cover the costs of these ads. Prudence will not allow me to go any further into (n) debt.

Set Please help me make the politicians, who support compulsory unionism, feel the ire of the 75% of the American people who believe that no one should ever be forced to join a union to feed his family.

We must turn Governor Clinton (and a bunch of Senate candidates) around on Right to Work, NOW, while they're still listening.

Please, please, call Governor Clinton IMMEDIATELY at 1 (800) 325-9992 and tell him his support for compulsory unionism is morally wrong, and economically disastrous for America.

And if you can, please send a special contribution today to help us cover the additional cost of this last-minute advertising blitz.

The future of Right to Work may depend on it.

Sincerely,

Reed Larson

P.S. If Governor Clinton gets his way and kills all 21 state Right to Work laws by repealing Section 14(b) of the Taft-Hartley Act, thousands of American jobs will be lost or driven overseas.

Please send a special contribution today to help pay for running the attached newspaper ads.

RHWADG

Date? ———?
Oct. 26, 1992

Dear Member:

Right to Work is in deep trouble.

Governor Bill Clinton has sold out completely to the union bosses. And Organized Labor seems poised to buy a lock grip over the U.S. Senate.

If Organized Labor takes control over both the White House and Congress, every single Right to Work law in the country is in jeopardy.

Bill Clinton has promised the AFL-CIO he'll work to repeal Section 14(b) of the Taft-Hartley Act. As you know, Section 14(b) authorizes state legislatures to enact state Right to Work laws.

I'm doing all I can nullify
I have taken emergency action to alert citizens that Governor Clinton intends to ~~take away~~ all 21 state Right to Work laws ~~and~~ to mobilize public pressure on Clinton to back away from his support for compulsory unionism. Bill

Attached, please find a copy of the advertisement we are running in Right to Work states across the country.

In some states, I have borrowed money to pay for the ad. In others, our tight finances have forced me to simply ask editors to run the ad as a public service. (Even if they won't run the ad for free, I hope our requests will prompt some favorable editorials.)

I am doing everything I can to alert the public that Governor Clinton has vowed to force every U.S. worker to pay union dues to get or keep a job in America.

But I need your help.

Could you send a special contribution of \$1,000, \$100 or \$25 to help us cover the costs of running these ads in Right to Work states?

I am literally stretched beyond your Right to Work Committee's financial limits. Counting on your future support, I have already borrowed over \$300,000 to alert the American people to Big Labor's impending power grab.

2 words

into? But I need your additional support right away to cover the costs of these ads. Prudence will not allow me to go any further in debt.

Please help me make the politicians[✓] who support compulsory unionism[✓] feel the ire of the 75% of the American people who believe that no one should ever be forced to join a union to feed his family.


We must turn Governor Clinton (and a bunch of Senate candidates) around on Right to Work, NOW, while they're still listening.

Please, please, call Governor Clinton IMMEDIATELY at 1 (800) 325-9992 and tell him his support for compulsory unionism is morally wrong, and economically disastrous for America.

And if you can, please send a special contribution today to help us cover the additional cost of this last-minute advertising blitz.

The future of Right to Work may depend on it.

Sincerely,


Reed Larson

P.S. If Governor Clinton gets his way and kills all 21 state Right to Work laws by repealing Section 14(b) of the Taft-Hartley Act, thousands of American jobs will be lost or driven overseas.

Please send a special contribution today to help pay for running the attached newspaper ads.

RTHWADG

DATE - ?

Dear Member:

Right to Work is in deep trouble.

Governor Bill Clinton has sold out completely to the union bosses. And Organized Labor seems poised to buy a lock grip over the U.S. Senate. e

If Organized Labor takes control over both the White House and Congress, every single Right to Work law in the country is in jeopardy.

Bill Clinton has promised the AFL-CIO he'll work to repeal Section 14(b) of the Taft-Hartley Act. As you know, Section 14(b) authorizes state legislatures to enact state Right to Work laws.

including all I can
I have taken emergency action to alert citizens that Governor Clinton intends to ~~take away~~ ^{nullify} all 21 state Right to Work laws and to mobilize public pressure on Clinton to back away from his support for compulsory unionism. ^{Bill}

Attached, please find a copy of the advertisement we are running in Right to Work states across the country.

In some states, I have borrowed money to pay for the ad. In others, our tight finances have forced me to simply ask editors to run the ad as a public service. (Even if they won't run the ad for free, I hope our request will prompt some favorable editorials.)

I am doing everything I can to alert the public that Governor Clinton has vowed to force every U.S. worker to pay union dues to get or keep a job in America.

But I need your help.

Could you send a special contribution of \$1,000, \$100 or \$25 to help us cover the costs of running these ads in Right to Work states?

I am literally stretched beyond your Right to Work Committee's financial limits. Counting on your future support, I have already borrowed over \$300,000 to alert the American people to Big Labor's impending powergrab. TH

But I need your additional support right away to cover the costs of these ads. Prudence will not allow me to go any further in debt.

Please help me make the politicians who support compulsory unionism feel the ire of the 75% of the American people who believe that no one should ever be forced to join a union to feed his family.

We must turn Governor Clinton (and a bunch of Senate candidates) around on Right to Work, NOW, while they're still listening.

Please, please, call Governor Clinton IMMEDIATELY at 1 (800) 325-9992 and tell him his support for compulsory unionism is morally wrong, and economically disastrous for America.

And if you can, please send a special contribution today to help us cover the additional cost of this last-minute advertising blitz.

The future of Right to Work may depend on it.

Sincerely,


Reed Larson

P.S. If Governor Clinton gets his way and kills all 21 state Right to Work laws by repealing Section 14(b) of the Taft-Hartley Act, thousands of American jobs will be lost or driven overseas.

Please send a special contribution today to help pay for running the attached newspaper ads.

October 26, 1992

Dear Member:

Right to Work is in deep trouble.

Governor Bill Clinton has sold out completely to the union bosses. And Organized Labor seems poised to buy a lock grip over the U.S. Senate.

If Organized Labor takes control over both the White House and Congress, every single Right to Work law in the country is in jeopardy.

Bill Clinton has promised the AFL-CIO he'll work to repeal Section 14(b) of the Taft-Hartley Act. As you know, Section 14(b) authorizes state legislatures to enact state Right to Work laws.

I have taken emergency action to alert citizens that Governor Clinton intends to nullify all 21 state Right to Work laws. I am doing all I can to mobilize public pressure on Bill Clinton to back away from his support for compulsory unionism.

Attached, please find a copy of the advertisement we are running in Right to Work states across the country.

In some states, I have borrowed money to pay for the ad. In others, our tight finances have forced me to simply ask editors to run the ad as a public service. (Even if they won't run the ad for free, I hope our requests will prompt some favorable editorials.)

I am doing everything I can to alert the public that Governor Clinton has vowed to force every U.S. worker to pay union dues to get or keep a job in America.

But I need your help.

Could you send a special contribution of \$1,000, \$100 or \$25 to help us cover the costs of running these ads in Right to Work states?

I am literally stretched beyond your Right to Work Committee's financial limits. Counting on your future support, I have already borrowed over \$300,000 to alert the American people to Big Labor's impending power grab.

But I need your additional support right away to cover the costs of these ads. Prudence will not allow me to go any further into debt.

Please help me make the politicians who support compulsory unionism feel the ire of the 75% of the American people who believe that no one should ever be forced to join a union to feed his family.

We must turn Governor Clinton (and a bunch of Senate candidates) around on Right to Work, NOW, while they're still listening.

Please, please, call Governor Clinton IMMEDIATELY at 1 (800) 325-9992 and tell him his support for compulsory unionism is morally wrong, and economically disastrous for America.

And if you can, please send a special contribution today to help us cover the additional cost of this last-minute advertising blitz.

The future of Right to Work may depend on it.

Sincerely,

Reed Larson

P.S. If Governor Clinton gets his way and kills all 21 state Right to Work laws by repealing Section 14(b) of the Taft-Hartley Act, thousands of American jobs will be lost or driven overseas.

Please send a special contribution today to help pay for running the attached newspaper ads.

COPY APPROVAL/PROOFING CHECK-OFF

(ATTACH TO FINAL DRAFT COPY WITH DISK)

ROUTE TO:

DATE 10-23-92

PACKAGE: Senate RTW State Target States
FROM: SOG Letters

CHECK OFF IF . . . ROUTED TO: APPROVED BY:

HLW	_____	_____
SOG	_____	_____
RL	_____	_____
RJC	_____	_____

ALL RECOMMENDED CHANGES MADE: YES NO

TO PROOFING: CHANGES MADE BY:

1ST	<u>PB/LM</u>	<u>yes</u>	<u>PB</u>
2ND	<u>LM/PB</u>	<u>NO</u>	_____
3RD	_____		_____

WLP/FACT CHECK
(PROOFING SEND SEPARATE COPY)

REC'D: _____ APPROVED: _____

COPY APPROVAL/PROOFING CHECK-OFF

(ATTACH TO FINAL DRAFT COPY WITH DISK)

ROUTE TO:

DATE 10-23-92

PACKAGE: Senate RTW State Target States
FROM: SOG Letters

CHECK OFF IF . . . ROUTED TO: APPROVED BY:

HLW	_____	_____
SOG	_____	_____
RL	_____	_____
RJC	_____	_____

ALL RECOMMENDED CHANGES MADE: YES NO

TO PROOFING: CHANGES MADE BY:

1ST	<u>PB/LM</u>	<u>yes</u>	<u>PB</u>
2ND	<u>LM/PB</u>	<u>no</u>	_____
3RD	_____	_____	_____

WLP/FACT CHECK
(PROOFING SEND SEPARATE COPY)

REC'D: _____

APPROVED: _____

October 26, 1992

Dear North Carolina Member:

Right to Work is in deep trouble.

Governor Bill Clinton has sold out completely to the union bosses. And Organized Labor seems poised to buy a lock grip over the U.S. Senate.

If Organized Labor takes control over both the White House and Congress, every single Right to Work law in the country is in jeopardy -- including your own.

Bill Clinton has promised the AFL-CIO he'll work to repeal Section 14(b) of the Taft-Hartley Act. As you know, Section 14(b) authorizes state legislatures to enact state Right to Work laws.

I have taken emergency action to alert North Carolina citizens that Governor Clinton intends to nullify your Right to Work law. I am doing all I can to mobilize public pressure on Bill Clinton to back away from his support for compulsory unionism.

In case you missed it, I've attached a copy of the advertisement we are running this week in the Raleigh News & Observer and the Greensboro News & Record.

Similar versions are running in newspapers in Right to Work states across the country.

I am doing everything I can to alert the public that Governor Clinton has vowed to force every U.S. worker to pay union dues to get or keep a job in America.

But I need your help.

Could you send a special contribution of \$1,000, \$100 or \$25 to help us cover the costs of running these ads not only in North Carolina, but also in other Right to Work states?

I am literally stretched beyond your Right to Work Committee's financial limits. Counting on your future support, I have already borrowed over \$300,000 to alert the American people to Big Labor's impending power grab.

But I need your additional support right away to cover the costs of these ads. Prudence will not allow me to go any further into debt.

Please help me make the politicians who support compulsory unionism feel the ire of the 75% of the American people who believe that no one should ever be forced to join a union to feed his family.

We must turn Governor Clinton (and a bunch of Senate candidates) around on Right to Work, NOW, while they're still listening.

Please, please, call Governor Clinton IMMEDIATELY at 1 (800) 325-9992 and tell him his support for compulsory unionism is morally wrong, and economically disastrous for North Carolina.

And call Senator Terry Sanford, a candidate for North Carolina's U.S. Senate seat, and urge him to publicly oppose compulsory unionism and defend your Right to Work law.

And if you can, please send a special contribution today to help us cover the additional cost of this last-minute advertising blitz.

North Carolina's Right to Work law may depend on it.

Sincerely,

Reed Larson

P.S. If Governor Clinton gets his way and kills your Right to Work law by repealing Section 14(b) of the Taft-Hartley Act, thousands of North Carolina jobs will be lost.

Please send a special contribution today to help pay for running the attached newspaper ads.

October 26, 1992

Dear Georgia Member:

Right to Work is in deep trouble.

Governor Bill Clinton has sold out completely to the union bosses. And Organized Labor seems poised to buy a lock grip over the U.S. Senate.

If Organized Labor takes control over both the White House and Congress, every single Right to Work law in the country is in jeopardy -- including your own.

Bill Clinton has promised the AFL-CIO he'll work to repeal Section 14(b) of the Taft-Hartley Act. As you know, Section 14(b) authorizes state legislatures to enact state Right to Work laws.

I have taken emergency action to alert Georgia citizens that Governor Clinton intends to nullify your Right to Work law. I am doing all I can to mobilize public pressure on Bill Clinton to back away from his support for compulsory unionism.

In case you missed it, I've attached a copy of the advertisement we are running this week in the Albany Herald.

Similar versions are running in newspapers in Right to Work states across the country.

I am doing everything I can to alert the public that Governor Clinton has vowed to force every U.S. worker to pay union dues to get or keep a job in America.

But I need your help.

Could you send a special contribution of \$1,000, \$100 or \$25 to help us cover the costs of running these ads not only in Georgia, but also in other Right to Work states?

I am literally stretched beyond your Right to Work Committee's financial limits. Counting on your future support, I have already borrowed over \$300,000 to alert the American people to Big Labor's impending power grab.

But I need your additional support right away to cover the costs of these ads. Prudence will not allow me to go any further into debt.

Please help me make the politicians who support compulsory unionism feel the ire of the 75% of the American people who believe that no one should ever be forced to join a union to feed his family.

We must turn Governor Clinton (and a bunch of Senate candidates) around on Right to Work, NOW, while they're still listening.

Please, please, call Governor Clinton IMMEDIATELY at 1 (800) 325-9992 and tell him his support for compulsory unionism is morally wrong, and economically disastrous for Georgia.

And call Senator Wyche Fowler, a candidate for Georgia's U.S. Senate seat, and urge him to publicly oppose compulsory unionism and defend your Right to Work law.

And if you can, please send a special contribution today to help us cover the additional cost of this last-minute advertising blitz.

Georgia's Right to Work law may depend on it.

Sincerely,

Reed Larson

P.S. If Governor Clinton gets his way and kills your Right to Work law by repealing Section 14(b) of the Taft-Hartley Act, thousands of Georgia jobs will be lost.

Please send a special contribution today to help pay for running the attached newspaper ads.

October 26, 1992

Dear Nevada Member:

Right to Work is in deep trouble.

Governor Bill Clinton has sold out completely to the union bosses. And Organized Labor seems poised to buy a lock grip over the U.S. Senate.

If Organized Labor takes control over both the White House and Congress, every single Right to Work law in the country is in jeopardy -- including your own.

Bill Clinton has promised the AFL-CIO he'll work to repeal Section 14(b) of the Taft-Hartley Act. As you know, Section 14(b) authorizes state legislatures to enact state Right to Work laws.

I have taken emergency action to alert Nevada citizens that Governor Clinton intends to nullify your Right to Work law. I am doing all I can to mobilize public pressure on Bill Clinton to back away from his support for compulsory unionism.

In case you missed it, I've attached a copy of the advertisement we are running this week in the Elko Press and the Reno Gazette Journal.

Similar versions are running in newspapers in Right to Work states across the country.

I am doing everything I can to alert the public that Governor Clinton has vowed to force every U.S. worker to pay union dues to get or keep a job in America.

But I need your help.

Could you send a special contribution of \$1,000, \$100 or \$25 to help us cover the costs of running these ads not only in Nevada, but also in other Right to Work states?

I am literally stretched beyond your Right to Work Committee's financial limits. Counting on your future support, I have already borrowed over \$300,000 to alert the American people to Big Labor's impending power grab.

But I need your additional support right away to cover the costs of these ads. Prudence will not allow me to go any further into debt.

Please help me make the politicians who support compulsory unionism feel the ire of the 75% of the American people who believe that no one should ever be forced to join a union to feed his family.

We must turn Governor Clinton (and a bunch of Senate candidates) around on Right to Work, NOW, while they're still listening.

Please, please, call Governor Clinton IMMEDIATELY at 1 (800) 325-9992 and tell him his support for compulsory unionism is morally wrong, and economically disastrous for Nevada.

And call Senator Harry Reid, a candidate for Nevada's U.S. Senate seat, and urge him to publicly oppose compulsory unionism and defend your Right to Work law.

And if you can, please send a special contribution today to help us cover the additional cost of this last-minute advertising blitz.

Nevada's Right to Work law may depend on it.

Sincerely,

Reed Larson

P.S. If Governor Clinton gets his way and kills your Right to Work law by repealing Section 14(b) of the Taft-Hartley Act, thousands of Nevada jobs will be lost.

Please send a special contribution today to help pay for running the attached newspaper ads.

October 26, 1992

Dear Idaho Member:

Right to Work is in deep trouble.

Governor Bill Clinton has sold out completely to the union bosses. And Organized Labor seems poised to buy a lock grip over the U.S. Senate.

If Organized Labor takes control over both the White House and Congress, every single Right to Work law in the country is in jeopardy -- including your own.

Bill Clinton has promised the AFL-CIO he'll work to repeal Section 14(b) of the Taft-Hartley Act. As you know, Section 14(b) authorizes state legislatures to enact state Right to Work laws.

I have taken emergency action to alert Idaho citizens that Governor Clinton intends to nullify your Right to Work law. I am doing all I can to mobilize public pressure on Bill Clinton to back away from his support for compulsory unionism.

In case you missed it, I've attached a copy of the advertisement we are running this week in the Boise Idaho Statesman, the Idaho Falls Post-Register and the Twin Falls Times News.

Similar versions are running in newspapers in Right to Work states across the country.

I am doing everything I can to alert the public that Governor Clinton has vowed to force every U.S. worker to pay union dues to get or keep a job in America.

But I need your help.

Could you send a special contribution of \$1,000, \$100 or \$25 to help us cover the costs of running these ads not only in Idaho, but also in other Right to Work states?

I am literally stretched beyond your Right to Work Committee's financial limits. Counting on your future support, I have already borrowed over \$300,000 to alert the American people to Big Labor's impending power grab.

But I need your additional support right away to cover the costs of these ads. Prudence will not allow me to go any further into debt.

Please help me make the politicians who support compulsory unionism feel the ire of the 75% of the American people who believe that no one should ever be forced to join a union to feed his family.

We must turn Governor Clinton (and a bunch of Senate candidates) around on Right to Work, NOW, while they're still listening.

Please, please, call Governor Clinton IMMEDIATELY at 1 (800) 325-9992 and tell him his support for compulsory unionism is morally wrong, and economically disastrous for Idaho.

And call Congressman Richard Stallings, a candidate for Idaho's U.S. Senate seat, and urge him to publicly oppose compulsory unionism and defend your Right to Work law.

And if you can, please send a special contribution today to help us cover the additional cost of this last-minute advertising blitz.

Idaho's Right to Work law may depend on it.

Sincerely,

Reed Larson

P.S. If Governor Clinton gets his way and kills your Right to Work law by repealing Section 14(b) of the Taft-Hartley Act, thousands of Idaho jobs will be lost.

Please send a special contribution today to help pay for running the attached newspaper ads.

October 26, 1992

Dear Utah Member:

Right to Work is in deep trouble.

Governor Bill Clinton has sold out completely to the union bosses. And Organized Labor seems poised to buy a lock grip over the U.S. Senate.

If Organized Labor takes control over both the White House and Congress, every single Right to Work law in the country is in jeopardy -- including your own.

Bill Clinton has promised the AFL-CIO he'll work to repeal Section 14(b) of the Taft-Hartley Act. As you know, Section 14(b) authorizes state legislatures to enact state Right to Work laws.

I have taken emergency action to alert Utah citizens that Governor Clinton intends to nullify your Right to Work law. I am doing all I can to mobilize public pressure on Bill Clinton to back away from his support for compulsory unionism.

In case you missed it, I've attached a copy of the advertisement we are running this week in the Ogden Standard Examiner.

Similar versions are running in newspapers in Right to Work states across the country.

I am doing everything I can to alert the public that Governor Clinton has vowed to force every U.S. worker to pay union dues to get or keep a job in America.

But I need your help.

Could you send a special contribution of \$1,000, \$100 or \$25 to help us cover the costs of running these ads not only in Utah, but also in other Right to Work states?

I am literally stretched beyond your Right to Work Committee's financial limits. Counting on your future support, I have already borrowed over \$300,000 to alert the American people to Big Labor's impending power grab.

But I need your additional support right away to cover the costs of these ads. Prudence will not allow me to go any further into debt.

Please help me make the politicians who support compulsory unionism feel the ire of the 75% of the American people who believe that no one should ever be forced to join a union to feed his family.

We must turn Governor Clinton (and a bunch of Senate candidates) around on Right to Work, NOW, while they're still listening.

Please, please, call Governor Clinton IMMEDIATELY at 1 (800) 325-9992 and tell him his support for compulsory unionism is morally wrong, and economically disastrous for Utah.

And call Congressman Wayne Owens, a candidate for Utah's U.S. Senate seat, and urge him to publicly oppose compulsory unionism and defend your Right to Work law.

And if you can, please send a special contribution today to help us cover the additional cost of this last-minute advertising blitz.

Utha's Right to Work law may depend on it.

Sincerely,

Reed Larson

P.S. If Governor Clinton gets his way and kills your Right to Work law by repealing Section 14(b) of the Taft-Hartley Act, thousands of Utah jobs will be lost.

Please send a special contribution today to help pay for running the attached newspaper ads.

5072 3709 383 40 63 29 04 393

RTW ADS.

October 26, 1992

state
Dear XXXXXXXXXXXX Member:

0
Right to Work is in deep trouble.

Governor Bill Clinton has sold out completely to the union bosses. And Organized Labor seems poised to buy a lock grip over the U.S. Senate.

If Organized Labor takes control over both the White House and Congress, every single Right to Work law in the country is in jeopardy -- including your own.

Bill Clinton has promised the AFL-CIO he'll work to repeal Section 14(b) of the Taft-Hartley Act. As you know, Section 14(b) authorizes state legislatures to enact state Right to Work laws.

I have taken emergency action to alert state XXXXXXXXXXXX citizens that Governor Clinton intends to nullify your Right to Work law. I am doing all I can to mobilize public pressure on Bill Clinton to back away from his support for compulsory unionism.

In case you missed it, I've attached a copy of the advertisement we are running this week in the XXXXXXXXXXX City XXXXXXXXXXXX. - home

Similar versions are running in newspapers in Right to Work states across the country.

I am doing everything I can to alert the public that Governor Clinton has vowed to force every U.S. worker to pay union dues to get or keep a job in America.

But I need your help.

Could you send a special contribution of \$1,000, \$100 or \$25 to help us cover the costs of running these ads not only in XXXXXX, but also in Right to Work states? other

I am literally stretched beyond your Right to Work Committee's financial limits. Counting on your future support, I have already borrowed over \$300,000 to alert the American people to Big Labor's impending power grab.

But I need your additional support right away to cover the

costs of these ads. Prudence will not allow me to go any further
into debt.

Please help me make the politicians who support compulsory unionism feel the ire of the 75% of the American people who believe that no one should ever be forced to join a union to feed his family.

We must turn Governor Clinton (and a bunch of Senate candidates) around on Right to Work, NOW, while they're still listening.

Please, please, call Governor Clinton IMMEDIATELY at 1 (800) 325-9992 and tell him his support for compulsory unionism is morally wrong, and economically disastrous for XXXXXXXXXXXX. *State*

And call *(D) candidate* XXXXXXXXXXXX, a candidate for *State's* XXXXXXXXXXXX U.S. Senate seat, and urge him to publicly oppose compulsory unionism and defend your Right to Work law.

And if you can, please send a special contribution today to help us cover the additional cost of this last-minute advertising blitz.

State
#4 XXXXXXXXXXXX's Right to Work law may depend on it.

Sincerely,

Reed Larson

P.S. If Governor Clinton gets his way and kills your Right to Work law by repealing Section 14(b) of the Taft-Hartley Act, thousands of XXXXXXXX jobs will be lost.

State
Please send a special contribution today to help pay for running the attached newspaper ads.

BEFORE THE FEDERAL ELECTION COMMISSION

In the Matter of)
Witness Subpoena to)
The National Right to
Work Committee)

MUR 3774

DOCUMENTS FROM NRTWC

Supplemental Submission in Response to
Letter from FEC dated 9/4/97

Item 1.d.

PRODUCTION APPROVAL/PROOFING CHECK-OFF

(Attach to FINAL copy)

DATE: 11/12/92

PACKAGE: Elw Action Reply (head - 1 foot only)

FROM: Blk X 261

HAVE ALL RECOMMENDED CHANGES BEEN MADE?

☒ YES

☐ NO

PROOFING:

1ST DFTJ/MM REVISION NEEDED NO

2ND MM/DST REVISION NEEDED NO

3RD _____ REVISION NEEDED _____

4TH _____ REVISION NEEDED _____

5TH _____ REVISION NEEDED _____

6TH _____ REVISION NEEDED _____

FINAL COPY APPROVED?

☒ YES

☐ NO

DATE: 11-12-92

20101129 10:03

20.04.2023

Right to Work Action Reply

Right to Work Action Reply

Please make checks payable and return to: NRTWC

Please make checks payable and return to: NRTWC

Right to Work Action Reply

TO: Reed Larson, President
National Right to Work Committee
8001 Braddock Road
Springfield, VA 22160

From: _____

Dear Reed:

Thank you for updating me on the results of the Right to Work Candidate Survey. To make sure the candidates know where I stand on Right to Work issues, I have:

_____ Contacted the candidates to urge them to support the Right to Work.

_____ Enclosed a contribution of:

_____ \$200 _____ \$100 _____ \$50

_____ \$25 _____ Other

Please make checks payable and return to:
NRTWC

ROSTER OF CANDIDATES • STATE OF GEORGIA

Candidates for the U.S. Senate

Questions #: 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9

Wyche Fowler, Jr.-D -----
 United States Senate
 Washington, DC 20510
 (404) 331-0697

Paul Coverdell-R YYYYYYYYYY
 2804 Andrews Dr., NW
 Atlanta, GA 30305
 (404) 320-1992

Key:

Y = Yes
 N = No
 - = No Response

Survey Questions

1. Do you believe an employee who does not want the "services" of a labor union should have the right to refuse to accept that union as his exclusive representative, which federal law now forces him to accept?
2. Will you support repeal of the provisions in federal laws which authorize compulsory unionism?
3. Do you favor preservation of Section 14(b) of the Taft-Hartley Act, which authorizes state Right to Work laws?
4. Would you support legislation to end the special immunity union officials presently enjoy from prosecution under the federal anti-extortion statute?
5. Will you oppose the forced unionization of federal, state, county and municipal employees?
6. Will you support amendments to the Federal Election Campaign Act to prohibit the use of compulsory union dues and fees for political causes and candidates opposed by union members?
7. Will you oppose so-called "anti-double breasting" legislation that has, as its primary goal, to forcibly unionize employees of construction companies?
8. Will you oppose legislation to weaken or destroy the Hatch Act, which protects federal employees from union political coercion?
9. Will you oppose legislation that would punish or require the firing of employees who choose to work during a strike, and give union officials the power to shut down businesses that refuse to force their employees to pay union dues?

Note: The National Right to Work Committee, of course, endorses no candidates. We are a nonpartisan organization. But we believe that you as a Right to Work supporter are entitled to know which candidates will support the right of every American to earn a living — without having to pay union bosses for the privilege.

BACKGROUNDER

The information below is helpful in explaining the questions on the reverse side of this form.

1. A union, under present federal laws, is empowered to represent and bind all employees in a company's bargaining unit — including employees who oppose the union and don't want its "services."

This monopoly bargaining power, generally described as "exclusive bargaining rights," deprives employees of their right to bargain for themselves. Union officials fought for this power and refuse to give it up; yet they complain they are "unfairly burdened by the legal obligation" to represent nonmembers.

Such complaints are intended to pave the way for compelling financial support from so-called "free riders" for representation they do not want.
2. The firing of workers who refuse to pay union dues and/or fees is explicitly sanctioned by both the National Labor Relations Act and the National Railway Labor Act.
3. Section 7 of the NLRA, for example, stipulates that employees shall have "the right to refrain" from participating in union activities "except to the extent that such right may be affected by an agreement requiring membership in a labor organization as a condition of employment."
4. The problem of compulsory unionism was created by Congress. It will not be solved until Congress repeals the existing federal authorizations of compulsory unionism.
5. In 21 states, wage earners — except those covered by the National Railway Labor Act — are shielded from compulsory unionism by Right to Work laws.
6. The Florida guarantee is typical of these laws, saying, "The right of persons to work shall not be denied or abridged on account of membership or nonmembership in any labor union or labor organization."
7. The authority of states to adopt and enforce such laws is reaffirmed by Section 14(b) of the Taft-Hartley amendments to the National Labor Relations Act.
8. Extortion, as a technique, is extremely useful to union officials in obtaining such demands as compulsory union shops, "agency" shops, compulsory hiring halls and irrevocable dues check-off clauses.
9. While most criminal law is administered at the state and local level, some criminal activities (including extortion), which obstruct interstate commerce, have been deemed by Congress to be so important that they should be covered by federal statutes.
10. As the federal law currently stands, union officials have unique special immunities from prosecution for threatening to commit or committing felonies — such as murder, manslaughter, maiming, arson, aggravated property destruction, explosives or firearms offenses, etc. — to obtain collective bargaining demands.
11. For the past several years, Congress has been confronted by bills designed to authorize the forced unionization of public employees at various levels of government.
12. Several of these proposals are aimed at state, county and municipal employees and would nullify existing state laws which shield public employees from union coercion.
13. Other bills would strip postal workers and other federal employees of the freedom of choice guaranteed by the Postal Reorganization Act of 1970 and executive orders dating back to the administration of President John F. Kennedy.
14. Labor unions are the only private organization in the U.S. which can legally force individuals to pay dues into their treasuries.
15. The Federal Election Campaign Act (FECA) prohibits union officials from giving any of these dues dollars directly to a candidate for federal office.
16. At the same time, FECA permits union officials to use workers' compulsory dues dollars for "in-kind" political spending on goods and services to elect candidates for federal offices.
17. These "in-kind" expenditures are in addition to union PAC contributions; they are seldom — if ever — documented or reported to the Federal Election Commission.
18. No official statistics for total union "in-kind" expenditures are available. But Labor columnist Victor Riesel estimated that this so-called "soft money" amounted to 10 times more than what union PACs gave in cash contributions. Based on that yardstick, union "soft money" in 1990 exceeded \$350 million.
19. In recent years, legislation has been introduced in Congress to automatically impose union representation upon workers of nonunionized companies which have even the slightest economic links to unionized companies.
20. Even though the nonunionized and the unionized companies each perform separate and distinct work, the compulsory union contract would be automatically imposed upon the nonunion workers, without even the show of an election conducted by the National Labor Relations Board to determine worker support.
21. The "anti-double breasting" legislation would also encourage Common Situs picketing by permitting union organizers to use a dispute with a single subcontractor as an excuse to picket and shut down all the other subcontractors at a job site.
22. Legislation has been introduced repeatedly in Congress to loosen the 50-year-old Hatch Act's restrictions against partisan political activity by federal employees.
23. Federal union officials now wield monopoly bargaining power over federal employees, which makes union officials the sole conduit for civil servants in collective bargaining and grievance situations.
24. Current proposals to weaken the Hatch Act lack explicit prohibitions against the use of monopoly bargaining power to coerce civil servants into supporting federal union officials' political agenda.
25. Legislation has been introduced in Congress that would prevent employers from hiring permanent replacement workers during a strike.
26. The bill's provisions would also penalize workers who choose not to strike by giving preferential, post-strike hiring privileges to strikers.
27. Since an employer is unlikely to find employees who will work during a violent strike under these conditions, employers would be forced to cave in to every demand by union officials — including the demand that workers who refuse to pay union dues be fired.

Right to Work Action Reply

TO: Reed Larson, President
National Right to Work Committee
8001 Braddock Road
Springfield, VA 22160

From: _____

Dear Reed:

Thank you for updating me on the results of the Right to Work Candidate Survey. To make sure the candidates know where I stand on Right to Work issues, I have:

_____ Contacted the candidates to urge them to support the Right to Work.

_____ Enclosed a contribution of:

_____ \$200 _____ \$100 _____ \$50

_____ \$25 _____ Other

Please make checks payable and return to: NRTWC

Right to Work Action Reply

TO: Reed Larson, President
National Right to Work Committee
8001 Braddock Road
Springfield, VA 22160

From: _____

Dear Reed:

Thank you for updating me on the results of the Right to Work Candidate Survey. To make sure the candidates know where I stand on Right to Work issues, I have:

_____ Contacted the candidates to urge them to support the Right to Work.

_____ Enclosed a contribution of:

_____ \$200 _____ \$100 _____ \$50

_____ \$25 _____ Other

Please make checks payable and return to: NRTWC

364E 46E 100 02

ROSTER OF CANDIDATES • STATE OF GEORGIA

Candidates for the U.S. Senate

folder copy

Questions #: 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9

Wyche Fowler, Jr.-D
United States Senate
Washington, DC 20510
(404) 331-0697

Paul Coverdell-R
2804 Andrews Dr., NW
Atlanta, GA 30305
(404) 320-1992

YYYYYYYYYY

Key:

Y = Yes

N = No

- = No Response

Survey Questions

1. Do you believe an employee who does not want the "services" of a labor union should have the right to refuse to accept that union as his exclusive representative, which federal law now forces him to accept?
2. Will you support repeal of the provisions in federal laws which authorize compulsory unionism?
3. Do you favor preservation of Section 14(b) of the Taft-Hartley Act, which authorizes state Right to Work laws?
4. Would you support legislation to end the special immunity union officials presently enjoy from prosecution under the federal anti-extortion statute?
5. Will you oppose the forced unionization of federal, state, county and municipal employees?
6. Will you support amendments to the Federal Election Campaign Act to prohibit the use of compulsory union dues and fees for political causes and candidates opposed by union members?
7. Will you oppose so-called "anti-double breasting" legislation that has, as its primary goal, to forcibly unionize employees of construction companies?
8. Will you oppose legislation to weaken or destroy the Hatch Act, which protects federal employees from union political coercion?
9. Will you oppose legislation that would punish or require the firing of employees who choose to work during a strike, and give union officials the power to shut down businesses that refuse to force their employees to pay union dues?

Note: The National Right to Work Committee, of course, endorses no candidates. We are a nonpartisan organization. But we believe that you as a Right to Work supporter are entitled to know which candidates will support the right of every American to earn a living — without having to pay union bosses for the privilege.

BACKGROUNDER

The information below is helpful in explaining the questions on the reverse side of this form.

1. A union, under present federal laws, is empowered to represent and bind all employees in a company's bargaining unit — including employees who oppose the union and don't want its "services."
This monopoly bargaining power, generally described as "exclusive bargaining rights," deprives employees of their right to bargain for themselves. Union officials fought for this power and refuse to give it up; yet they complain they are "unfairly burdened by the legal obligation" to represent nonmembers.
Such complaints are intended to pave the way for compelling financial support from so-called "free riders" for representation they do not want.
2. The firing of workers who refuse to pay union dues and/or fees is explicitly sanctioned by both the National Labor Relations Act and the National Railway Labor Act.
Section 7 of the NLRA, for example, stipulates that employees shall have "the right to refrain" from participating in union activities "except to the extent that such right may be affected by an agreement requiring membership in a labor organization as a condition of employment."
The problem of compulsory unionism was created by Congress. It will not be solved until Congress repeals the existing federal authorizations of compulsory unionism.
3. In 21 states, wage earners — except those covered by the National Railway Labor Act — are shielded from compulsory unionism by Right to Work laws.
The Florida guarantee is typical of these laws, saying, "The right of persons to work shall not be denied or abridged on account of membership or nonmembership in any labor union or labor organization."
The authority of states to adopt and enforce such laws is reaffirmed by Section 14(b) of the Taft-Hartley amendments to the National Labor Relations Act.
4. Extortion, as a technique, is extremely useful to union officials in obtaining such demands as compulsory union shops, "agency" shops, compulsory hiring halls and irrevocable dues check-off clauses.
While most criminal law is administered at the state and local level, some criminal activities (including extortion), which obstruct interstate commerce, have been deemed by Congress to be so important that they should be covered by federal statutes.
As the federal law currently stands, union officials have unique special immunities from prosecution for threatening to commit or committing felonies — such as murder, manslaughter, maiming, arson, aggravated property destruction, explosives or firearms offenses, etc. — to obtain collective bargaining demands.
5. For the past several years, Congress has been confronted by bills designed to authorize the forced unionization of public employees at various levels of government.
Several of these proposals are aimed at state, county and municipal employees and would nullify existing state laws which shield public employees from union coercion.
Other bills would strip postal workers and other federal employees of the freedom of choice guaranteed by the Postal
- Reorganization Act of 1970 and executive orders dating back to the administration of President John F. Kennedy.
6. Labor unions are the only private organization in the U.S. which can legally force individuals to pay dues into their treasuries.
The Federal Election Campaign Act (FECA) prohibits union officials from giving any of these dues dollars directly to a candidate for federal office.
At the same time, FECA permits union officials to use workers' compulsory dues dollars for "in-kind" political spending on goods and services to elect candidates for federal offices.
These "in-kind" expenditures are in addition to union PAC contributions; they are seldom — if ever — documented or reported to the Federal Election Commission.
No official statistics for total union "in-kind" expenditures are available. But Labor columnist Victor Riesel estimated that this so-called "soft money" amounted to 10 times more than what union PACs gave in cash contributions. Based on that yardstick, union "soft money" in 1990 exceeded \$350 million.
7. In recent years, legislation has been introduced in Congress to automatically impose union representation upon workers of nonunionized companies which have even the slightest economic links to unionized companies.
Even though the nonunionized and the unionized companies each perform separate and distinct work, the compulsory union contract would be automatically imposed upon the nonunion workers, without even the show of an election conducted by the National Labor Relations Board to determine worker support.
The "anti-double breasting" legislation would also encourage Common Situs picketing by permitting union organizers to use a dispute with a single subcontractor as an excuse to picket and shut down all the other subcontractors at a job site.
8. Legislation has been introduced repeatedly in Congress to loosen the 50-year-old Hatch Act's restrictions against partisan political activity by federal employees.
Federal union officials now wield monopoly bargaining power over federal employees, which makes union officials the sole conduit for civil servants in collective bargaining and grievance situations.
Current proposals to weaken the Hatch Act lack explicit prohibitions against the use of monopoly bargaining power to coerce civil servants into supporting federal union officials' political agenda.
9. Legislation has been introduced in Congress that would prevent employers from hiring permanent replacement workers during a strike.
The bill's provisions would also penalize workers who choose not to strike by giving preferential, post-strike hiring privileges to strikers.
Since an employer is unlikely to find employees who will work during a violent strike under these conditions, employers would be forced to cave in to every demand by union officials — including the demand that workers who refuse to pay union dues be fired.

ROSTER OF CANDIDATES • STATE OF GEORGIA

GA

Candidates for the U.S. Senate

Questions #: 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9

Wyche Fowler, Jr.-D -----
United States Senate
Washington, DC 20510
(404) 331-0697

Paul Coverdell-R YYYYYYYYYY
2804 Andrews Dr., NW
Atlanta, GA 30305
(404) 320-1992

Key:

Y = Yes

N = No

- = No Response

Survey Questions

1. Do you believe an employee who does not want the "services" of a labor union should have the right to refuse to accept that union as his exclusive representative, which federal law now forces him to accept?
2. Will you support repeal of the provisions in federal laws which authorize compulsory unionism?
3. Do you favor preservation of Section 14(b) of the Taft-Hartley Act, which authorizes state Right to Work laws?
4. Would you support legislation to end the special immunity union officials presently enjoy from prosecution under the federal anti-extortion statute?
5. Will you oppose the forced unionization of federal, state, county and municipal employees?
6. Will you support amendments to the Federal Election Campaign Act to prohibit the use of compulsory union dues and fees for political causes and candidates opposed by union members?
7. Will you oppose so-called "anti-double breasting" legislation that has, as its primary goal, to forcibly unionize employees of construction companies?
8. Will you oppose legislation to weaken or destroy the Hatch Act, which protects federal employees from union political coercion?
9. Will you oppose legislation that would punish or require the firing of employees who choose to work during a strike, and give union officials the power to shut down businesses that refuse to force their employees to pay union dues?

Note: The National Right to Work Committee, of course, endorses no candidates. We are a nonpartisan organization. But we believe that you as a Right to Work supporter are entitled to know which candidates will support the right of every American to earn a living — without having to pay union bosses for the privilege.

PRODUCTION APPROVAL/PROOFING CHECK-OFF

(Attach to FINAL copy)

DATE: 11/1/92

PACKAGE: For Final Copy 1st = (Katie King)

FROM: MSB & 264

HAVE ALL RECOMMENDED CHANGES BEEN MADE? ☒ YES ☐ NO

PROOFING:

Martin needs to sign this! BLS

1ST MSB 11/1/92 REVISION NEEDED yes

2ND MSB REVISION NEEDED _____

3RD _____ REVISION NEEDED _____

4TH _____ REVISION NEEDED _____

5TH _____ REVISION NEEDED _____

6TH _____ REVISION NEEDED _____

FINAL COPY APPROVED? ☒ YES ☐ NO DATE: _____

MSB 11/1/92

20-04-297-3002

ROSTER OF CANDIDATES • STATE OF GEORGIA

Candidates for the U.S. Senate

Questions #: 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9

Wyche Fowler, Jr.-D -----
 United States Senate
 Washington, DC 20510
 (404) 331-0697

Paul Coverdell-R YYYYYYYYYY
 2804 Andrews Dr., NW
 Atlanta, GA 30305
 (404) 320-1992

Key:

Y = Yes

N = No

- = No Response

Survey Questions

1. Do you believe an employee who does not want the "services" of a labor union should have the right to refuse to accept that union as his exclusive representative, which federal law now forces him to accept?
2. Will you support repeal of the provisions in federal laws which authorize compulsory unionism?
3. Do you favor preservation of Section 14(b) of the Taft-Hartley Act, which authorizes state Right to Work laws?
4. Would you support legislation to end the special immunity union officials presently enjoy from prosecution under the federal anti-extortion statute?
5. Will you oppose the forced unionization of federal, state, county and municipal employees?
6. Will you support amendments to the Federal Election Campaign Act to prohibit the use of compulsory union dues and fees for political causes and candidates opposed by union members?
7. Will you oppose so-called "anti-double breasting" legislation that has, as its primary goal, to forcibly unionize employees of construction companies?
8. Will you oppose legislation to weaken or destroy the Hatch Act, which protects federal employees from union political coercion?
9. Will you oppose legislation that would punish or require the firing of employees who choose to work during a strike, and give union officials the power to shut down businesses that refuse to force their employees to pay union dues?

Note: The National Right to Work Committee, of course, endorses no candidates. We are a nonpartisan organization. But we believe that you as a Right to Work supporter are entitled to know which candidates will support the right of every American to earn a living — without having to pay union bosses for the privilege.

BACKGROUNDER

The information below is helpful in explaining the questions on the reverse side of this form.

1. A union, under present federal laws, is empowered to represent and bind all employees in a company's bargaining unit — including employees who oppose the union and don't want its "services."

This monopoly bargaining power, generally described as "exclusive bargaining rights," deprives employees of their right to bargain for themselves. Union officials fought for this power and refuse to give it up; yet they complain they are "unfairly burdened by the legal obligation" to represent nonmembers.

Such complaints are intended to pave the way for compelling financial support from so-called "free riders" for representation they do not want.
2. The firing of workers who refuse to pay union dues and/or fees is explicitly sanctioned by both the National Labor Relations Act and the National Railway Labor Act.
3. Section 7 of the NLRA, for example, stipulates that employees shall have "the right to refrain" from participating in union activities "except to the extent that such right may be affected by an agreement requiring membership in a labor organization as a condition of employment."
4. The problem of compulsory unionism was created by Congress. It will not be solved until Congress repeals the existing federal authorizations of compulsory unionism.
5. In 21 states, wage earners — except those covered by the National Railway Labor Act — are shielded from compulsory unionism by Right to Work laws.
6. The Florida guarantee is typical of these laws, saying, "The right of persons to work shall not be denied or abridged on account of membership or nonmembership in any labor union or labor organization."
7. The authority of states to adopt and enforce such laws is reaffirmed by Section 14(b) of the Taft-Hartley amendments to the National Labor Relations Act.
8. Extortion, as a technique, is extremely useful to union officials in obtaining such demands as compulsory union shops, "agency" shops, compulsory hiring halls and irrevocable dues check-off clauses.
9. While most criminal law is administered at the state and local level, some criminal activities (including extortion), which obstruct interstate commerce, have been deemed by Congress to be so important that they should be covered by federal statutes.
10. As the federal law currently stands, union officials have unique special immunities from prosecution for threatening to commit or committing felonies — such as murder, manslaughter, maiming, arson, aggravated property destruction, explosives or firearms offenses, etc. — to obtain collective bargaining demands.
11. For the past several years, Congress has been confronted by bills designed to authorize the forced unionization of public employees at various levels of government.
12. Several of these proposals are aimed at state, county and municipal employees and would nullify existing state laws which shield public employees from union coercion.
13. Other bills would strip postal workers and other federal employees of the freedom of choice guaranteed by the Postal Reorganization Act of 1970 and executive orders dating back to the administration of President John F. Kennedy.
14. Labor unions are the only private organization in the U.S. which can legally force individuals to pay dues into their treasuries.
15. The Federal Election Campaign Act (FECA) prohibits union officials from giving any of these dues dollars directly to a candidate for federal office.
16. At the same time, FECA permits union officials to use workers' compulsory dues dollars for "in-kind" political spending on goods and services to elect candidates for federal offices.
17. These "in-kind" expenditures are in addition to union PAC contributions; they are seldom — if ever — documented or reported to the Federal Election Commission.
18. No official statistics for total union "in-kind" expenditures are available. But Labor columnist Victor Riesel estimated that this so-called "soft money" amounted to 10 times more than what union PACs gave in cash contributions. Based on that yardstick, union "soft money" in 1990 exceeded \$350 million.
19. In recent years, legislation has been introduced in Congress to automatically impose union representation upon workers of nonunionized companies which have even the slightest economic links to unionized companies.
20. Even though the nonunionized and the unionized companies each perform separate and distinct work, the compulsory union contract would be automatically imposed upon the nonunion workers, without even the show of an election conducted by the National Labor Relations Board to determine worker support.
21. The "anti-double breasting" legislation would also encourage Common Situs picketing by permitting union organizers to use a dispute with a single subcontractor as an excuse to picket and shut down all the other subcontractors at a job site.
22. Legislation has been introduced repeatedly in Congress to loosen the 50-year-old Hatch Act's restrictions against partisan political activity by federal employees.
23. Federal union officials now wield monopoly bargaining power over federal employees, which makes union officials the sole conduit for civil servants in collective bargaining and grievance situations.
24. Current proposals to weaken the Hatch Act lack explicit prohibitions against the use of monopoly bargaining power to coerce civil servants into supporting federal union officials' political agenda.
25. Legislation has been introduced in Congress that would prevent employers from hiring permanent replacement workers during a strike.
26. The bill's provisions would also penalize workers who choose not to strike by giving preferential, post-strike hiring privileges to strikers.
27. Since an employer is unlikely to find employees who will work during a violent strike under these conditions, employers would be forced to cave in to every demand by union officials — including the demand that workers who refuse to pay union dues be fired.

ROSTER OF CANDIDATES • STATE OF GEORGIA

Candidates for the U.S. Senate

Questions #: 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9

X Wyche Fowler, Jr.-D - - - - -
 United States Senate
 Washington, DC 20510
 (404) 331-0697

Paul Coverdell-R YYYYYYYYYY
 2804 Andrews Dr., NW
 Atlanta, GA 30305

X ~~3~~(404) 320-1772

Key:

Y = Yes

N = No

- = No Response

Survey Questions

1. Do you believe an employee who does not want the "services" of a labor union should have the right to refuse to accept that union as his exclusive representative, which federal law now forces him to accept?
2. Will you support repeal of the provisions in federal laws which authorize compulsory unionism?
3. Do you favor preservation of Section 14(b) of the Taft-Hartley Act, which authorizes state Right to Work laws?
4. Would you support legislation to end the special immunity union officials presently enjoy from prosecution under the federal anti-extortion statute?
5. Will you oppose the forced unionization of federal, state, county and municipal employees?
6. Will you support amendments to the Federal Election Campaign Act to prohibit the use of compulsory union dues and fees for political causes and candidates opposed by union members?
7. Will you oppose so-called "anti-double breasting" legislation that has, as its primary goal, to forcibly unionize employees of construction companies?
8. Will you oppose legislation to weaken or destroy the Hatch Act, which protects federal employees from union political coercion?
9. Will you oppose legislation that would punish or require the firing of employees who choose to work during a strike, and give union officials the power to shut down businesses that refuse to force their employees to pay union dues?

Note: The National Right to Work Committee, of course, endorses no candidates. We are a nonpartisan organization. But we believe that you as a Right to Work supporter are entitled to know which candidates will support the right of every American to earn a living — without having to pay union bosses for the privilege.

Right to Work Action Reply

TO: Reed Larson, President
National Right to Work Committee
8001 Braddock Road
Springfield, VA 22160

From: _____

Dear Reed:

Thank you for updating me on the results of the Right to Work Candidate Survey. To make sure the candidates know where I stand on Right to Work issues, I have:

_____ Contacted the candidates to urge them to support the Right to Work.

_____ Enclosed a contribution of:

_____ \$200 _____ \$100 _____ \$50

_____ \$25 _____ Other

Please make checks payable and return to:
NRTWC

[illegible]

Right to Work Action Reply

Right to Work Action Reply

for the copy

TO: Reed Larson, President
National Right to Work Committee
8001 Braddock Road
Springfield, VA 22160

TO: Reed Larson, President
National Right to Work Committee
8001 Braddock Road
Springfield, VA 22160

From: _____

From: _____

Dear Reed:

Thank you for updating me on the results of the Right to Work Candidate Survey. To make sure the candidates know where I stand on Right to Work issues, I have:

_____ Contacted the candidates to urge them to support the Right to Work.

_____ Enclosed a contribution of:

_____ \$200 _____ \$100 _____ \$50
_____ \$25 _____ Other

Dear Reed:

Thank you for updating me on the results of the Right to Work Candidate Survey. To make sure the candidates know where I stand on Right to Work issues, I have:

_____ Contacted the candidates to urge them to support the Right to Work.

_____ Enclosed a contribution of:

_____ \$200 _____ \$100 _____ \$50
_____ \$25 _____ Other

Please make checks payable and return to: NRTWC

Please make checks payable and return to: NRTWC

Right to Work Action Reply

TO: Reed Larson, President
National Right to Work Committee
8001 Braddock Road
Springfield, VA 22160

From: _____

Dear Reed:

Thank you for updating me on the results of the Right to Work Candidate Survey. To make sure the candidates know where I stand on Right to Work issues, I have:

_____ Contacted the candidates to urge them to support the Right to Work.

_____ Enclosed a contribution of:

_____ \$200 _____ \$100 _____ \$50

_____ \$25 _____ Other

Please make checks payable and return to:
NRTWC

20100429 10:00

The National Right to Work Committee's Washington D.C. Headquarters is located at our nation's capital at 8001 Braddock Road, Suite 500 • Springfield, VA 22160 • Telephone (800) 325-7892. Because the IRS has recognized NRTWC as tax exempt under IRC Sec. 501(c)(4), which permits unlimited lobbying, contributions are not tax deductible as charitable contributions.

The National Right to Work Committee's Washington D.C. Headquarters is located at our nation's capital at 8001 Braddock Road, Suite 500 • Springfield, VA 22160 • Telephone (800) 325-7892. Because the IRS has recognized NRTWC as tax exempt under IRC Sec. 501(c)(4), which permits unlimited lobbying, contributions are not tax deductible as charitable contributions.

20 "01" 2007 "3042

Right to Work Action Reply

Right to Work Action Reply

TO: Reed Larson, President
National Right to Work Committee
8001 Braddock Road
Springfield, VA 22160

TO: Reed Larson, President
National Right to Work Committee
8001 Braddock Road
Springfield, VA 22160

From: _____

From: _____

Dear Reed:

Dear Reed:

Thank you for updating me on the results of the Right to Work Candidate Survey. To make sure the candidates know where I stand on Right to Work issues, I have:

Thank you for updating me on the results of the Right to Work Candidate Survey. To make sure the candidates know where I stand on Right to Work issues, I have:

_____ Contacted the candidates to urge them to support the Right to Work.

_____ Contacted the candidates to urge them to support the Right to Work.

_____ Enclosed a contribution of:

_____ Enclosed a contribution of:

_____ \$200 _____ \$100 _____ \$50
_____ \$25 _____ Other

_____ \$200 _____ \$100 _____ \$50
_____ \$25 _____ Other

Please make checks payable and return to: NRTWC

Please make checks payable and return to: NRTWC

November 16, 1992

Dear Georgia Member,

Now that Bill Clinton has been elected President, your Senator may hold the fate of Right to Work in his hand.

Your Senator may be the one who decides the future of Section 14(b) of the Taft-Hartley Act, which allows Georgia to keep its cherished Right to Work Law.

He may cast the deciding vote on Senator Ted Kennedy's Pushbutton Strike bill, giving Big Labor the power to terrorize any business with a crippling strike -- easy as pushing a button.

Your Senator may also be the one to conscript nearly 3 million federal employees into the union bosses' political army -- with marching orders paid for with your tax dollars -- by repealing the 53-year-old Hatch Act.

With Bill Clinton in the White House, the Senate will be the last redoubt of worker freedom.

One vote in the Senate could determine the fate of Right to Work. Or, one vote could enact union-boss power grabs into law.

If the Pushbutton Strike bill and Hatch Act repeal become law, you know what will happen to Georgia and the entire country: skyrocketing taxes and inflation will follow in the wake of bankrupted small businesses and lengthening unemployment lines as union organizers seek to control countless workers.

The crucial question is, where do Georgia's Senate candidates stand on Right to Work?

Incumbent Wyche Fowler refuses to say.

In the past few months, I have written Mr. Fowler three times imploring him to tell his constituents where he stands on Right to Work.

I've even tried certified mail. Still, Mr. Fowler continues to defy his constituents' right to know his views on the issues that will directly affect their lives.

But after looking at Mr. Fowler's voting record in the U.S. Senate, it is clear what he's trying to hide.

He voted on June 11th and June 16th of this year to quash a pro-Right to Work filibuster and enact the Pushbutton Strike bill, which would hand union "organizers" the power to punish or even fire workers who dare to go to their jobs in defiance of a union-boss strike order.

By penalizing workers for resisting the union czars, the Kennedy Strike bill would have blown a huge hole in Georgia's Right to Work law.

In June 1990, Senator Fowler voted in lockstep with the union czars to repeal the Hatch Act which protects federal employees from getting ensnared in partisan politics.

This political payoff would have allowed union officials to browbeat 2.9 million federal employees and thousands of U.S. taxpayers into supporting the union political machine.

I don't know whether Wyche Fowler supports Organized Labor's plans to wipe out Georgia's and 20 other state Right to Work laws through repeal of Taft-Hartley Section 14(b). He won't say.

But since he voted to gut your Right to Work law when he backed Ted Kennedy's job-destroying Strike bill, I must fear the worst.

What's more, grateful union barons have delivered to Mr. Fowler over \$380,000 since 1986 from union-brass PACs.

And experts agree that Big Labor has dumped ten times that amount into Mr. Fowler's campaign in the form of illegal "soft" money for phone banks, get-out-the-vote drives and paid "volunteers."

All this paints the picture of a union boss puppet who shows no signs of cutting the strings that bind him to the union bosses.

And it makes clear that Mr. Fowler needs to hear from you.

Call (404) 331-0697, or pay a personal visit to Sen. Fowler.

Demand that he repudiate his past support for forced unionism.

Only a deafening roar of protest from Georgia's pro-Right to Work majority at this time when Sen. Fowler is listening so closely to his constituents, will cause him to see the light and mend his ways.

There is some good news. Mr. Fowler's opponent, Paul Coverdell, has leveled with you and vowed 100% commitment to protect your Right to Work.

But, Mr. Coverdell is under intense pressure from the union hierarchy to back down from his courageous stand. Please phone your thanks and encouragement to Mr. Coverdell at (404) 320-1992.

And I hope you will do one more thing.

I had to spend money I didn't have to send you this special alert. Even though the Committee already has overdue bills from this year's battle against forced unionism, I had no choice. I had to enlist you and all Georgia Right to Work members in this last, crucial fight to save Georgia's Right to Work.

So if you can, please return with the enclosed Right to Work Action Reply, a special contribution of \$200, \$100, \$50 or \$25 to help defray the costs of this effort.

But contact the candidates TODAY -- that's most important!

Sincerely,

Reed Larson

P.S. Now that Big Labor has elected Bill Clinton President, your Senator's vote counts more than ever.

If Georgia's citizens turn the heat up high enough even Wyche Fowler will see the light. Contact him today. Tell him to defend your Right to Work. Call (404) 331-0697. Also, please call Mr. Coverdell to thank him for supporting Right to Work. His number is (404) 320-1992.

The National Right to Work Committee's Washington D.C. Headquarters is located at our nation's capital at 8001 Braddock Road, Suite 500 • Springfield, VA 22160 • Telephone (800) 325-7892. Because the IRS has recognized NRTWC as tax exempt under IRC Sec. 501(c)(4), which permits unlimited lobbying, contributions are not tax deductible as charitable contributions.

The National Right to Work Committee's Washington D.C. Headquarters is located at our nation's capital at 8001 Braddock Road, Suite 500 • Springfield, VA 22160 • Telephone (800) 325-7892. Because the IRS has recognized NRTWC as tax exempt under IRC Sec. 501(c)(4), which permits unlimited lobbying, contributions are not tax deductible as charitable contributions.

November 16, 1992

Dear Georgia Member,

Now that Bill Clinton has been elected President, your Senator may hold the fate of Right to Work in his hand.

Your Senator may be the one who decides the future of Section 14(b) of the Taft-Hartley Act, which allows Georgia to keep its cherished Right to Work Law.

He may cast the deciding vote on Senator Ted Kennedy's Pushbutton Strike bill, giving Big Labor the power to terrorize any business with a crippling strike -- easy as pushing a button.

Your Senator may also be the one to conscript nearly 3 million federal employees into the union bosses' political army -- with marching orders paid for with your tax dollars -- by repealing the 53-year-old Hatch Act.

With Bill Clinton in the White House, the Senate will be the last redoubt of worker freedom.

One vote in the Senate could determine the fate of Right to Work. Or, one vote could enact union-boss power grabs into law.

If the Pushbutton Strike bill and Hatch Act repeal become law, you know what will happen to Georgia and the entire country: skyrocketing taxes and inflation will follow in the wake of bankrupted small businesses and lengthening unemployment lines as union organizers seek to control countless workers.

The crucial question is, where do Georgia's Senate candidates stand on Right to Work?

Incumbent Wyche Fowler refuses to say.

In the past few months, I have written Mr. Fowler three times imploring him to tell his constituents where he stands on Right to Work.

I've even tried certified mail. Still, Mr. Fowler continues to defy his constituents' right to know his views on the issues that will directly affect their lives.

But after looking at Mr. Fowler's voting record in the U.S. Senate, it is clear what he's trying to hide.

He voted on June 11th and June 16th of this year to quash a pro-Right to Work filibuster and enact the Pushbutton Strike bill, which would hand union "organizers" the power to punish or even fire workers who dare to go to their jobs in defiance of a union-boss strike order.

By penalizing workers for resisting the union czars, the Kennedy Strike bill would have blown a huge hole in Georgia's Right to Work law.

In June 1990, Senator Fowler voted in lockstep with the union czars to repeal the Hatch Act which protects federal employees from getting ensnared in partisan politics.

This political payoff would have allowed union officials to browbeat 2.9 million federal employees and thousands of U.S. taxpayers into supporting the union political machine.

2004-2007-2018

I don't know whether Wyche Fowler supports Organized Labor's plans to wipe out Georgia's and 20 other state Right to Work laws through repeal of Taft-Hartley Section 14(b). He won't say.

But since he voted to gut your Right to Work law when he backed Ted Kennedy's job-destroying Strike bill, I must fear the worst.

What's more, grateful union barons have delivered to Mr. Fowler over \$380,000 since 1986 from union-brass PACs.

And experts agree that Big Labor has dumped ten times that amount into Mr. Fowler's campaign in the form of illegal "soft" money for phone banks, get-out-the-vote drives and paid "volunteers."

All this paints the picture of a union boss puppet who shows no signs of cutting the strings that bind him to the union bosses.

And it makes clear that Mr. Fowler needs to hear from you.

Call (404) 331-0697, or pay a personal visit to Sen. Fowler.

Demand that he repudiate his past support for forced unionism.

Only a deafening roar of protest from Georgia's pro-Right to Work majority at this time when Sen. Fowler is listening so closely to his constituents, will cause him to see the light and mend his ways.

There is some good news. Mr. Fowler's opponent, Paul Coverdell, has leveled with you and vowed 100% commitment to protect your Right to Work.

But, Mr. Coverdell is under intense pressure from the union hierarchy to back down from his courageous stand. Please phone your thanks and encouragement to Mr. Coverdell at (404) 320-1992.

And I hope you will do one more thing.

I had to spend money I didn't have to send you this special alert. Even though the Committee already has overdue bills from this year's battle against forced unionism, I had no choice. I had to enlist you and all Georgia Right to Work members in this last, crucial fight to save Georgia's Right to Work.

So if you can, please return with the enclosed Right to Work Action Reply, a special contribution of \$200, \$100, \$50 or \$25 to help defray the costs of this effort.

But contact the candidates TODAY -- that's most important!

Sincerely,


Reed Larson

P.S. Now that Big Labor has elected Bill Clinton President, your Senator's vote counts more than ever.

If Georgia's citizens turn the heat up high enough even Wyche Fowler will see the light. Contact him today. Tell him to defend your Right to Work. Call (404) 331-0697. Also, please call Mr. Coverdell to thank him for supporting Right to Work. His number is (404) 320-1992.

20 "04" "397" "3318"

Right to Work Action Reply

TO: Reed Larson, President
National Right to Work Committee
8001 Braddock Road
Springfield, VA 22160

From: _____

Dear Reed:

Thank you for updating me on the results of the Right to Work Candidate Survey. To make sure the candidates know where I stand on Right to Work issues, I have:

_____ Contacted the candidates to urge them to support the Right to Work.

_____ Enclosed a contribution of:

_____ \$200 _____ \$100 _____ \$50
_____ \$25 _____ Other

Please make checks payable and return to: NRTWC

Right to Work Action Reply

TO: Reed Larson, President
National Right to Work Committee
8001 Braddock Road
Springfield, VA 22160

From: _____

Dear Reed:


Thank you for updating me on the results of the Right to Work Candidate Survey. To make sure the candidates know where I stand on Right to Work issues, I have:

_____ Contacted the candidates to urge them to support the Right to Work.

_____ Enclosed a contribution of:

_____ \$200 _____ \$100 _____ \$50
_____ \$25 _____ Other

Please make checks payable and return to: NRTWC



National Right to Work Committee • 8001 Braddock Road • Springfield, Virginia 22160 • (800) 325-7892 • FAX (703) 321-7342

Telecopier Information Sheet

The following pages are for:

Individual: RICK SAUM

Firm: ENTERPRISE GRAPHICS

Telephone: _____ Telecopier: 301 577 0850

From: Tim WALKER

Total number of pages (including information sheet): 2

Date transmitted: 11/12/92

Comments:

This fax message is intended only for the personal and confidential use of the addressee(s) or the designated recipient(s) named above. It may contain information that is privileged, confidential or exempt from disclosure under applicable law. If you are not the intended recipient, you are hereby notified that you have received this document in error and that any use, review, dissemination, distribution, or copying of this message is strictly prohibited. If you have received this communication in error, please notify us immediately by telephone and return the original message to us by U.S. mail at the address above. Thank you.

Enterprise Graphics Inc.

5001-B Forbes Boulevard
Lanham, Maryland 20706

PROOF TICKET

JOB NO. 1007 A

CUST. PO 22770

QUANTITY 39.000

INK COLORS BLACK

COLOR BREAK _____

FOLD _____

YES _____ NO _____

YES _____ NO _____

YES _____ NO _____

YES _____ NO _____

50 # offset w



☒ OK TO PRINT
☐ OK TO PRINT WITH CORRECTIONS. PLEASE INDICATE ALL CORRECTIONS
ON BLUELINE PROOF AND ALSO ON THE BACK OF THIS FORM. THANK YOU.
☐ REVISED PROOFS REQUESTED

MUST BE SIGNED TO PROCEED WITH JOB.

APPROVED BY Ju Wd DATE 11/12/92

PLEASE CALL FOR PICK-UP WHEN READY. (301) 577-8650

PLEASE RETURN BY _____ TO MEET THE DELIVERY SCHEDULE.

Enterprise Graphics Inc.

5001-B Forbes Boulevard
Lanham, Maryland 20706

PROOF TICKET

JOB NO. 1007 B

CUST. PO 22771

QUANTITY 39.000

INK COLORS BLACK

COLOR BREAK _____

FOLD _____

YES _____ NO _____

YES _____ NO _____

YES _____ NO _____

YES _____ NO _____

50 # 100ry



☐ OK TO PRINT
☐ OK TO PRINT WITH CORRECTIONS. PLEASE INDICATE ALL CORRECTIONS
ON BLUELINE PROOF AND ALSO ON THE BACK OF THIS FORM. THANK YOU.
☐ REVISED PROOFS REQUESTED

MUST BE SIGNED TO PROCEED WITH JOB.

APPROVED BY Ju Wd DATE 12/12/92

PLEASE CALL FOR PICK-UP WHEN READY. (301) 577-8650

PLEASE RETURN BY _____ TO MEET THE DELIVERY SCHEDULE.



Actiongram

NATIONAL RIGHT TO WORK COMMITTEE • 8001 Braintree Road • Suite 500 • Springfield, Virginia 22160 • Telephone (703) 321-9820

November 16, 1992

Dear Georgia Member,

Now that Bill Clinton has been elected President, your Senator may hold the fate of Right to Work in his hand.

Your Senator may be the one who decides the future of Section 14(b) of the Taft-Hartley Act, which allows Georgia to keep its cherished Right to Work Law.

He may cast the deciding vote on Senator Ted Kennedy's Pushbutton Strike bill, giving Big Labor the power to terrorize any business with a crippling strike -- easy as pushing a button.

Your Senator may also be the one to conscript nearly 3 million federal employees into the union bosses' political army -- with marching orders paid for with your tax dollars -- by repealing the 53-year-old Hatch Act.

With Bill Clinton in the White House, the Senate will be the last redoubt of worker freedom.

One vote in the Senate could determine the fate of Right to Work. Or, one vote could enact union-boss power grabs into law.

If the Pushbutton Strike bill and Hatch Act repeal become law, you know what will happen to Georgia and the entire country: skyrocketing taxes and inflation will follow in the wake of bankrupted small businesses and lengthening unemployment lines as union organizers seek to control countless workers.

The crucial question is, where do Georgia's Senate candidates stand on Right to Work?

Incumbent Wyche Fowler refuses to say.

In the past few months, I have written Mr. Fowler three times imploring him to tell his constituents where he stands on Right to Work.

I've even tried certified mail. Still, Mr. Fowler continues to defy his constituents' right to know his views on the issues that will directly affect their lives.

But after looking at Mr. Fowler's voting record in the U.S. Senate, it is clear what he's trying to hide.

He voted on June 11th and June 16th of this year to quash a pro-Right to Work filibuster and enact the Pushbutton Strike bill, which would hand union "organizers" the power to punish or even fire workers who dare to go to their jobs in defiance of a union-boss strike order.

By penalizing workers for resisting the union czars, the Kennedy Strike bill would have blown a huge hole in Georgia's Right to Work law.

In June 1990, Senator Fowler voted in lockstep with the union czars to repeal the Hatch Act which protects federal employees from getting ensnared in partisan politics.

This political payoff would have allowed union officials to browbeat 2.9 million federal employees and thousands of U.S. taxpayers into supporting the union political machine.

ROSTER OF CANDIDATES • STATE OF GEORGIA

Candidates for the U.S. Senate

Questions #: 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9

Wyche Fowler, Jr.-D -----
 United States Senate
 Washington, DC 20510
 (404) 331-0697

Paul Coverdell-R YYYYYYYYYY
 2804 Andrews Dr., NW
 Atlanta, GA 30305
 (404) 320-1992

Key:

Y = Yes

N = No

- = No Response

Survey Questions

1. Do you believe an employee who does not want the "services" of a labor union should have the right to refuse to accept that union as his exclusive representative, which federal law now forces him to accept?
2. Will you support repeal of the provisions in federal laws which authorize compulsory unionism?
3. Do you favor preservation of Section 14(b) of the Taft-Hartley Act, which authorizes state Right to Work laws?
4. Would you support legislation to end the special immunity union officials presently enjoy from prosecution under the federal anti-extortion statute?
5. Will you oppose the forced unionization of federal, state, county and municipal employees?
6. Will you support amendments to the Federal Election Campaign Act to prohibit the use of compulsory union dues and fees for political causes and candidates opposed by union members?
7. Will you oppose so-called "anti-double breasting" legislation that has, as its primary goal, to forcibly unionize employees of construction companies?
8. Will you oppose legislation to weaken or destroy the Hatch Act, which protects federal employees from union political coercion?
9. Will you oppose legislation that would punish or require the firing of employees who choose to work during a strike, and give union officials the power to shut down businesses that refuse to force their employees to pay union dues?

Note: The National Right to Work Committee, of course, endorses no candidates. We are a nonpartisan organization. But we believe that you as a Right to Work supporter are entitled to know which candidates will support the right of every American to earn a living — without having to pay union bosses for the privilege.

ROSTER OF CANDIDATES • STATE OF GEORGIA

Prints Black

Candidates for the U.S. Senate

Questions #: 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9

Wyche Fowler, Jr.-D
United States Senate
Washington, DC 20510
(404) 331-0697

SAMPLE

Paul Coverdell-R
2804 Andrews Dr., NW
Atlanta, GA 30305
(404) 320-1992

YYYYYYYYYY

Key:

Y = Yes

N = No

- = No Response

Survey Questions


1. Do you believe an employee who does not want the "services" of a labor union should have the right to refuse to accept that union as his exclusive representative, which federal law now forces him to accept?
2. Will you support repeal of the provisions in federal laws which authorize compulsory unionism?
3. Do you favor preservation of Section 14(b) of the Taft-Hartley Act, which authorizes state Right to Work laws?
4. Would you support legislation to end the special immunity union officials presently enjoy from prosecution under the federal anti-extortion statute?
5. Will you oppose the forced unionization of federal, state, county and municipal employees?
6. Will you support amendments to the Federal Election Campaign Act to prohibit the use of compulsory union dues and fees for political causes and candidates opposed by union members?
7. Will you oppose so-called "anti-double breasting" legislation that has, as its primary goal, to forcibly unionize employees of construction companies?
8. Will you oppose legislation to weaken or destroy the Hatch Act, which protects federal employees from union political coercion?
9. Will you oppose legislation that would punish or require the firing of employees who choose to work during a strike, and give union officials the power to shut down businesses that refuse to force their employees to pay union dues?

Note: The National Right to Work Committee, of course, endorses no candidates. We are a nonpartisan organization. But we believe that you as a Right to Work supporter are entitled to know which candidates will support the right of every American to earn a living — without having to pay union bosses for the privilege.

BACKGROUNDER

The information below is helpful in explaining the questions on the reverse side of this form.

1. A union, under present federal laws, is empowered to represent and bind all employees in a company's bargaining unit — including employees who oppose the union and don't want its "services."
This monopoly bargaining power, generally described as "exclusive bargaining rights," deprives employees of their right to bargain for themselves. Union officials fought for this power and refuse to give it up; yet they complain they are "unfairly burdened by the legal obligation" to represent nonmembers.
Such complaints are intended to pave the way for compelling financial support from so-called "free riders" for representation they do not want.
2. The firing of workers who refuse to pay union dues and/or fees is explicitly sanctioned by both the National Labor Relations Act and the National Railway Labor Act.
Section 7 of the NLRA, for example, stipulates that employees shall have "the right to refrain" from participating in union activities "except to the extent that such right may be affected by an agreement requiring membership in a labor organization as a condition of employment."
The problem of compulsory unionism was created by Congress. It will not be solved until Congress repeals the existing federal authorizations of compulsory unionism.
3. In 21 states, wage earners — except those covered by the National Railway Labor Act — are shielded from compulsory unionism by Right to Work laws.
The Florida guarantee is typical of these laws, saying, "The right of persons to work shall not be denied or abridged on account of membership or nonmembership in any labor union or labor organization."
The authority of states to adopt and enforce such laws is reaffirmed by Section 14(b) of the Taft-Hartley amendments to the National Labor Relations Act.
4. Extortion, as a technique, is extremely useful to union officials in obtaining such demands as compulsory union shops, "agency" shops, compulsory hiring halls and irrevocable dues check-off clauses.
While most criminal law is administered at the state and local level, some criminal activities (including extortion), which obstruct interstate commerce, have been deemed by Congress to be so important that they should be covered by federal statutes.
As the federal law currently stands, union officials have unique special immunities from prosecution for threatening to commit or committing felonies — such as murder, manslaughter, maiming, arson, aggravated property destruction, explosives or firearms offenses, etc. — to obtain collective bargaining demands.
5. For the past several years, Congress has been confronted by bills designed to authorize the forced unionization of public employees at various levels of government.
Several of these proposals are aimed at state, county and municipal employees and would nullify existing state laws which shield public employees from union coercion.
Other bills would strip postal workers and other federal employees of the freedom of choice guaranteed by the Postal
- Reorganization Act of 1970 and executive orders dating back to the administration of President John F. Kennedy.
6. Labor unions are the only private organization in the U.S. which can legally force individuals to pay dues into their treasuries.
The Federal Election Campaign Act (FECA) prohibits union officials from giving any of these dues dollars directly to a candidate for federal office.
At the same time, FECA permits union officials to use workers' compulsory dues dollars for "in-kind" political spending on goods and services to elect candidates for federal offices.
These "in-kind" expenditures are in addition to union PAC contributions; they are seldom — if ever — documented or reported to the Federal Election Commission.
No official statistics for total union "in-kind" expenditures are available. But Labor columnist Victor Riesel estimated that this so-called "soft money" amounted to 10 times more than what union PACs gave in cash contributions. Based on that yardstick, union "soft money" in 1990 exceeded \$350 million.
7. In recent years, legislation has been introduced in Congress to automatically impose union representation upon workers of nonunionized companies which have even the slightest economic links to unionized companies.
Even though the nonunionized and the unionized companies each perform separate and distinct work, the compulsory union contract would be automatically imposed upon the nonunion workers, without even the show of an election conducted by the National Labor Relations Board to determine worker support.
The "anti-double breasting" legislation would also encourage Common Situs picketing by permitting union organizers to use a dispute with a single subcontractor as an excuse to picket and shut down all the other subcontractors at a job site.
8. Legislation has been introduced repeatedly in Congress to loosen the 50-year-old Hatch Act's restrictions against partisan political activity by federal employees.
Federal union officials now wield monopoly bargaining power over federal employees, which makes union officials the sole conduit for civil servants in collective bargaining and grievance situations.
Current proposals to weaken the Hatch Act lack explicit prohibitions against the use of monopoly bargaining power to coerce civil servants into supporting federal union officials' political agenda.
9. Legislation has been introduced in Congress that would prevent employers from hiring permanent replacement workers during a strike.
The bill's provisions would also penalize workers who choose not to strike by giving preferential, post-strike hiring privileges to strikers.
Since an employer is unlikely to find employees who will work during a violent strike under these conditions, employers would be forced to cave in to every demand by union officials — including the demand that workers who refuse to pay union dues be fired.



National Right to Work Committee • 8001 Braddock Road • Springfield, Virginia 22160 • (800) 325-7892 • FAX (703) 321-7342

Telecopier Information Sheet

The following pages are for:

Individual: RICK SAUM

Firm: ENTERPRISE GRAPHICS

Telephone: _____ Telecopier: 301 577 0850

From: Tim WALKER

Total number of pages (including information sheet): 2

Date transmitted: 11/11/92

Comments: RICK, GEORGIA ROSTER IS
ON ITS WAY VIA COURIER.

This fax message is intended only for the personal and confidential use of the addressee(s) or the designated recipient(s) named above. It may contain information that is privileged, confidential or exempt from disclosure under applicable law. If you are not the intended recipient, you are hereby notified that you have received this document in error and that any use, review, dissemination, distribution, or copying of this message is strictly prohibited. If you have received this communication in error, please notify us immediately by telephone and return the original message to us by U.S. mail at the address above. Thank you.

Enterprise Graphics Inc.

5001-B Forbes Boulevard
Lanham, Maryland 20706



PROOF TICKET

JOB NO. 999 A

CUST. PO 22760

QUANTITY 120.000

YES ☐ NO ☐

INK COLORS 15

YES ☐ NO ☐

COLOR BREAK

YES ☐ NO ☐

FOLD HEAD OUT

YES ☐ NO ☐

50# Scott Yellow



OK TO PRINT



OK TO PRINT WITH CORRECTIONS. PLEASE INDICATE ALL CORRECTIONS ON BLUELINE PROOF AND ALSO ON THE BACK OF THIS FORM. THANK YOU.



REVISED PROOFS REQUESTED

MUST BE SIGNED TO PROCEED WITH JOB.

APPROVED BY Imathy E. Wall DATE 11/11/92

PLEASE CALL FOR PICK-UP WHEN READY. (301) 577-8650

PLEASE RETURN BY TO MEET THE DELIVERY SCHEDULE.

Enterprise Graphics Inc.

5001-B Forbes Boulevard
Lanham, Maryland 20706



PROOF TICKET

JOB NO. 999 B

CUST. PO 22761

QUANTITY 120.000

YES ☐ NO ☐

INK COLORS K 300

YES ☐ NO ☐

COLOR BREAK

YES ☐ NO ☐

FOLD HEAD OUT

YES ☐ NO ☐

50# offset w



OK TO PRINT



OK TO PRINT WITH CORRECTIONS. PLEASE INDICATE ALL CORRECTIONS ON BLUELINE PROOF AND ALSO ON THE BACK OF THIS FORM. THANK YOU.



REVISED PROOFS REQUESTED

MUST BE SIGNED TO PROCEED WITH JOB.

APPROVED BY Imathy E. Wall DATE 11/11/92

PLEASE CALL FOR PICK-UP WHEN READY. (301) 577-8650

PLEASE RETURN BY TO MEET THE DELIVERY SCHEDULE.

I don't know whether Wyche Fowler supports Organized Labor's plans to wipe out Georgia's and 20 other state Right to Work laws through repeal of Taft-Hartley Section 14(b). He won't say.

But since he voted to gut your Right to Work law when he backed Ted Kennedy's job-destroying Strike bill, I must fear the worst.

What's more, grateful union barons have delivered to Mr. Fowler over \$380,000 since 1986 from union-brass PACs.

And experts agree that Big Labor has dumped ten times that amount into Mr. Fowler's campaign in the form of illegal "soft" money for phone banks, get-out-the-vote drives and paid "volunteers."

All this paints the picture of a union boss puppet who shows no signs of cutting the strings that bind him to the union bosses.

And it makes clear that Mr. Fowler needs to hear from you.

Call (404) 331-0697, or pay a personal visit to Sen. Fowler.

Demand that he repudiate his past support for forced unionism.

Only a deafening roar of protest from Georgia's pro-Right to Work majority at this time when Sen. Fowler is listening so closely to his constituents, will cause him to see the light and mend his ways.

There is some good news. Mr. Fowler's opponent, Paul Coverdell, has leveled with you and vowed 100% commitment to protect your Right to Work.

But, Mr. Coverdell is under intense pressure from the union hierarchy to back down from his courageous stand. Please phone your thanks and encouragement to Mr. Coverdell at (404) 320-1992.

And I hope you will do one more thing.

I had to spend money I didn't have to send you this special alert. Even though the Committee already has overdue bills from this year's battle against forced unionism, I had no choice. I had to enlist you and all Georgia Right to Work members in this last, crucial fight to save Georgia's Right to Work.

So if you can, please return with the enclosed Right to Work Action Reply, a special contribution of \$200, \$100, \$50 or \$25 to help defray the costs of this effort.

But contact the candidates TODAY -- that's most important!

Sincerely,



Reed Larson

P.S. Now that Big Labor has elected Bill Clinton President, your Senator's vote counts more than ever.

If Georgia's citizens turn the heat up high enough even Wyche Fowler will see the light. Contact him today. Tell him to defend your Right to Work. Call (404) 331-0697. Also, please call Mr. Coverdell to thank him for supporting Right to Work. His number is (404) 320-1992.

00 04 397 3828

Enterprise Graphics Inc.
5001-B Forbes Boulevard
Lanham, Maryland 20706



PROOF TICKET

JOB NO. 1007 B
CUST. PO 22771
QUANTITY 39.000
INK COLORS Black
COLOR BREAK _____
FOLD _____

YES	NO
YES	NO
YES	NO
YES	NO

50 & 100 mg


OK TO PRINT _____
OK TO PRINT WITH CORRECTIONS. PLEASE INDICATE ALL CORRECTIONS
ON BLUELINE PROOF AND ALSO ON THE BACK OF THIS FORM. THANK YOU.
REVISED PROOFS REQUESTED _____

MUST BE SIGNED TO PROCEED WITH JOB.
APPROVED BY *Joe W. J.* DATE 12/12/93

PLEASE CALL FOR PICK-UP WHEN READY. (301) 577-8650
PLEASE RETURN BY _____ TO MEET THE DELIVERY SCHEDULE.

Enterprise Graphics Inc.
5001-B Forbes Boulevard
Lanham, Maryland 20706

PROOF TICKET



JOB NO. 1007 A

CUST. PO 22770

QUANTITY 39.000

INK COLORS Black

COLOR BREAK

FOLD 50 # 08832-20

YES NO

YES NO

YES NO

YES NO

☒ OK TO PRINT
☐ OK TO PRINT WITH CORRECTIONS. PLEASE INDICATE ALL CORRECTIONS
ON BLUELINE PROOF AND ALSO ON THE BACK OF THIS FORM. THANK YOU.
☐ REVISED PROOFS REQUESTED

MUST BE SIGNED TO PROCEED WITH JOB.


APPROVED BY Jim W. De DATE 11/12/92

PLEASE CALL FOR PICK-UP WHEN READY. (301) 577-8650

PLEASE RETURN BY TO MEET THE DELIVERY SCHEDULE.

0282 463 40 014

Enterprise
Graphics Inc.
314 Forbes Boulevard
Bethesda, Maryland 20706



JOB NO. 777 G

CUST. PO. 23761

QUANTITY 120.000

INK COLORS X 300

COLOR BREAK

FOLD HEADOUT

50# offset LJ

YES NO

YES NO

YES NO

YES NO

☒ OK TO PRINT
☐ OK TO PRINT WITH CORRECTIONS. PLEASE INDICATE ALL CORRECTIONS
ON BLUELINE PROOF AND ALSO ON THE BACK OF THIS FORM. THANK YOU.
☐ REVISED PROOFS REQUESTED

MUST BE SIGNED TO PROCEED WITH JOB.

APPROVED BY J. J. J. DATE 4/1/92

PLEASE CALL FOR PICK-UP WHEN READY. (301) 577-8650

PLEASE RETURN BY TO MEET THE DELIVERY SCHEDULE.



NATIONAL RIGHT TO WORK COMMITTEE

806 BRADDOCK ROAD
SPRINGFIELD, VIRGINIA 22160

P.O. No. C 22746

THIS ORDER NO. MUST
APPEAR ON ALL INVOICES

PURCHASE ORDER

☐ STATE ACTIVITIES ☐ PUBLIC RELATIONS ☐ MEMBERSHIP ☐ GENERAL PROGRAMS ☐ SPECIAL PROJECTS

TO

SISK MAILING SERVICE

SHIP TO

DROP 11/16/92

ATTN: CLYDE SISK

Dept. 17

DATE 11/14/92	DATE REQUIRED 11/16/92	RE GEORGIA RESULTS SURVEY MAILING
------------------	---------------------------	--------------------------------------

QUANTITY	DESCRIPTION									
	<p>Clyde, please process the following:</p> <p>Total mailing of approx 37,640 all to be mailed 3rd Class. Sisk to receive labels for 3/5 digit presort and residual. Stamp BRE's with mail key on back. GA to appear in upper right of letter. Over insert 25 samples and send to NRTW attn: Tim Walker.</p> <p>Insert in this order face back:</p> <p>BRE - 6 3/4 White, (AT SISK) . Affix label to show thru window.</p> <p>REPLY - 8 1/2 x 11 2UP on Green, Slit to 8 1/2 x 5 1/2 and fold in thirds headout for insertion.</p> <p>ROSTER - 8 1/2 x 11 on Ivory, folded headout.</p> <p>LETTER - 8 1/2 x 14 WHITE, folded headout.</p> <p>CARRIER - Use #10 SURVEY '92 w/teaser window (#NRWC01) until exhausted, then use #10 SURVEY '92 w/o Teaser (#NRWC22) until exhausted, then use #10 SURVEY '92 BAR (#NRWCBAR) to complete this mailing.</p> <p>Cost: Processing Postage</p> <table><tr><td>Q20918 =</td><td>2,714</td></tr><tr><td>K20848 =</td><td>8,053</td></tr><tr><td>U20548 =</td><td>16,780</td></tr><tr><td>V20338 =</td><td>10,093</td></tr></table> <p>Total = 37,640</p> <p>Please call with any questions or problems. Thank you.</p>	Q20918 =	2,714	K20848 =	8,053	U20548 =	16,780	V20338 =	10,093	\$3,707.98
Q20918 =	2,714									
K20848 =	8,053									
U20548 =	16,780									
V20338 =	10,093									

PLEASE INVOICE IN DUPLICATE

PRINTERS: PLEASE SEND ONE SAMPLE
WITH EACH INVOICE.

Amos R. Lock
AUTHORIZED SIGNATURE

DESK

SISK

MAILING SERVICE, INC.

7 CHESAPEAKE BAY BUSINESS PARK
SPRINGFIELD, MARYLAND 21166-0101

DC 459-SISK
MD (301) 643-SISK
FAX DC-459-0973

PAGE - 1

TERMS: ALL CHARGES ARE PAYABLE 10 DAYS NET. A SERVICE CHARGE OF 1.5% PER MONTH (ANNUAL PERCENTAGE RATE 18%) WILL BE ADDED TO PAST DUE ITEMS.

----- SPECIAL INSTRUCTIONS -----

SOLD TO:

Ms Denise Koff
National Right To Work
Committee
8001 Braddock Road
Springfield, VA 22151-2110

IN ORDER TO GIVE PROPER CREDIT TO YOUR ACCOUNT, PLEASE ENCLOSE A COPY OF THE INVOICE WITH YOUR PAYMENT

TERMS	JOB NUMBER	CUSTOMER NUMBER	CUSTOMER P.O. NUMBER AND JOB TITLE	DATE OF INVOICE	INVOICE NUMBER
10 DAYS			22777		
12-04-92	3767-00	4510497	GEORGIA RESULTS	11-24-92	S 376700
QUANTITY/HOURS	DESCRIPTION	PRICE PER UNIT	CODE	TOTAL PRICE	
36.164	AFFIX LABELS, FOLD/CUT REPLY, INSERT 4, SEAL, TIE, BAG & MAIL- 3 CLASS	35.00	@ 1000	1,265.74	
SERVICE				PLEASE PAY THIS AMOUNT → \$1,265.74	

642
C ~~17~~ F 1
ROUTE TO: ARL
APPROVED: ART
DEPT: 17 ACCT# 737

Cost: Processing
Postage

Q20918 = 2,714
K20848 = 8,053
U20549 = 16,790
V20338 = 10,093

\$3,707.98

Total = 31,647

Please call with any questions or problems.
Thank you.

PLEASE INVOICE IN DUPLICATE

PRINTERS: PLEASE SEND ONE SAMPLE
WITH EACH INVOICE.

Chen L. Lee
AUTHORIZED SIGNATURE

BOOKKEEPING



NATIONAL REPUBLICAN WORK COMMITTEE

8001 BRADDOCK ROAD
SPRINGFIELD, VIRGINIA 22160

P.O. No. C 12228

THIS ORDER NO. MUST
APPEAR ON ALL INVOICES

PURCHASE ORDER

ARL

☐ STATE ACTIVITIES ☐ PUBLIC RELATIONS ☐ MEMBERSHIP ☐ GENERAL PROGRAMS ☒ SPECIAL PROJECTS

TO Neodata
Attn: May Haddad

SHIP TO
Sisk Mailing

Q20918
K20848
U20548
V20338

DATE	DATE REQUIRED	RE:
11/10/92	11/13/92	GA Fed. Surv. Run off

QUANTITY	DESCRIPTION	PER/M	UNIT
	May, using the GA Active, Inactive, Supporter and Poli-donor files that you have previously pulled off, please provide 4-up cheshire labels. Do a 3/5 digit 3rd class presort. Include name and address, mail key, keyline. Sort all four files together.		
	<u>Mail Key</u> <u>Select</u>		
	Q20918 GA Active D/A. Omit flags A,M,N,O,J,2.		
	K20848 GA Inactive D/A. Omit flags A,M,N,O,J,2.		
	U20548 GA Supporters.		
	V20338 GA Poli-donors. Omit E & P flags & Inactive & Supporter matches.		

PLEASE INVOICE IN DUPLICATE

PRINTERS: PLEASE SEND ONE SAMPLE
WITH EACH INVOICE.


AUTHORIZED SIGNATURE

VENDOR

NEODATA

Database Marketing Services

1101 International Parkway
Fredericksburg, VA 22406-1144
Phone: (703) 752-2541
FAX: (703) 752-7715

Fax Transmittal

TO:

Sue Schalestock

FROM:

May Haddad

of Pages:

2

(Including This Cover Page)

COMMENTS:

Active GA - 2714 - Q 20918
Inact GA - 8053 - K 20848
Supp GA - 16780 - U 20548
Poli GA - 10,093 - V 20338
attached is present counts

If there are any problems with transmission of this telecopy, please call (703) 752-2541 and speak with Judy. Thank you.

20.04.397.2835



1100 INTERNATIONAL PARKWAY
FREDERICKSBURG, VIRGINIA 22403-5445
(703) 752-2541

ROUTING CHECKS TO:
NEODATA DATABASE MARKETING SERVICES, INC.
P.O. BOX 25504
RICHMOND, VIRGINIA 23260-5504

FEIN: 54-0888925

INVOICE NO.

112045

WORK ORDER NUMBER

ACCOUNT NO.

CUSTOMER P.O.

DATE

74781A

00101

12228

NOVEMBER 30, 199

SOLD TO:

SHIPPED TO:

NRTHC
8001 BRADDOCK ROAD
SPRINGFIELD VA 22160
ATTN: GINA GUNN

INVOICE

FUNCTION PERFORMED - DESCRIPTION	QUANTITY	UNIT PRICE	EXTENSION	ACCT CODE
GA FEDERAL SURVEY RUN OFF				
APPLY MAILKEY TO THE FOLLOWING				
GA ACTIVES				
PROCESS INPUT RECORDS	2,714	MIN CHG	21.50	
PROCESS OUTPUT RECORDS	2,714	MIN CHG	21.50	
GA INACTIVES				
PROCESS INPUT RECORDS	8,053	MIN CHG	21.50	
PROCESS OUTPUT RECORDS	8,053	MIN CHG	21.50	
GA POLI				
PROCESS INPUT RECORDS	10,093	MIN CHG	21.50	
PROCESS OUTPUT RECORDS	10,093	MIN CHG	21.50	
GA SUPPORTERS				
PROCESS INPUT RECORDS	16,780	MIN CHG	21.50	
PROCESS OUTPUT RECORDS	16,780	MIN CHG	21.50	
3/5 DIGIT 3RD CLASS PRESORT	36,164	MIN CHG	250.00	
PRINT 4-UP CHESHIRE LABELS				
FIVE-DIGIT QUALIFIED	36,159	1.50/M	54.24	
UNQUALIFIED	5	MIN CHG	21.50	
734 - \$ 75.74			49.45	
736 - \$ 422.00				

FED. Exp. 2281986917 to sub

C 687 F 1
ROUTE TO: SMS
APPROVED: SMS
DEPT# 17 ACCT#



NATIONAL RIGHT TO WORK COMMITTEE

8001 BRADDOCK ROAD
SPRINGFIELD, VIRGINIA 22160

P.O. No. C 22770

THIS ORDER NO. MUST
APPEAR ON ALL INVOICES

PURCHASE ORDER

☐ STATE ACTIVITIES ☐ PUBLIC RELATIONS ☐ MEMBERSHIP ☐ GENERAL PROGRAMS ☐ SPECIAL PROJECTS

TO

ENTERPRISE GRAPHICS

ATTN: JOHN SULLIVAN

SHIP TO

SISK MAILING SERVICE
7 CHESAPEAKE BAY BUSINESS PARK
STEVENSVILLE, MD. 21666
ATTN: CLYDE SISK

Dept. 17

DATE	DATE REQUIRED NO LATER	RE:
11/11/92	11/13/92	GEORGIA RESULTS LETTER

QUANTITY	DESCRIPTION	PRICE
39M	<p>John, please print the following:</p> <p>Letter - 8 1/2 x 14 on 50# WHITE offset. Prints. 1/1 Black over Black. Fold head out to #10. No screens. No bleeds. Must see a blueline.</p> <p>Please return artwork with blueline and on day of delivery, along with 5 samples.</p> <p>Cost: Printing and Shipping</p> <p>Please call with any questions or problems.</p> <p>Thank you.</p>	<p>\$24.35/M</p> <p>\$949.65</p>

PLEASE INVOICE IN DUPLICATE

-PRINTERS: PLEASE SEND ONE SAMPLE
WITH EACH INVOICE.

Amos B. Love
AUTHORIZED SIGNATURE

DESK



NATIONAL RIGHT TO WORK COMMITTEE

8001 BRADDOCK ROAD
SPRINGFIELD, VIRGINIA 22160

P.O. No. C 22771

THIS ORDER NO. MUST
APPEAR ON ALL INVOICES

PURCHASE ORDER

☐ STATE ACTIVITIES ☐ PUBLIC RELATIONS ☐ MEMBERSHIP ☐ GENERAL PROGRAMS ☐ SPECIAL PROJECTS

TO

ENTERPRISE GRAPHICS

ATTN: JOHN SULLIVAN

SHIP TO

SISK MAILING SERVICE
7 CHESAPEAKE BAY BUSINESS PARK
STEVENSVILLE, MD. 21666
ATTN: CLYDE SISK

Dept. 17

DATE	DATE REQUIRED	RE
11/11/92	NO LATER 11/13/92	GEORGIA RESULTS ROSTER

QUANTITY	DESCRIPTION	UNIT PRICE
39M	<p>John, please print the following:</p> <p>Roster - 8 1/2 x 11 on 50# Ivory offset. Prints. 1/1 Black over Black. Fold head out to #10. No screens. No bleeds. Must see a blueline.</p> <p>Please return artwork with blueline and on day of delivery, along with 5 samples.</p> <p>Cost: Printing and Shipping</p> <p>Please call with any questions or problems.</p> <p>Thank you.</p>	<p>\$21.80/M</p> <p>#850.20</p>


PLEASE INVOICE IN DUPLICATE

PRINTERS: PLEASE SEND ONE SAMPLE
WITH EACH INVOICE.

Amos R. Lock
AUTHORIZED SIGNATURE

DESK

INVOICE



**Enterprise
Graphics**

5001-B Forbes Boulevard
Lanham, Maryland 20706
(301) 577-8650
Fax (301) 577-0850

NATIONAL RIGHT TO WORK COMMITTEE
8001 Braddock Road
Springfield, VA 22160
Attn: Gena R. Gunn

DATE Nov. 17, 1992

INVOICE NO. 1050

JOB NO. 1007

PURCHASE ORDER 22770?22771 ✓

SALES REPRESENTATIVE 02

PLEASE NOTE OUR PAYMENT TERMS:

*Net due and payable within 30 days of invoice date.
A Service Charge of 1.5% per month (18% per annum) will be
assessed on each invoice not paid within 30 days of invoice date.*

QUANTITY	DESCRIPTION	PRICE
40,500	Georgia Results Letter @ \$24.35/M	\$986.18
40,500	Georgia Results Roster @ \$21.80/M	882.90
		\$1,869.08
	2% to be distributed in MD (\$37.38) MD Sales tax 5%	1.87
	98% to be distributed out of State (\$1831.70) Non-taxable	
	Total	\$1,870.95


C 612 F 1
ROUTE TO: ARL
APPROVED: GRY
DEPT# 17 ACCT# 720

We appreciate your business!

PLEASE INVOICE IN DUPLICATE

PRINTERS: PLEASE SEND ONE SAMPLE
WITH EACH INVOICE.

Amos R. L...
AUTHORIZED SIGNATURE



National Right to Work Committee • 8001 Braddock Road • Springfield, Virginia 22160 • (800) 325-7892 • FAX (703) 321-7342

Telecopier Information Sheet

The following pages are for:

Individual: CLYDE SISK

Firm: SISK MAILING

Telephone: _____ Telecopier: B 410 643 7983

From: TIM WALKER

Total number of pages (including information sheet): 2

Date transmitted: 11/15/92

Comments:

This fax message is intended only for the personal and confidential use of the addressee(s) or the designated recipient(s) named above. It may contain information that is privileged, confidential or exempt from disclosure under applicable law. If you are not the intended recipient, you are hereby notified that you have received this document in error and that any use, review, dissemination, distribution, or copying of this message is strictly prohibited. If you have received this communication in error, please notify us immediately by telephone and return the original message to us by U.S. mail at the address above. Thank you.



NATIONAL RIGHT TO WORK COMMITTEE

8001 BRADDOCK ROAD
SPRINGFIELD, VIRGINIA 22160

P.O. No. C 22777

THIS ORDER NO. MUST
APPEAR ON ALL INVOICES

PURCHASE ORDER

☐ STATE ACTIVITIES ☐ PUBLIC RELATIONS ☐ MEMBERSHIP ☐ GENERAL PROGRAMS ☐ SPECIAL PROJECTS

TO

SHIP TO

SISK MAILING SERVICE

DROP 11/16/92

ATTN: CLYDE SISK

DATE	DATE REQUIRED	RE:
11/14/92	11/16/92	GEORGIA RESULTS SURVEY MAILSHOP

QUANTITY	DESCRIPTION	PER M	PRICE								
	<p>Clyde, please process the following:</p> <p>Total mailing of approx 37,640 all to be mailed 3rd Class. Sisk to receive labels for 3/5 digit presort and residual. Stamp BRE's with mail key on back. GA to appear in upper right of letter. Over insert 25 samples and send to NRTW attn: Tim Walker.</p> <p>Insert in this order face back:</p> <p>BRE - 6 3/4 White, (AT SISK) . Affix label to show thru window.</p> <p>REPLY - 8 1/2 x 11 2UP on Green, Slit to 8 1/2 x 5 1/2 and fold in thirds headout for insertion.</p> <p>ROSTER - 8 1/2 x 11 on Ivory, folded headout.</p> <p>LETTER - 8 1/2 x 14 WHITE, folded headout.</p> <p>CARRIER - Use #10 SURVEY '92 w/teaser window (#NRWC01) until exhausted, then use #10 SURVEY '92 w/o Teaser (#NRWC22) until exhausted, then use #10 SURVEY '92 BAR (#NRWCBAR) to complete this mailing.</p> <p>Cost: Processing Postage</p> <table><tr><td>Q20918 =</td><td>2,714</td></tr><tr><td>K20848 =</td><td>8,053</td></tr><tr><td>U20548 =</td><td>16,780</td></tr><tr><td>V20338 =</td><td>10,093</td></tr></table> <p>Total = 37,640</p> <p>Please call with any questions or problems. Thank you.</p>	Q20918 =	2,714	K20848 =	8,053	U20548 =	16,780	V20338 =	10,093		\$3,707.98
Q20918 =	2,714										
K20848 =	8,053										
U20548 =	16,780										
V20338 =	10,093										

PLEASE INVOICE IN DUPLICATE

PRINTERS: PLEASE SEND ONE SAMPLE
WITH EACH INVOICE.

AUTHORIZED SIGNATURE

VENDOR

OPEN IMMEDIATELY: 1992 CANDIDATE SURVEY RESULTS ENCLOSED

SURVEY
92
8001 BRAUDOCK ROAD
SUITE 500 • SPRINGFIELD, VIRGINIA 22160

To:

Tim - Note Teaser on Flap.
WALKER
Casper

NRWCO1

22,300

First

2 pages

Survey '92

8001 Braddock Road • Springfield, VA 22160

To Line Walker

NRWC BAR 38,500

THIRD



8001 BRADDOCK ROAD

SUITE 500 • SPRINGFIELD, VIRGINIA 22160

SECOND

NRWC 22

5,920

COST ANALYSIS SHEET

MAILING Georgia 1st Results Survey Special - General Election -

CODES/COUNTS (120918=2,714/K20848=8,053/U20548=16,780/V20338=10,093

DROP DATE 11/16

CLASS 3rd

TOTAL DROPPED 37,640

PACKAGE:

	Dept. Average	Cost Per M	Cost
Printing Letter	#17	24.85	935.48
Roster	#17	24.85	935.48
Reply	#17	20.00	752.80
Envelopes Carrier - #10 Survey '92	#17	16.12	606.76
BRE- 6 3/4 RL	#27	6.95	261.60
Mailing SISK	#17	33.63	1,265.70
Postage	#17	111.00	4,178.00
Wiland	#17	14.54	547.19
List Company			
Typesetting			
Misc.			

Total For #17 = 9,221.49

Total For #27 = 261.60

Total = \$9,483.09

SUB TOTAL

COST PER PKG.

PLUS OVERAGE

TOTAL COST

STG MCF

PACKAGE REPORT Route to: SG DAK From: KAR
(Copies to SMS, originator)

Date routed: 11/10

Project Georgia Special Senate Letter TARGET DROP DATE 11/16

Postage: 1st 3rd Live Meter Indicia

Letter: 11" 14" 2 pages Backed up? YES NO

Personalization? YES NO If yes, describe type, page #, matches

Data Output: Tape or Labels: Cheshire Gum Special

Stationery: AG 1/1 Black Canary

Describe Inserts: 1 8 1/2 x 11" white sheet w/ survey
questions & answers

Describe Reply: 5 1/2 x 8 1/2 on Colored ^{blue} Stock - same as all other

Reply Envelope: 6 3/4 BRE Carrier: AG Winston Canary

Special Instructions: vs. 1/2 Survey

Select Criteria (Completed by DAK):

Act, Reg, Socr, Poli in GA

Eliminate Flags: Billing Code: 17

Department Codes:

- | | |
|----------------------------|--------------------------|
| 2 -- Public Relations | 14 -- State Leg Activity |
| 4 -- Renewals | 17 -- Federal Survey |
| 6 -- Prospect | 18 -- State Survey |
| 7 -- CEAUFU | 19 -- SERCC |
| 9 -- Fundraising Add'l | 20 -- Telecommunications |
| 11 -- Federal lobbying | 21 -- Newsletter |
| 13 -- Federal Leg Advocacy | |

O : 074781
 COT : 00101
 CLIENT NAME : NRTM
 JOB NAME : GA-FEDERAL SURVEY

WILAND SERVICES
 POSTAGE SUMMARY REPORT
 3RD CLASS NON PROFIT LETTERS
 LETTER-SIZED 1.9 OUNCE PIECES

PROGRAM A3B9ILS3	QUANTITY	% ZIP+4	RATE/PIECE	POSTAGE	85% ZIP+4 QUALIFIED?
RESORT (3/5-DIGIT) QUALIFIED					
--3/5-DIGIT	36,159	83.04%	\$ 0.0980	\$ 3,543.58	NO
*PRESORT SUBTOTAL	36,159			\$ 3,543.58	
RESIDUAL (UNQUALIFIED)					
---RESIDUAL	5	60.00%	\$ 0.1110	\$ 0.56	NO
RESIDUAL SUBTOTAL	5			\$ 0.56	
*TOTAL	36,164			\$ 3,544.14	

Handwritten signature/initials

51322 463 340 172

BEFORE THE FEDERAL ELECTION COMMISSION

In the Matter of)
Witness Subpoena to)
The National Right to
Work Committee)

MUR 3774

DOCUMENTS FROM NRTWC

Supplemental Submission in Response to
Letter from FEC dated 9/4/97

Item 1.e.



NATIONAL RIGHT TO WORK COMMITTEE

8001 BRADDOCK ROAD
SPRINGFIELD, VIRGINIA 22160

P.O. No. C. 22784

THIS ORDER NO. MUST
APPEAR ON ALL INVOICES

PURCHASE ORDER

☐ STATE ACTIVITIES ☐ PUBLIC RELATIONS ☐ MEMBERSHIP ☐ GENERAL PROGRAMS ☐ SPECIAL PROJECTS

TO

SISK MAILING SERVICE

SHIP TO

DROP 11/18/92

ATTN: CLYDE SISK

DATE
11/17/92

DATE REQUIRED
11/18/92

RE:

GEORGIA RESULTS SPECIAL SURVEY MAILSHOP

QUANTITY PRICE

Clyde, please process the following:

Total mailing of approx 27M all to be
mailed 1st Class Live Stamp. GA to appear in
upper right of letter. Over insert 25 samples
and send to NRTW attn: Tim Walker.

Insert in this order face back:

BRE - #9 White, (AT SISK) . Affix label to show
thru window.

REPLY - 8 1/2 x 11 2UP on Ivory, To come folded *slit (E 1/2 x 8 1/2)*
in thirds headout for insertion.

ROSTER - 8 1/2 x 11 on Blue, folded headout.

LETTER - 8 1/2 x 11 WHITE, folded headout.

CARRIER - #10 SURVEY '92 BAR (#NRWCHAR).

Cost: Processing
Postage

EST

1,377.35

Please call with any questions or problems.
Thank you.

Exact amt should be 27,547.

*If you run out of carriers use Ato. do it
XTh. carrier ad. add.*

PLEASE INVOICE IN DUPLICATE

PRINTERS: PLEASE SEND ONE SAMPLE
WITH EACH INVOICE.

AUTHORIZED SIGNATURE

DESK

SISK MAILING SERVICE, INC.

7 SAPEAKE BAY BUSINESS PARK
STEVENSVILLE, MARYLAND 21666-078

DC 459-SISK
MD (301) 643-SISK
FAX DC-459-0973

PAGE - 1

TERMS: ALL CHARGES ARE PAYABLE 10 DAYS NET. A SERVICE CHARGE OF 1.5% PER MONTH (ANNUAL PERCENTAGE RATE 18%) WILL BE ADDED TO PAST DUE ITEMS.

----- SPECIAL INSTRUCTIONS -----

SOLD TO:

Ms Denise Koff
National Right To Work
Committee
9001 Braddock Road
Springfield, VA 22151-2110

IN ORDER TO GIVE PROPER CREDIT TO YOUR ACCOUNT, PLEASE ENCLOSE A COPY OF THE INVOICE WITH YOUR PAYMENT

TERMS	JOB NUMBER	CUSTOMER NUMBER	CUSTOMER P.O. NUMBER AND JOB TITLE		DATE OF INVOICE	INVOICE NUMBER
10 DAYS 12-04-92	3774-00	4510497	GA SPEC 22784		11-24-92	S 377400
QUANTITY/HOURS	DESCRIPTION		PRICE PER UNIT	CODE	TOTAL PRICE	
27,547	STAMP, AFFIX LABEL-3 LIST INSERT 4. SEAL, TRAY & MAIL- 1 CLASS		35.00	@ 1000	964.14	
			<div>640 C 624 F <u>1</u> ROUTE TO: <u>ARC</u> APPROVED: <u>ABZ</u> DEPT# <u>17</u> ACCT# <u>737</u></div>			
SERVICE					PLEASE PAY THIS AMOUNT →	
					\$964.14	

PLEASE INVOICE IN DUPLICATE

PRINTERS: PLEASE SEND ONE SAMPLE WITH EACH INVOICE.

AUTHORIZED SIGNATURE

BOOKKEEPING



NATIONAL RIGHT TO WORK COMMITTEE

8001 BRADDOCK ROAD
SPRINGFIELD, VIRGINIA 22160

P.O. No. C 12229

THIS ORDER NO. MUST
APPEAR ON ALL INVOICES

PURCHASE ORDER

☐ STATE ACTIVITIES ☐ PUBLIC RELATIONS ☐ MEMBERSHIP ☐ GENERAL PROGRAMS ☒ SPECIAL PROJECTS

TO Neodata
Attn: May Haddad

SHIP TO

~~Stewart Mailing~~

May, this is going to SISK now.
I will need to have a courier at
Neodata at 8AM tomorrow to pick
them up.

Q20928

K20858

U20558

DATE	DATE REQUIRED	RE:	K20858 U20558
11/17/92	11/18/92	GA 2nd Run off Fed. Surv. Special	
QUANTITY	DESCRIPTION	PER M	PRICE
	May, per our phone conversation, please provide a set of 4-up cheshire labels for each mail key below. Use the same GA file we just used on p.o. 12228. Include name and address, mail key and keyline.		
	<u>Mail Key</u> <u>Select</u>		
	Q20928 GA Active D/A. Omit flags A,M,N,O,J,2.		
	K20858 GA Inactive D/A. Omit flags A,M,N,O,J,2.		
	U20558 GA Supporters.		

PLEASE INVOICE IN DUPLICATE

PRINTERS: PLEASE SEND ONE SAMPLE
WITH EACH INVOICE.

Person Schuler
AUTHORIZED SIGNATURE

NEO DATA™

Database Marketing Services

1101 International Parkway
Fredericksburg, VA 22404-1144
Phone: (703) 752-2541
FAX: (703) 752-7715

Fax Transmittal

TO:

Sue Schalestock

FROM:

May Haddad

of Pages: 1

(Including This Cover Page)

COMMENTS:

ND counts

Active = 1,126 - Q20938

GA Active = 2714 Q20928

GA Inactive = 8053 K20858

GA Supporters 216,780 U20558

If there are any problems with transmission of this telecopy, please call (703) 752-2541 and speak with Judy. Thank you.

2004-04-30 15:00:00



101 INTERNATIONAL PARKWAY
FREDERICKSBURG, VIRGINIA 22403-5445
(703) 752-2541

EMIT CHECKS TO:
NEODATA DATABASE MARKETING SERVICES, INC.
P.O. BOX 25504
RICHMOND, VIRGINIA 23260-5504

FEIN: 54-0888925

INVOICE NO.

112046

WORK ORDER NUMBER

747818

ACCOUNT NO.

00101

CUSTOMER P.O.

12229

DATE

NOVEMBER 30, 199

SOLD TO:

NRTWC
8001 BRADDOCK ROAD
SPRINGFIELD VA 22160
ATTN: GINA GUNN

SHIPPED TO:

INVOICE

FUNCTION PERFORMED - DESCRIPTION

QUANTITY

UNIT PRICE

EXTENSION

ACCT CODE

GA 2ND RUN OFF FEDERAL SURVEY
SPECIAL

PRINT 4-UP CHESHIRE LABELS

GA ACTIVES

GA INACTIVES YOU HAD 16780

GA SUPPORTERS

734 { 2,714 MIN CHG 21.50
8,053 MIN CHG 21.50
16,780 1.50/M 25.17

FE SHIPPING & HANDLING CHARGES
TO SISK MAILINGS

2281988782 11-19

745 [19.55

C 686 F 1
ROUTE TO: Sms
APPROVED: Sms
DEPT# 17 ACCT#

734 - \$ 68.17
745 - \$ 19.55

868.17
Change
12/15 per
May Hinson



NATIONAL RIGHT TO WORK COMMITTEE

800 BRADDOCK ROAD
SPRINGFIELD, VIRGINIA 22160

P.O. No. C 22779

THIS ORDER NO. MUST
APPEAR ON ALL INVOICES

PURCHASE ORDER

☐ STATE ACTIVITIES ☐ PUBLIC RELATIONS ☐ MEMBERSHIP ☐ GENERAL PROGRAMS ☐ SPECIAL PROJECTS

TO

SHIP TO

ENTERPRISE GRAPHICS

SISK MAILING SERVICE
7 CHESAPEAKE BAY BUSINESS PARK
STEVENSVILLE, MD. 21666
ATTN: CLYDE SISK

ATTN: JOHN SULLIVAN

DATE	DATE REQUIRED	RE:	
11/17/92	NO LATER 11/18/92	GEORGIA RESULTS LETTER	
QUANTITY	DESCRIPTION	PER UNIT	PRICE
28M	<p>John, please print the following:</p> <p>Letter - 8 1/2 x 11 on 50# WHITE offset. Prints. 1/1 Black over Black. Fold head out to #10. No screens. No bleeds. Blueline is waved, Enterprise Graphics to ensure superior print quality on this job.</p> <p>Please return artwork with blueline and on day of delivery, along with 5 samples.</p> <p>Cost: Printing and Shipping</p> <p>Please call with any questions or problems.</p> <p>Thank you.</p> <p><u>THIS JOB MUST BE DELIVERED TO SISK NO LATER THAN 9:30AM ON 11/18/92.</u></p>	\$28.33/M	\$ 793.24

PLEASE INVOICE IN DUPLICATE

PRINTERS: PLEASE SEND ONE SAMPLE
WITH EACH INVOICE.

AUTHORIZED SIGNATURE

DESK



NATIONAL RIGHT TO WORK COMMITTEE

8001 BRADDOCK ROAD
SPRINGFIELD, VIRGINIA 22160

P.O. No. C 22782

THIS ORDER NO. MUST
APPEAR ON ALL INVOICES

PURCHASE ORDER

☐ STATE ACTIVITIES ☐ PUBLIC RELATIONS ☐ MEMBERSHIP ☐ GENERAL PROGRAMS ☐ SPECIAL PROJECTS

TO ENTERPRISE GRAPHICS

ATTN: JOHN SULLIVAN

SHIP TO
SISK MAILING SERVICE
7 CHESAPEAKE BAY BUSINESS PARK
STEVENSVILLE, MD. 21666
ATTN: CLYDE SISK

DATE	NO LATER	RE
11/17/92	11/18/92	GEORGIA RESULTS ROSTER

QUANTITY	DESCRIPTION	PER M	PRICE
28M	<p>John, please print the following:</p> <p>Roster - 8 1/2 x 11 on 50# Blue offset. Prints. 1/1 Black over Black. Fold head out to #10. No screens. No bleeds. Blueline is waved. Enterprise Graphics to ensure superior print quality on this job.</p> <p>Please return artwork with blueline and on day of delivery, along with 5 samples.</p> <p>Cost: Printing and Shipping</p> <p>Please call with any questions or problems.</p> <p>Thank you.</p> <p>This is a reprint of our purchase order #22771 with a quantity change and a paper stock change.</p> <p><u>THIS JOB MUST BE DELIVERED TO SISK NO LATER THAN 9:30AM ON 11/18/92.</u></p>		\$29.33/M #821.24

PLEASE INVOICE IN DUPLICATE

PRINTERS: PLEASE SEND ONE SAMPLE
WITH EACH INVOICE.

Devin Koff
AUTHORIZED SIGNATURE

DESK

INVOICE



**Enterprise
Graphics**

5001-B Forbes Boulevard
Lanham, Maryland 20706
(301) 577-8650
Fax (301) 577-0850

NATIONAL RIGHT TO WORK COMMITTEE
8001 Braddock Road
Springfield, VA 22160
Attn: Gena R. Gunn

DATE Nov. 23, 1992
INVOICE NO. 1078
JOB NO. 1027
PURCHASE ORDER 22779-22782
SALES REPRESENTATIVE 02

PLEASE NOTE OUR PAYMENT TERMS:

*Net due and payable within 30 days of invoice date.
A Service Charge of 1.5% per month (18% per annum) will be
assessed on each invoice not paid within 30 days of invoice date.*

QUANTITY	DESCRIPTION	PRICE
29,120	Georgia Results Letter @ \$28.33/M	\$824.97
29,120	Georgia Results Roster @\$29.33/M	854.09
		\$1,679.06
	2% to be distributed to Md (\$33.58) MD Sales Tax 5%	1.68
	Bal. to be distributed out of state (\$1645.48) Non-taxable	
	Ttoal	\$1,680.74

C 610 F I
ROUTE TO: ARL
APPROVED: ART
DEPT# 17 ACCT# 720

We appreciate your business!

PLEASE INVOICE IN DUPLICATE

PRINTERS: PLEASE SEND ONE SAMPLE
WITH EACH INVOICE.

AUTHORIZED SIGNATURE

BOOKKEEPING



NATIONAL RIGHT TO WORK COMMITTEE

8007 BRADDOCK ROAD
SPRINGFIELD, VIRGINIA 22160

P.O. No. C 22783

THIS ORDER NO. MUST
APPEAR ON ALL INVOICES

PURCHASE ORDER

☐ STATE ACTIVITIES ☐ PUBLIC RELATIONS ☐ MEMBERSHIP ☐ GENERAL PROGRAMS ☐ SPECIAL PROJECTS

TO

• LETTERCOM PRINTING
•
• ATTN: MIKE NOCERA
•
•

SHIP TO

SISK MAILING SERVICE
7 CHESAPEAKE BAY BUSINESS
STEVENSVILLE, MD 21666
ATTN: CLYDE SISK

DATE	DATE REQUIRED	RE:
11/17/92	11/18/92	GEORGIA RESULTS SPECIAL FEDERAL SURVEY REPLY


QUANTITY	DESCRIPTION	PER M	PRICE
15,000	<p>Mike, please print the following:</p> <p>Reply - 8 1/2 x 11 (2up) on 50# Ivory Offset. Prints 1/1 Black over Black. Slit to 8 1/2 x 5 1/2, to yield 30M replies, then fold headout to a #10. <i>(Tri-fold)</i></p> <p>Blue line is waved. Lettercom to ensure superior print quality on this job.</p> <p>Please return artwork and 5 samples to NRTW attn: Tim Walker on day of delivery.</p> <p>Cost: Printing and Shipping</p> <p>Please call with any questions or problem. Thank you.</p> <p><u>MUST BE DELIVERED TO ^{SISK} SISK MAILING NO LATER THAN 9:30AM 11/18/92.</u></p>		<p>EST # 480.00</p>

PLEASE INVOICE IN DUPLICATE

PRINTERS: PLEASE SEND ONE SAMPLE
WITH EACH INVOICE.


AUTHORIZED SIGNATURE

DESK



310 Swann Avenue • Alexandria, VA 22301 (703) 683-3105

National Right to Work
8001 Braddock Road
Springfield, VA 22160

INVOICE

SOLD TO:

INVOICE DATE
11/18/92

INVOICE NO.
035713

PO NUMBER: C 22783

JOB #009185

TERMS NET 30 DAYS

DESCRIPTION	AMOUNT
GA RESULTS FEDERAN SURVEY REPLY	
30,000 Copies, print 2 pages on Ivory paper, fold cut and deliver	910.00
Deliver to Sisk	113.50

C671 F
ROUTE TO: ARC
APPROVED: ARZ
DEPT# 17 ACCT# 72

THANK YOU

for your order. We have given it our very careful attention. We appreciate your confidence in us, and look forward to serving you again soon.

SUBTOTAL	1023.50
TAX	
TOTAL	1023.50

Survey '92

A Project of the National Right to Work Committee

November 18, 1992

Dear Georgia Member:

I'm writing you a personal letter today because I'm very concerned about how Senator Wyche Fowler will vote on compulsory-unionism legislation if he is sent to Washington as your U.S. Senator to join Big Labor President Bill Clinton.

If, as a U.S. Senator, Wyche Fowler continues to vote to hand compulsory-unionism powers to Big Labor, Georgia will suffer. And so will the entire nation.

Until now, Senator Fowler has voted with the union hierarchy and against individual freedom for workers and small businesses almost every time.

As one of Big Labor's most reliable water carriers in the U.S. Senate, Senator Fowler voted to pass Ted Kennedy's Pushbutton Strike bill (H.R. 5/S. 55). The Strike bill failed with only two votes to spare in the Senate.

And in 1990, Mr. Fowler voted to allow government union chieftains to declare open season on harassing 2.9 million federal employees and thousands of U.S. taxpayers to support the union political machine by repealing the Hatch Act. Big Labor came just two votes shy of corraling enough Senators to override President Bush's veto and gut the 53-year-old law.

Mr. Fowler's vote in the Senate could put Big Labor over the top on these and countless other union-boss power grabs.

Organized Labor is within a whisker of total control over our federal government. Now that union bosses control the White House and have picked up strength in the Senate in the 1992 elections, Mr. Fowler could cast the key Senate vote to enact the entire union-boss political agenda into law.

Senator Fowler could vote to destroy Georgia's hard-won Right to Work law by abolishing Section 14(b) of the Taft-Hartley Act, enact the Pushbutton Strike bill, repeal the Hatch Act, or fill Big Labor's political coffers with taxpayer dollars by supporting legislation to force federal workers to pay union dues.

That's why I've urged you to inundate Senator Fowler with phone calls, letters and postcards. Thousands of angry Georgia citizens are urging him to support Right to Work.

But Senator Fowler continues to stonewall. Your protests seem to be falling on deaf ears.

Why is Wyche Fowler ignoring you and the rest of the huge majority of Georgia citizens who oppose compulsory unionism?

Union operatives have stuffed \$380,000 into his campaigns for the U.S. Senate.

And that cash is only the tip of the iceberg. Union political pros have probably dumped ten times that amount into Senator Fowler's campaigns in the form of "soft" money -- partisan get-out-the-vote drives, phone banks, and full-time paid "volunteers."

So while Senator Fowler is refusing to tell Right to Work supporters his position on forced unionism, union lobbyists know he'll vote any way they say. It's a simple (if sleazy) arrangement. He votes their way; they bankroll his congressional and senate campaigns with forced-union dues stolen from American workers.

Please call Senator Fowler now at (404) 331-0697 and urge him to repudiate his support for forced unionism. Only your howls and screams can make Senator Fowler renounce his cozy relationship with Big Labor.

Tell Senator Fowler you won't stand for his political dirty pool of taking Big Labor backroom payoffs -- while he stonewalls Georgia citizens. Tell him he's not fooling anybody, and urge him to stop voting to force workers to pay union dues.

On the other hand, Mr. Fowler's opponent, Paul Coverdell, did respond to his survey 100% for Right to Work.

Mr. Coverdell has promised to help stop Ted Kennedy's Pushbutton Strike bill, fight for tougher enforcement of the Supreme Court's ban on the use of forced dues for politics, oppose efforts to require public servants to pay union dues, and crack down on strike violence.

However, Mr. Coverdell is under intense pressure from union goons to renounce his Right to Work support. It's vital that you tell Mr. Coverdell not to back down. Please call him at (404) 320-1992 and tell him the people of Georgia support his pledge.

Sincerely,


Reed Larson

P.S. Senator Wyche Fowler must feel the heat from concerned citizens like you to stop voting to force workers to pay union dues. Now is the time, in the face of a tough U.S. Senate battle, when he is most likely to mend his Washington ways. Please call him today.

Fax

National Right to Work Committee • 8001 Braddock Road • Springfield, Virginia 22160 • (800) 325-7892 • FAX (703) 321-7342

Telecopier Information Sheet

The following pages are for:

Individual: Clyde Sisk

Firm: Sisk Mailing

Telephone: _____ Telecopier: (410) 643-7933

From: Margaret / Tim Walker

Total number of pages (including information sheet): 2

Date transmitted: 11/17/92

Comments:

This fax message is intended only for the personal and confidential use of the addressee(s) or the designated recipient(s) named above. It may contain information that is privileged, confidential or exempt from disclosure under applicable law. If you are not the intended recipient, you are hereby notified that you have received this document in error and that any use, review, dissemination, distribution, or copying of this message is strictly prohibited. If you have received this communication in error, please notify us immediately by telephone and return the original message to us by U.S. mail at the address above. Thank you.



NATIONAL RIGHT TO WORK COMMITTEE

8001 BRADDOCK ROAD
SPRINGFIELD, VIRGINIA 22160

P.O. No. C 22784

THIS ORDER NO. MUST
APPEAR ON ALL INVOICES

PURCHASE ORDER

☐ STATE ACTIVITIES ☐ PUBLIC RELATIONS ☐ MEMBERSHIP ☐ GENERAL PROGRAMS ☐ SPECIAL PROJECTS

TO
SISK MAILING SERVICE
ATTN: CLYDE SISK

SHIP TO
DROP 11/18/92


DATE 11/17/92	DATE REQUIRED 11/18/92	RE: GEORGIA RESULTS SPECIAL SURVEY MAILSHOP
------------------	---------------------------	--

QUANTITY	DESCRIPTION	PER M	PRICE
	<p>Clyde, please process the following:</p> <p>Total mailing of approx 27M all to be mailed 1st Class Live Stamp. GA to appear in upper right of letter. Over insert 25 samples and send to NRTW attn: Tim Walker.</p> <p>Insert in this order face back: BRE - #9 White, (AT SISK) . Affix label to show thru window. REPLY - 8 1/2 x 11 2UP on Ivory, To come folded <i>with (5 1/2 x 8 1/2)</i> in thirds headout for insertion. ROSTER - 8 1/2 x 11 on Blue, folded headout. LETTER - 8 1/2 x 11 WHITE, folded headout. CARRIER - #10 SURVEY '92 BAR (#NRWCBAR).</p> <p>Cost: Processing Postage</p> <p>Please call with any questions or problems. Thank you.</p> <p><i>Exact cost should be 27,547.</i> <i>If you run out of carriers use standard RTW commercial address</i></p>		

PLEASE INVOICE IN DUPLICATE

PRINTERS: PLEASE SEND ONE SAMPLE
WITH EACH INVOICE.

[Signature]
AUTHORIZED SIGNATURE



National Right to Work Committee • 8001 Braddock Road • Springfield, Virginia 22160 • (800) 325-7892 • FAX (703) 321-7342

Telecopier Information Sheet

The following pages are for:

Individual: Mike Nocera

Firm: Lettron

Telephone: _____ Telecopier: 548-1084


From: Tim Walker

Total number of pages (including information sheet): 2

Date transmitted: 11/17/92

Comments:

This fax message is intended only for the personal and confidential use of the addressee(s) or the designated recipient(s) named above. It may contain information that is privileged, confidential or exempt from disclosure under applicable law. If you are not the intended recipient, you are hereby notified that you have received this document in error and that any use, review, dissemination, distribution, or copying of this message is strictly prohibited. If you have received this communication in error, please notify us immediately by telephone and return the original message to us by U.S. mail at the address above. Thank you.



NATIONAL RIGHT TO WORK COMMITTEE

8001 BRADDOCK ROAD
SPRINGFIELD, VIRGINIA 22160

P.O. No. C 22783

THIS ORDER NO. MUST
APPEAR ON ALL INVOICES

PURCHASE ORDER

☐ STATE ACTIVITIES ☐ PUBLIC RELATIONS ☐ MEMBERSHIP ☐ GENERAL PROGRAMS ☐ SPECIAL PROJECTS

TO
• LETTERCOM PRINTING
•
• ATTN: MIKE NOCERA
•
•


SHIP TO
SISK MAILING SERVICE
7 CHESAPEAKE BAY BUSINESS
STEVENSVILLE, MD 21666
ATTN: CLYDE SISK

DATE	DATE REQUIRED	RE:
11/17/92	11/18/92	GEORGIA RESULTS SPECIAL FEDERAL SURVEY REPLY

QUANTITY	DESCRIPTION	PER M.	PRICE
15,000	<p>Mike, please print the following:</p> <p>Reply - 8 1/2 x 11 (2up) on 50# Ivory Offset. Prints 1/1 Black over Black. Slit to 8 1/2 x 5 1/2, to yield 30M replies, then fold headout to a #10. (Tri-fold)</p> <p>Blueline is waved. Lettercom to ensure superior print quality on this job.</p> <p>Please return artwork and 5 samples to NRTW attn: Tim Walker on day of delivery.</p> <p>Cost: Printing and Shipping</p> <p>Please call with any questions or problem. Thank you.</p> <p><u>MUST BE DELIVERED TO ^{SISK} SECRET MAILING NO LATER THAN 9:30AM 11/18/92.</u></p>		

PLEASE INVOICE IN DUPLICATE

PRINTERS: PLEASE SEND ONE SAMPLE
WITH EACH INVOICE.


AUTHORIZED SIGNATURE



National Right to Work Committee • 8001 Braddock Road • Springfield, Virginia 22160 • (800) 325-7892 • FAX (703) 321-7342

Telecopier Information Sheet

The following pages are for:

Individual: John Sullivan

Firm: Enterprise Graphics

Telephone: _____ Telecopier: (301) 577-0850

From: Tim Walker

Total number of pages (including information sheet): 3

Date transmitted: 11/17/92

Comments:

This fax message is intended only for the personal and confidential use of the addressee(s) or the designated recipient(s) named above. It may contain information that is privileged, confidential or exempt from disclosure under applicable law. If you are not the intended recipient, you are hereby notified that you have received this document in error and that any use, review, dissemination, distribution, or copying of this message is strictly prohibited. If you have received this communication in error, please notify us immediately by telephone and return the original message to us by U.S. mail at the address above. Thank you.



NATIONAL RIGHT TO WORK COMMITTEE

8001 BRADDOCK ROAD
SPRINGFIELD, VIRGINIA 22160

P.O. No. C 22782

THIS ORDER NO. MUST
APPEAR ON ALL INVOICES

PURCHASE ORDER

☐ STATE ACTIVITIES ☐ PUBLIC RELATIONS ☐ MEMBERSHIP ☐ GENERAL PROGRAMS ☐ SPECIAL PROJECTS

TO ENTERPRISE GRAPHICS

ATTN: JOHN SULLIVAN

SHIP TO
SISK MAILING SERVICE
7 CHESAPEAKE BAY BUSINESS PARK
STEVENSVILLE, MD. 21666
ATTN: CLYDE SISK

DATE 11/17/92 NO LATER DATE REQUIRED 11/18/92 RE: GEORGIA RESULTS ROSTER

QUANTITY	DESCRIPTION	PER M	PRICE
28M	<p>John, please print the following:</p> <p>Roster - 8 1/2 x 11 on 50# Blue offset. Prints. 1/1 Black over Black. Fold head out to #10. No screens. No bleeds. Blueline is waved. Enterprise Graphics to ensure superior print quality on this job.</p> <p>Please return artwork with blueline and on day of delivery, along with 5 samples.</p> <p>Cost: Printing and Shipping</p> <p>Please call with any questions or problems.</p> <p>Thank you.</p> <p>This is a reprint of our purchase order #22771 with a quantity change and a paper stock change.</p> <p><u>THIS JOB MUST BE DELIVERED TO SISK NO LATER THAN 9:30AM ON 11/18/92.</u></p>	\$29.33/M	

PLEASE INVOICE IN DUPLICATE

PRINTERS: PLEASE SEND ONE SAMPLE
WITH EACH INVOICE.

Devin Koff
AUTHORIZED SIGNATURE



NATIONAL RIGHT TO WORK COMMITTEE

8001 BRADDOCK ROAD
SPRINGFIELD, VIRGINIA 22160

P.O. No. C 22779

THIS ORDER NO. MUST
APPEAR ON ALL INVOICES

PURCHASE ORDER

☐ STATE ACTIVITIES ☐ PUBLIC RELATIONS ☐ MEMBERSHIP ☐ GENERAL PROGRAMS ☐ SPECIAL PROJECTS

TO
ENTERPRISE GRAPHICS
ATTN: JOHN SULLIVAN

SHIP TO
SISK MAILING SERVICE
7 CHESAPEAKE BAY BUSINESS PARK
STEVENSVILLE, MD. 21666
ATTN: CLYDE SISK

DATE 11/17/92	DATE REQUIRED NO LATER 11/18/92	RE: GEORGIA RESULTS LETTER	
QUANTITY 28M	DESCRIPTION John, please print the following: Letter - 8 1/2 x 11 on 50# WHITE offset. Prints. 1/1 Black over Black. Fold head out to #10. No screens. No bleeds. Blueline is waved, Enterprise Graphics to ensure superior print quality on this job. Please return artwork with blueline and on day of delivery, along with 5 samples. Cost: Printing and Shipping Please call with any questions or problems. Thank you. <u>THIS JOB MUST BE DELIVERED TO SISK NO LATER THAN 9:30AM ON 11/18/92.</u>	PER M \$28.33/M	PRICE

PLEASE INVOICE IN DUPLICATE

PRINTERS: PLEASE SEND ONE SAMPLE
WITH EACH INVOICE.

Denise Kipp
AUTHORIZED SIGNATURE

VENDOR

Survey '92

A Project of the National Right to Work Committee

November 18, 1992

Dear Georgia Member:

I'm writing you a personal letter today because I'm very concerned about how Senator Wyche Fowler will vote on compulsory-unionism legislation if he is sent to Washington as your U.S. Senator to join Big Labor President Bill Clinton.

If, as a U.S. Senator, Wyche Fowler continues to vote to hand compulsory-unionism powers to Big Labor, Georgia will suffer. And so will the entire nation.

Until now, Senator Fowler has voted with the union hierarchy and against individual freedom for workers and small businesses almost every time.

As one of Big Labor's most reliable water carriers in the U.S. Senate, Senator Fowler voted to pass Ted Kennedy's Pushbutton Strike bill (H.R. 5/S. 55). The Strike bill failed with only two votes to spare in the Senate.

And in 1990, Mr. Fowler voted to allow government union chieftains to declare open season on harassing 2.9 million federal employees and thousands of U.S. taxpayers to support the union political machine by repealing the Hatch Act. Big Labor came just two votes shy of corraling enough Senators to override President Bush's veto and gut the 53-year-old law.

Mr. Fowler's vote in the Senate could put Big Labor over the top on these and countless other union-boss power grabs.

Organized Labor is within a whisker of total control over our federal government. Now that union bosses control the White House and have picked up strength in the Senate in the 1992 elections, Mr. Fowler could cast the key Senate vote to enact the entire union-boss political agenda into law.

Senator Fowler could vote to destroy Georgia's hard-won Right to Work law by abolishing Section 14(b) of the Taft-Hartley Act, enact the Pushbutton Strike bill, repeal the Hatch Act, or fill Big Labor's political coffers with taxpayer dollars by supporting legislation to force federal workers to pay union dues.

That's why I've urged you to inundate Senator Fowler with phone calls, letters and postcards. Thousands of angry Georgia citizens are urging him to support Right to Work.

But Senator Fowler continues to stonewall. Your protests seem to be falling on deaf ears.

Why is Wyche Fowler ignoring you and the rest of the huge majority of Georgia citizens who oppose compulsory unionism?

Union operatives have stuffed \$380,000 into his campaigns for the U.S. Senate.

And that cash is only the tip of the iceberg. Union political pros have probably dumped ten times that amount into Senator Fowler's campaigns in the form of "soft" money -- partisan get-out-the-vote drives, phone banks, and full-time paid "volunteers."

So while Senator Fowler is refusing to tell Right to Work supporters his position on forced unionism, union lobbyists know he'll vote any way they say. It's a simple (if sleazy) arrangement. He votes their way; they bankroll his congressional and senate campaigns with forced-union dues stolen from American workers.

Please call Senator Fowler now at (404) 331-0697 and urge him to repudiate his support for forced unionism. Only your howls and screams can make Senator Fowler renounce his cozy relationship with Big Labor.

Tell Senator Fowler you won't stand for his political dirty pool of taking Big Labor backroom payoffs -- while he stonewalls Georgia citizens. Tell him he's not fooling anybody, and urge him to stop voting to force workers to pay union dues.

On the other hand, Mr. Fowler's opponent, Paul Coverdell, did respond to his survey 100% for Right to Work.

Mr. Coverdell has promised to help stop Ted Kennedy's Pushbutton Strike bill, fight for tougher enforcement of the Supreme Court's ban on the use of forced dues for politics, oppose efforts to require public servants to pay union dues, and crack down on strike violence.

However, Mr. Coverdell is under intense pressure from union goons to renounce his Right to Work support. It's vital that you tell Mr. Coverdell not to back down. Please call him at (404) 320-1992 and tell him the people of Georgia support his pledge.

Sincerely,


Reed Larson

P.S. Senator Wyche Fowler must feel the heat from concerned citizens like you to stop voting to force workers to pay union dues. Now is the time, in the face of a tough U.S. Senate battle, when he is most likely to mend his Washington ways. Please call him today.

Survey '92

A Project of the National Right to Work Committee

November 18, 1992

Dear Georgia Member:

I'm writing you a personal letter today because I'm very concerned about how Senator Wyche Fowler will vote on compulsory-unionism legislation if he is sent to Washington as your U.S. Senator to join Big Labor President Bill Clinton.

If, as a U.S. Senator, Wyche Fowler continues to vote to hand compulsory-unionism powers to Big Labor, Georgia will suffer. And so will the entire nation.

Until now, Senator Fowler has voted with the union hierarchy and against individual freedom for workers and small businesses almost every time.

As one of Big Labor's most reliable water carriers in the U.S. Senate, Senator Fowler voted to pass Ted Kennedy's Pushbutton Strike bill (H.R. 5/S. 55). The Strike bill failed with only two votes to spare in the Senate.

And in 1990, Mr. Fowler voted to allow government union chieftains to declare open season on harassing 2.9 million federal employees and thousands of U.S. taxpayers to support the union political machine by repealing the Hatch Act. Big Labor came just two votes shy of corraling enough Senators to override President Bush's veto and gut the 53-year-old law.

Mr. Fowler's vote in the Senate could put Big Labor over the top on these and countless other union-boss power grabs.

Organized Labor is within a whisker of total control over our federal government. Now that union bosses control the White House and have picked up strength in the Senate in the 1992 elections, Mr. Fowler could cast the key Senate vote to enact the entire union-boss political agenda into law.

Senator Fowler could vote to destroy Georgia's hard-won Right to Work law by abolishing Section 14(b) of the Taft-Hartley Act, enact the Pushbutton Strike bill, repeal the Hatch Act, or fill Big Labor's political coffers with taxpayer dollars by supporting legislation to force federal workers to pay union dues.

That's why I've urged you to inundate Senator Fowler with phone calls, letters and postcards. Thousands of angry Georgia citizens are urging him to support Right to Work.

But Senator Fowler continues to stonewall. Your protests seem to be falling on deaf ears.

Why is Wyche Fowler ignoring you and the rest of the huge majority of Georgia citizens who oppose compulsory unionism?

Union operatives have stuffed \$380,000 into his campaigns for the U.S. Senate.

And that cash is only the tip of the iceberg. Union political pros have probably dumped ten times that amount into Senator Fowler's campaigns in the form of "soft" money -- partisan get-out-the-vote drives, phone banks, and full-time paid "volunteers."

So while Senator Fowler is refusing to tell Right to Work supporters his position on forced unionism, union lobbyists know he'll vote any way they say. It's a simple (if sleazy) arrangement. He votes their way; they bankroll his congressional and senate campaigns with forced-union dues stolen from American workers.

Please call Senator Fowler now at (404) 331-0697 and urge him to repudiate his support for forced unionism. Only your howls and screams can make Senator Fowler renounce his cozy relationship with Big Labor.

Tell Senator Fowler you won't stand for his political dirty pool of taking Big Labor backroom payoffs -- while he stonewalls Georgia citizens. Tell him he's not fooling anybody, and urge him to stop voting to force workers to pay union dues.

On the other hand, Mr. Fowler's opponent, Paul Coverdell, did respond to his survey 100% for Right to Work.

Mr. Coverdell has promised to help stop Ted Kennedy's Pushbutton Strike bill, fight for tougher enforcement of the Supreme Court's ban on the use of forced dues for politics, oppose efforts to require public servants to pay union dues, and crack down on strike violence.

However, Mr. Coverdell is under intense pressure from union goons to renounce his Right to Work support. It's vital that you tell Mr. Coverdell not to back down. Please call him at (404) 320-1992 and tell him the people of Georgia support his pledge.

Sincerely,


Reed Larson

P.S. Senator Wyche Fowler must feel the heat from concerned citizens like you to stop voting to force workers to pay union dues. Now is the time, in the face of a tough U.S. Senate battle, when he is most likely to mend his Washington ways. Please call him today.

POST ANALYSIS SHEET

MAILING Georgia 1st Survey Special Results - General Election-

CODES/COUNTS Q20928 = 2,714 / K20858 = 8,053 / V20558 = 16,780

DROP DATE 11/18/92

CLASS 1st

TOTAL DROPPED 27,547

PACKAGE:

	Dept. Average	Cost Per M	Cost
Printing Letter	#17	30.51	840.37
Roster	#17	30.51	840.37
Reply	#17	37.16	1,023.50
Envelopes #10 Survey '92 Bar	#17	16.80	462.79
#9 BRE - RL	#27	7.97	219.55
Mailing SISK	#17	35.00	964.14
Postage	#17	290.00	7,988.63
Mailand	#17	2.48	68.17
List Company			
Typesetting			
Misc.			

Total For #17 = 12,187.97
 Total For #27 = 219.55
Total = \$12,407.52

SUB TOTAL _____
 COST PER PKG. _____
 PLUS OVERAGE _____
 TOTAL COST _____

December 3, 1997

Office of the General Counsel
Federal Election Commission
999 E Street, NW
Washington, DC 20463

Re MUR 3774, Letter dated November 17, 1997

REQUESTS FOR PRODUCTION OF DOCUMENTS

Documents are enclosed.

REQUEST FOR ANSWERS TO QUESTIONS

Please see answers below:

1 - Copies of the following Optima invoices referred to in invoices produced:

- #6154 referenced in Invoice #6218 (Doc. No. 0700032)
- #6183 referenced in Invoice #6197 (Doc. No. 0700056)
- #6160 and #6171 referenced in Invoice #6198 (Doc. No. 0700097B)

Invoices were not generated. Numbers 6218, 6197, 6160 and 6171 were used for internal tracking of deposits.

2 - Copies of all scripts and revisions for the Grams/MN project. It appears that only one script was produced for "senior calls," coded as CM530 (Doc. No. 0700090) and no scripts were produced for "Unidentified Perfect Voters", calls (Doc. No. 0700093). Also indicate how many calls of the total 165,398 contacts billed for the Grams campaign are attributable to each script used (Doc. No. 070089B).

No other scripts for Grams/MN project are in our possession. We are unable to answer the last sentence of this question regarding contacts billed. The breakdown of these contacts is not available. We no longer possess the data to recreate or the reports to substantiate counts.

3 - Copies of each script listed in the November 3, 1994 memo from Schweitz of MCCL to Sottong (Doc. No. 0700039) which have not been produced. For the two scripts produced (Doc. Nos. 0700020 and 0700035), please verify whether they are the scripts referenced in #1 and

#2 of the Schwietz November 3 memo. For each script used, provide the number of calls made and the cost of the calls. For the Grams calls listed in #1, please also identify the congressional district, legislative district or other geographical region(s) where the calls were made.

Copies of scripts 3 through 11, as listed on Doc. No. 0700039, are enclosed.

Script #1 on Doc. No. 0700039 is Doc. No. 0700020.

Script #2 on Doc. No. 0700039 is Doc. No. 0700035.

The number of calls made for each script is information that is not in our possession.

The cost of each call was \$0.48.

The number of calls made for each script is information that is not in our possession

4 - Copies of the sheets referenced in the November 14, 1994 memo from Sottong to Schwietz (Doc. No. 0700042) showing how many calls were made in each legislative district.

The number of calls made for each script is information that is not in our possession.

5 - Copies of all documents referencing or relating to the "set [of records] from 10/26/94" letter from Sexton, MCCL to Sottong (Doc. No. 700036).

These documents are not in our possession.

6 - Copies of all documents relating the "PA Pro-Life Federation" calls listed in Optima Invoice #6197 and paid for by the National Right to Life Committee (Doc. No. 0700056), including but not limited to, all correspondence and memos referencing or relating to the calls and the lists used to make the calls and all scripts used for the calls. For each script used, produce all documents supporting the number of calls made, the congressional district, legislative district or other geographic region where the calls were made, and the cost of the calls.

Copies of scripts enclosed. It is not clear if these scripts related to "PA Pro-Life Federation" or "Original Selects".

Other documents requested were either already sent or are not in our possession.

Cost of the calls was \$0.50.

7 - Copies of all documents referencing or relating to the lists used to make the "Original Select" GOTV phone calls reflected in Invoice 6197 (Doc. No. 0700056).

See answer to question Number Six.

8 - Of the total 194,895 positive contacts referenced under "Original Selects" in Invoice 6197 (Doc. No. 0700056), produce all documents reflecting the number of contacts attributable to each Senate and Congressional race listed in NRLC's GOTV list to Optima (Doc. Nos. 0700080-0700082).

These documents are not in our possession.

9 - Copies of all scripts used by Civic Development Group for the following programs referenced in Civic Development Group invoices (Doc. Nos. 0700107-0700109, 0700112, 0700114-0700115, 0700117-119)

NL 180	<i>These documents are not in our possession.</i>
NL 181	<i>These documents are not in our possession.</i>
NL 182	<i>These documents are not in our possession.</i>
NL 201A	<i>Scripts 7 to 11 on Doc. No 0700039, Question Number 3.</i>
NL 201B	<i>These documents are not in our possession.</i>
CM 530	<i>These documents are not in our possession.</i>
MH 213	<i>These documents are not in our possession.</i>
MH211	<i>These documents are not in our possession.</i>
MH212	<i>These documents are not in our possession.</i>

Additionally, please identify the numbers heading each column showing response code results (i.e., the first columns in Doc. No. 0700107 are headed, 5, 7, 16, 17, and 99.

These documents are not in our possession.


10 - Information from other sources indicates that the Civic Development Group also made phone calls for Optima for two other programs denoted as GO410 and GO410-II. Please provide copies of all scripts for these programs.

These documents are not in our possession.

11 - Identify vendors QH and XH referenced on Doc. No. 0700045.


Vendor QH is Advanced Database Marketing. Vendor XH is Civic Development Group.

I hereby certify under penalty of perjury that the foregoing answers to the request for answers to questions are true and correct to the best of my knowledge, information and belief.



Andrew Baum
Vice President

SUBSCRIBED and SWORN before me this Third day of December, 1997.


Notary Public

My commission expires February 23, 1998

General Election 1994

MCCL GOTV SCRIPT Senate / CD 1 / LD 25A

Gutknecht, Grams, Tuma

Hello. May I speak to _____ ?

I'm calling to ask you to vote for **GIL GUTKNECHT** for Congress and **ROD GRAMS** for Senate next Tuesday.

Their opponents, **JOHN HOTTINGER** and **ANN WYNIA** have extreme pro-abortion voting records. **JOHN HOTTINGER** and **ANN WYNIA** even support using your tax dollars to pay for abortions.

GIL GUTKNECHT and **ROD GRAMS** are against abortion-on-demand. **GIL GUTKNECHT** and **ROD GRAMS** oppose using your tax dollars to pay for abortions.

(Minnesota)
In the race for ~~MA~~ House **JOHN TUMA** also opposes abortion on demand and opposes using your tax dollars to pay for abortions.

His opponent, **KAY BROWN**, is pro-abortion and supports using your tax dollars to pay for abortion.

The elections will be close and your vote is very important.

Please vote for **GIL GUTKNECHT** for Congress, **ROD GRAMS** for Senate and **JOHN TUMA** for ~~MA~~ House next Tuesday.

Thank you. (Minnesota)

Hot-in-ger

Grams, Gutknecht + Tuma = candidate

Tuma like tuna

Hottinger, Wynia + Brown = opponent

General Election 1994

MCCL GOTV SCRIPT Senate / CD 1 / LD 29B Grams/Gutknecht/OSSKopp

Hello. May I speak to _____ ?

I'm calling to ask you to vote for **GIL GUTKNECHT** for Congress and **ROD GRAMS** for Senate next Tuesday.

Their opponents, **JOHN HOTTINGER** and **ANN WYNIA** have extreme pro-abortion voting records. **JOHN HOTTINGER** and **ANN WYNIA** even support using your tax dollars to pay for abortions.

GIL GUTKNECHT and **ROD GRAMS** are against abortion-on-demand. **GIL GUTKNECHT** and **ROD GRAMS** oppose using your tax dollars to pay for abortions.

In the race for MN House **MIKE OSSKOPP** also opposes abortion-on-demand and opposes using your tax dollars to pay for abortions.

His opponent, **FLORA BURFEIND**, is pro-abortion and supports using your tax dollars to pay for abortion.

The elections will be close and your vote is very important.

Please vote for **GIL GUTKNECHT** for Congress, **ROD GRAMS** for Senate and **MIKE OSSKOPP** for MN House next Tuesday.

Thank you.

3s-cop

Gutknecht, Grams + Osskopp
||
candidate

Burfeind

Hottinger, Wynia, Osskopp, Burfeind = opponent

General Election 1994

MCCL GOTV SCRIPT Senate / CD 1 / SD 31 Grams/Gutknecht/Schee.

Hello. May I speak to _____ ?

I'm calling to ask you to vote for **GIL GUTKNECHT** for Congress and **ROD GRAMS** for Senate next Tuesday.

Their opponents, **JOHN HOTTINGER** and **ANN WYNIA** have extreme pro-abortion voting records. **JOHN HOTTINGER** and **ANN WYNIA** even support using your tax dollars to pay for abortions.

GIL GUTKNECHT and **ROD GRAMS** are against abortion-on-demand. **GIL GUTKNECHT** and **ROD GRAMS** oppose using your tax dollars to pay for abortions.

In the race for MN Senate **KENRIC SCHEEVEL** also opposes abortion-on-demand and opposes using your tax dollars to pay for abortions.

His opponent, **NEIL HAUGERUD**, is pro-abortion.

The elections will be close and your vote is very important.

Please vote for **GIL GUTKNECHT** for Congress, **ROD GRAMS** for Senate and **KENRIC SCHEEVEL** for MN Senate next Tuesday.

Thank you.

She-ville

Hog-er-rude

Kenrick

Grams, Gutknecht, Scheevel = candidate
Hottinger, Wynia & Haugerud = opponent

General Election 1994

MCCL GOTV SCRIPT Senate / CD 1 / LD 27A Grams/Gutknecht/Kraus

Hello. May I speak to _____ ?

I'm calling to ask you to vote for **GIL GUTKNECHT** for Congress and **ROD GRAMS** for Senate next Tuesday.

Their opponents, **JOHN HOTTINGER** and **ANN WYNIA** have extreme pro-abortion voting records. **JOHN HOTTINGER** and **ANN WYNIA** even support using your tax dollars to pay for abortions.

GIL GUTKNECHT and **ROD GRAMS** are against abortion-on-demand. **GIL GUTKNECHT** and **ROD GRAMS** oppose using your tax dollars to pay for abortions.

In the race for MN House **RON KRAUS** also opposes abortion on demand and opposes using your tax dollars to pay for abortions.

His opponent, **JAMES BYE**, is pro-abortion and supports using your tax dollars to pay for abortion.

The elections will be close and your vote is very important.

Please vote for **GIL GUTKNECHT** for Congress, **ROD GRAMS** for Senate and **RON KRAUS** for MN House next Tuesday.

Thank you.

Ka Cro

Grams, Gutknecht & Kraus = candidates
Hottinger, Wynia & Bye = opponents

General Election 1994

MCCL GOTV SCRIPT

Senate / LD 34A

Grams / Smith

Hello. May I speak to _____ ?

I'm calling to ask you to vote for **ROD GRAMS** for Senate and **STEVE SMITH** for MN House next Tuesday.

In the race for MN House **STEVE SMITH** is against abortion on demand and he opposes using your tax dollars to pay for abortions.

His opponent, **ELAINE HAUFF**, is pro-abortion and supports using your tax dollars to pay for abortion.

ANN WYNIA has an extreme pro-abortion voting record. **ANN WYNIA** even supports using your tax dollars to pay for abortions.

ROD GRAMS is against abortion-on-demand. **ROD GRAMS** opposes using your tax dollars to pay for abortions.

The elections will be close and your vote is very important.

Please vote for **ROD GRAMS** for Senate and **STEVE SMITH** for MN House next Tuesday.

Thank you.

Hoff

Grams, Smith / Wynia = candidates
Hauff + Wynia = opponent

General Election 1994

#8

MCCL GOTV SCRIPT Senate / LD 53B Grams / Broecker

Hello. May I speak to _____ ?

I'm calling to ask you to vote for **ROD GRAMS** for Senate and **SHERRY BROECKER** for MN House next Tuesday.

Their opponents, **ANN WYNIA** and **MARC ASCH** have extreme pro-abortion voting records. **ANN WYNIA** and **MARC ASCH** even support using your tax dollars to pay for abortions.

ROD GRAMS and **SHERRY BROECKER** are against abortion-on-demand. **ROD GRAMS** and **SHERRY BROECKER** oppose using your tax dollars to pay for abortions.

The elections will be close and your vote is very important.

Please vote for **ROD GRAMS** for Senate and **SHERRY BROECKER** for MN House next Tuesday.

Thank you.

Brocker

Ash

Grams + Broecker = candidate
Wynia + ash = opponent

General Election 1994

#9

MCCL GOTV SCRIPT Senate / LD 47B Grams / Kramer

Hello. May I speak to _____ ?

I'm calling to ask you to vote for **ROD GRAMS** for Senate and **DON KRAMER** for MN House next Tuesday.

Their opponents, **ANN WYNIA** and **PHIL CARRUTHERS** have extreme pro-abortion voting records. **ANN WYNIA** and **PHIL CARRUTHERS** even support using your tax dollars to pay for abortions.

ROD GRAMS and **DON KRAMER** are against abortion-on-demand. **ROD GRAMS** and **DON KRAMER** oppose using your tax dollars to pay for abortions.

The elections will be close and your vote is very important.

Please vote for **ROD GRAMS** for Senate and **DON KRAMER** for MN House next Tuesday.

Thank you.

Grams & Kramer = candidate
Wynia & Carruthers = opponent

● # 10
1994 General Election - GOTV Script

U.S. SENATE / MN LD 8A

Grams / Murphy

Hello. May I speak to _____?

I'm calling to ask you to vote for **ROD GRAMS** for Senate and **MARY MURPHY** for MN House on Tuesday.

MARY MURPHY has been a leader in passing legislation to restrict the use of your tax dollars to pay for abortion and legislation requiring notification of parents before an abortion is performed on their minor daughter. We need her leadership in the MN House.

In the Senate race, **ROD GRAMS** opposes abortion-on-demand. **ROD GRAMS** opposes using your tax dollars to pay for abortions.

His opponent, **ANN WYNIA** has an extreme pro-abortion voting record. Ann Wynia voted to use your tax dollars to pay for abortions.

The elections are expected to be close and your vote is very important.

Please vote for **ROD GRAMS** for Senate and **MARY MURPHY** for MN House next Tuesday.

Thank you.

Grams + Murphy = candidate
Wynia = ~~candidate~~ opponent

1994 General Election - MCCL GOTV Script

MCCL GOTV SCRIPT Senate / LD 19B Grams/Klinzing

Hello. May I speak to _____?

I'm calling to ask you to vote for **ROD GRAMS** for Senate and **STEPHANIE KLINZING** for MN House on Tuesday.

STEPHANIE KLINZING has been a leader in the fight for unborn babies in the MN legislature. She has been endorsed by MCCL's Committee for State Pro-Life Candidates. We need her leadership in the MN House.

In the Senate race, **ROD GRAMS** opposes abortion-on-demand. **ROD GRAMS** votes against using your tax dollars to pay for abortions.

His opponent, **ANN WYNIA** has an extreme pro-abortion voting record. **ANN WYNIA** supports using your tax dollars to pay for abortions.

The elections are expected to be close and your vote is very important.

Please vote for **ROD GRAMS** for Senate and **STEPHANIE KLINZING** for MN House next Tuesday.

Thank you.

Klin-zing

Grams, Klinzing = candidates
Wynia = opponent.

GOTV SCRIPT #4

Hello!

(May I speak with your mother or father?)

I'm calling to remind you to vote on Tuesday in the election for U.S. House and Governor.

There are now 4,300 abortions a day, and each abortion takes the life of a living, human child.

(Pro-abortion candidates) support abortion on demand.

(Pro-life candidates) oppose abortion on demand, and (pro-life candidates) oppose using your tax dollars to pay for abortion.

Your vote is important. Please vote on Tuesday. Thank you.

GOTV SCRIPT #5

Hello!

(May I speak with your mother or father?)

I'm calling to remind you to vote on Tuesday in the election for U.S. House and Governor.

There are now 4,300 abortions a day, and each abortion takes the life of a living, human child.

(Pro-life candidates) oppose abortion on demand, and (pro-life candidates) oppose using your tax dollars to pay for abortion.

Your vote is important. Please vote on Tuesday. Thank you.

GOTV SCRIPT #6

Hello!

(May I speak with your mother or father?)

I'm calling to remind you to vote on Tuesday in the election for U S Senate and Governor.

There are now 4,300 abortions a day, and each abortion takes the life of a living, human child.

(Pro-abortion candidates) support abortion on demand

(Pro-life candidates) oppose abortion on demand, and (pro-life candidates) oppose using your tax dollars to pay for abortion.

Your vote is important. Please vote on Tuesday. Thank you.